

# **DESK PACKET**

**(MATERIALS SUBMITTED AFTER MEETING PACKET PUBLICATION)**

## **MISC. INFORMATION**

### **Advisory Planning Commission (APC) Minutes**

- **03-20-24 Cooper Landing APC Minutes**
- **03-20-24 Hope/Sunrise APC Minutes**
- **03-21-24 Moose Pass APC Minutes**

**COOPER LANDING ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
LOCATION: COMMUNITY HALL AND ZOOM TELECONFERENCE  
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024  
6:00 PM  
UNAPPROVED MINUTES**

The March 20, 2024 meeting was held both physically open to the public at the Cooper Landing Community Hall at 18511 Bean Creek Road, Cooper Landing, AK and available for online or telephone participation.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

- a. C. Degernes, J. Cadieux, Y. Galbraith, K. Recken, L. Johnson, H. Harrison, D. Story present
- b. In person – David Nees, Christine Nees, Ed Holsten
- c. Public attending by Zoom: Karen Button, Vince. Beltrami, Marja Beltrami, Martha Story, Heather Pearson, Aaron Hughes, Lorraine Temple, Alex Browning, Phil Weber, Virginia Morgan, Melissa Brennan
- d. Agency attending by Zoom: Marcus Mueller – KPB Land Management Officer, Cindy Ecklund – KPB District 6 Assembly Member. Aaron Hughes, KPB Land Management

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

- a. Y. Galbraith asked to amend the agenda to include an update from the KPB on the hazard fuel mitigation grant applications.
  - i. L Johnson. H. Harrison seconds. All approve by roll call vote to amend the agenda to include the update.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- a. February 7 J. Cadieux moves to approve. H. Harrison not present
- b. Special Meeting Feb 21
  - i. L. Johnson moves to approve. H. Harrison seconds. D. Story abstains – he was not present. All approve by roll call vote.

5. BOROUGH BUSINESS

a. REPORTS: PLANNING

- i. The future of KPB Land Use Planning efforts – Robert Ruffner, KPB Planning Director
  - 1. R. Ruffner said the mayor wants to have a land use plan for the entire borough. He said Cooper Landing is one of the few places that already has a land use plan.
  - 2. He said the mayor is concerned about not having a basic plan that covers the entire borough as state lands are being transferred.

3. He said that there are issues with the existing classifications and so it does not make sense for the entire borough to go through to classify these lands using the same schema.
4. He said that revisiting the 15 land use classifications will be a part of the process but when that is initiated is still being determined.
5. He said another part of the process will be determining which lands the KPB will want to be retained, which should be disposed, etc.
6. He said that all of that is to say that it is not timely to revise either the Cooper Landing plan today nor start work on a Borough-wide Plan without the review of the land classifications completed.
7. R. Ruffner explained that zoning does exist in the borough but the zones are very simple: Rural Unrestricted and Municipal Zones.
8. He said for all intents and purposes Rural Unrestricted can mean anything goes while the Municipal Zones have more conventionally used zones within them.
9. He said that Local Option Zones also exist and are driven by the local land owners. There are not too many in the KPB but they do exist.
10. R. Ruffner explained that people often get zoning and land classification intermixed. He said that the classifications only apply to borough owned lands and the purpose of them is to signal the intent of the land use. Borough owned lands cannot be disposed without first being classified.
11. He explained that when classified land is sold into a Rural Unrestricted zone the classification goes away.
12. He said the Planning Department will be working on, at a minimum, what lands does the KPB need to retain and what lands should it not retain.
13. He said it is unclear how far they will get into Title 17 [Zoning].
14. J. Cadieux asked if the classification discussion will come back to the APCs.
  - a. R. Ruffner said this process will involve public input and so it would come back to the APCs through that process.
15. C. Degernes asked about some examples of the types of lands they will be examining.
  - a. R. Ruffner said that they will likely to start with the lands that are not yet classified.
16. C. Degernes asked for an example of classification that are problematic and need revision within the existing 15 land classifications.
  - a. R. Ruffner said most of them are troublesome in that they are confusing to the public because they are often thought of as zones so when they fall back into the real zoning of Rural Unrestricted the classification becomes meaningless.

- b. R. Ruffner said that he doesn't know why they did this in the 90's and said that it has led to a lot of confusion.
- 17. J. Cadieux said that one of the opportunities of the 1996 Land Use Planning was to do some land planning. She asked if that is still seen as valuable by the KPB.
  - a. R. Ruffner said yes, it is desirable to be more active in planning but the first step is the classification.
  - b. He said that the Cooper Landing plan will likely be a crosswalk in that the already classified lands will crosswalk into the new classification system. He said that while there are 15 classifications now there may be only 4 or 5 in the future.
- 18. C. Degernes asked if that will mirror state classifications in that it will be clear that if it is one classification it won't be disposed and if it is another it may be able to be disposed.
  - a. R. Ruffner said yes.
  - b. C. Degernes expanded to say that in the state classification system an example might be a classification like settlement which could be transferred while a habitat classification could not be.
  - c. R. Ruffner said that the KPB Planning Department will be examining the state classification system as an example for the classification types.
- 19. K. Recken said that if the public was more aware of the system that they would be more prepared to be involved.
- 20. C. Degernes said that another example is the land that the new CL Gun Range is on was initially not selectable by the KPB because of its existing state classification. It was a formal process that involved public input to change that classification so that it could be selected.
- 21. R. Ruffner said that there is likely to be a lot of activity as lands transferred from the State to the KPB are classified, as there are individuals that would like to pursue the lands.
- 22. C. Degernes noted a number of new attendees and asked for a short synopsis of his earlier information from R. Ruffner. He did so.
  - a. R. Ruffner said that right now at the direction of the mayor they will start revising the Title 17 Lands Code to reduce the 15 classifications to 4 or 5 classifications.
- 23. K. Button used the example of the Concrete Batch Plant since its light industrial classification was some of the justification for the site and said it seems like they are meaningless even when still owned by the KPB.
  - a. R. Ruffner said that it is true that the classification does not carry forward when the land is sold but while the KPB owns it, it does.

24. K. Button said that she is trying to understand the purpose of land classification versus zoning.
  - a. R. Ruffner said that confusion like she described is pervasive across the borough. He said that when we have to explain to people that the classification disappears when the land is sold it is confusing.
25. K. Recken asked why the land is being leased for an activity like a concrete batch plant that doesn't fit the Light Industrial classification.
  - a. R. Ruffner says that is the central argument - that it is not the right classification and you can't do that - but it is a disagreement and the KPB interprets that it [a concrete batch plant] does fit [the light industrial classification].
26. E. Holsten said that in 1996 the light industrial classification had definitions that would make it impossible for a concrete batch plant to be considered light industrial. He said that at the assembly meetings people ask how it can be determined to fit and the assembly comes back with no rebuttal but instead they just do it. He said that he is really upset with the planning process and he said it appears that other sites were not even considered.
27. Cindy Ecklund said that one of the things missing from the site description as Light Industrial classification is that it also had a Resource Management overlay and that that is why the planning department determined that use fit the land.
28. R. Ruffner said that particular site has had over 20 years of gravel extraction use and that when comparing examples of concrete batch plant operations elsewhere in the borough, especially when considering that most of the batch plant use is done indoors, that that use is a light industrial use and not a heavy industrial use.
29. J. Cadieux asked for an explanation for when one classification is overlaid on another. She gave the example of 395 and how the desire of the community for its classification as recreation was then also overlaid by Resource Management
  - a. R. Ruffner said that these classifications can be overlaid.
30. D. Story said that the mechanisms for the public to articulate the desired use of the land do not seem entirely useful if an overlay of Resource Management can be used to introduce the other seemingly incompatible uses beyond the initial scope. He continued with the example of Unit 395 which the community approved of the overlaying of Resource Management classification on top of the Recreation classification with the understanding that it was important and appropriate to utilize the three identified hard rock sources within the unit. But, that it seemed like further extraction would then be allowable elsewhere in the unit when that is not generally the community intent.

31. D. Nees asked hypothetically if the CLAPC had voted no on the batch plant approval would the Assembly have been able to override the classification. He asked what is the point of having an advisory committee if they can just be overridden.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that it is the Planning Department's position that they were following the plan. When he talks about the disagreement including the 40 comments it centered on whether the activity fits the classification and the KPB believes the use fits within the classification.
  32. V. Morgan said that she understands and empathizes with the frustration. As a planning commission member they also give recommendations to the assembly based on many hours of study and the assembly may still disregard the recommendations.
  33. R. Ruffner said that there are two basic arguments: that it does fit within the light industrial and if it doesn't fit within the light industrial it did fit within the resource management overlay.
  34. V. Morgan said that additionally it does feel like the community finds out about these things pretty late. In this case the application was made last year but the community doesn't find out it is a project until very late.
  35. R. Ruffner said that the contractor was looking for a piece of land to buy and could have purchased 5 acres privately anywhere and set up anywhere.
  36. K. Button said that she is very angry about why there was no communication with the community when there was clearly communication between the KPB and Davis Block. She wanted to know what the point of classification is.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that the KPB is not going to advertise something from the private sector that is out for competitive bid.
  37. K. Button asked why the community was not involved once the need for a batch plant was determined.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that the other sites that the KPB owns would have been those with "lighter" classifications [than Light Industrial] and further away from the desired area.
  38. C. Degernes said the conversations are circling the same topics and redirected the meeting back to the agenda.
  39. D. Nees asked how many people bid on the batch plant contract.
    - a. R. Ruffner said he did not know but believed it was a subcontractor that handled the bid.
      - i. D. Nees asked which subcontractor.
      - ii. R. Ruffner said he was not sure but it may have been Traylor Bros. or QAP.
- ii. Safety Transportation Grant – planning process; Robert Ruffner, KPB Planning Director

1. R. Ruffner said the KPB won a federal grant through the Safe Streets for All program.
  - a. He said that R&M Consultants has been selected as the contractor that will be working on an 11 month process to identify the appropriate stakeholder groups and define goals for the funding use.
  - b. He said that this planning is an appropriate engagement point for the CLAPC and also the Cooper Landing Community Club (CLCC) and wants to know which the community thinks should be the primary venue for this interaction.
2. D. Story explained that while he thinks either could be the appropriate venue, there are a couple reasons it may be a good to channel it through the CLCC.
  - a. One is that the Cooper Landing Walkable Community Project which is adopted as a part of the KPB Comprehensive and Transportation plans is an effort of the Cooper Landing Community Club's Trails Committee.
  - b. The other is that it may be a good exercise to engage the community through the CLCC since the KPB is trying to redirect topics that are not appropriate for the CLAPC back to the CLCC as the appropriate venue. In this case, even though it may be appropriate, it is likely to require actions from the CLCC that are not, such as soliciting support from entities outside of the KPB.
3. J. Cadieux clarified that D. Story serves as the chair of Cooper Landing Trails and she serves as its secretary. She said that the three focuses of CLT are the snow trails grooming program, general trail maintenance, and the Walkable Community Project.
4. D. Story explained the distinction between:
  - a. the CL Walkable Community Project – the document adopted by the KPB Comprehensive and Transportation plans which was drafted in 2009 and identifies goals that are likely compatible with the Safe Streets for All goals – and
  - b. the Cooper Landing Town Road Pathway Project (CLTRPP) which is the continuation of the Walkable Project goals in light of the Sterling Highway MP 45-60 Project.
5. D. Story said the CLTRPP is meant to address the deficiencies to the roadway itself and especially the deficiencies in active transportation facilities that will remain along the existing Sterling Highway after the MP 45-60 project is complete and that cannot be addressed by the MP 45-60 project work.
  - a. He said that it is the project that he referenced when he gave testimony to the KPB Assembly to secure a resolution

- of support for the project's Transportation Alternatives Program grant application. Mayor Micciche suggested it was a good candidate for the Safe Streets for All program and recommended we follow up with R. Ruffner about it.
- b. He said that anyone interested in the efforts of Cooper Landing Trails or the contents of the CLTRPP applications can visit [www.walkcooperlanding.org](http://www.walkcooperlanding.org) to find the project information.
6. Y. Galbraith asked if CLES is included in these plans.
    - a. J. Cadieux said that the facilitation of the planning process will necessarily include CLES.
  7. R. Ruffner said that the point of this money is to reduce crashes and fatalities. The heat map of accidents has hot spots, of course, in Soldotna/Kenai but it also shows that it exists in communities like Cooper Landing.
  8. K. Button asked for clarification about the Safe Streets for All program and whether it would include Snug Harbor.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that it includes all driving surfaces and some motorized trail surfaces.
  9. K. Button asked if this would include things like traffic calming and striping etc.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that it could include those things but that it would be as a result of the recommendations from the consultants.
    - b. D. Story said that there are a lot of efforts to determine mechanisms that support active transportation modes going on in the state right now. He said that the Confluence Conference on April 3-5 in Anchorage includes a significant component of active transportation planning and suggested that KPB Planning should work to attend to meet other planned attendees such as Fairbanks Area Surface Transportation, Anchorage Municipal Area Transportation and others interested in improving active transportation in the state.
    - c. He said that last year's conference was attended by the AKDOT&PF Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner and resulted in an MOA that establishes active transportation coordination between state agencies.
  10. J. Cadieux asked why the DOT reports to the CLAPC need to stop.
    - a. R. Ruffner said that the code says the APC is to provide advice to the Planning Commission so when there are not things in front of the PC it isn't appropriate to have the APC as the venue.
    - b. J. Cadieux said that with the bypass project there were so many things that did come in front of the APC it became a standing update.



- c. R. Ruffner said that the effort is to treat other APCs equally and to direct those things that are not in front of the planning commission to venues such as the CLCC where it is more appropriate.
- d. C. Degernes said that it may mean that the CLCC must step into more of the function of the public venue.
- e. K. Recken said she generally agrees with that but wants to know what voice the CLCC has.
- f. C. Degernes said that it is still advisory and the entities can accept that advice or not.
- g. Y. Galbraith said that the CLCC has the ability to voice the community's opinion to whomever it needs to.
- h. D. Story said that it has more flexibility to voice the community's priorities to other entities since the APC is only meant to interact with the KPB PC and at times, the Assembly.

b. PLATTING

- i. No new plats
- ii. No notice of decision

6. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Update to the hazard fuel mitigation grant applications
  - i. Marcus Mueller – KPB Land Management Officer said that there were two grants.
  - ii. Community Wildfire Defense Grant – USFS
    - 1. M. Mueller said there is a new timeline for the award; we should be updated at the end of April or in May.
  - iii. BLM Grant
    - 1. M. Mueller said that a \$73,000 contract was signed today by Chugachmiut. Their team of foresters will be visiting the 38 selected sites including the Cooper Landing School, the materials site, the transfer station, CLES and other sites around the borough. Their product will be a shovel-ready treatment plan for the sites by the end of the year.
- b. L. Johnson moves to cancel the March 26 work session intended for land planning due to the KPB Planning Department's work on the revision of Title 17.
  - i. H. Harrison seconds. All approve by roll call vote.

7. NEW BUSINESS

- a. NEW ITEMS – none.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT/PRESENTATION

- a. PRESENTATIONS

- i. M. Brennan asked about the contract for Carlos Tree Service through Chugach Electric for tree removal on KPB lands that was recently approved.
  1. M. Mueller said that at yesterday's assembly meeting an MOA was approved with Chugach Electric [Chugach Electric typically contracts with Carlos Tree Service] to cut trees on KPB lands along power lines. He said the MOA creates a standard agreement and a standard for what completed work looks like.
    - a. He said that as an example, a tree that is a hazard to the power line can be cut and that the agreement also stipulates if it is cut, it is to be limbed and the tree itself bucked into 8' sections.
  2. K. Recken asked what to do if it is not done to this standard.
    - a. M. Mueller said to take it up with Chugach Electric and know that the KPB is encouraging them to improve the standard from what has been conducted in the past.
  3. E. Holsten said that if Chugach is going to cut green trees in early season and they are being felled and left there, they will be breeding material for bark beetles.
  4. D. Story said that in speaking with Carlos workers, the standard of work they understood for the recent work conducted was to drop trees and leave them where they fell in order to get as much cleared as possible.
  5. K. Recken asked when the MOA was signed.
    - a. M. Mueller said that it was approved by the assembly last night but he will be working with Chugach Electric over the next couple weeks to determine the stipulations. He said he will be bringing what he has heard tonight to them to improve the standards that meet more criteria as described.
  6. Y. Galbraith said the CLCC will also be discussing this tomorrow and will likely draft a letter outlining the community's concerns to Chugach Electric.

## 9. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

a.

## 10. ADJOURNMENT

- a. L. Johnson moves to adjourn. H. Harrison seconds. All approve by roll call vote. 8:12pm.

For more information or to submit comments please contact:

Contact the Cooper Landing APC at:

- For email visit: <https://www.kpb.us/planning-dept/planning-commissions/cooper-landing-apc/email-cooper-landing-apc>
- Send USPS mail to: Kenai Peninsula Borough Planning Department, Attn: CLAPC 144 N Binkley, Soldotna, AK 99669

**Hope/Sunrise Advisory Planning Commission Meeting**  
**Unapprove Minutes**  
**7 P.M. March 20, 2024**  
**Hope Library and Via Zoom**

1. The meeting was Called to Order by Jim Skogstad at 7:00 P.M.
2. Members present were Jim Skogstad, Flip Foldager, Dawn Hammond, Barbra Bureau, Peter Smith, Levi Hogan and Johnny Sorenson
3. The agenda was discussed for a minute as Johnny Sorenson wanted Hope Planning to endorse a Gaza Ceasefire. Planning was the inappropriate vehicle and it was agreed it could be addressed through Hope Inc. and the agenda was approved as submitted.
4. The minutes of February 7 were approved with the correction of the meeting location.
5. Julie Hindeman was not available for the meeting and there was no borough business.
6. Old business was discussing plan changes for Sunrise and Levi presented changes to Section I. He will type out the Section with changes because it is not available online. Jim passed out a copy of the current Land Status of Sunrise, Section IV and we reviewed maps including the Sammut property on the East side of Sixmile Creek. Again it was emphasized we are not getting into what people are doing on their private land. There are restrictions on Sammut's property that were imposed during the sale of that property. Dawn and Barbra presented their integration of Future Needs into Community Goals. Basically Sunrise people do not seem to want any development and if the borough decides to sell property it should be in accordance with Section VII, Overview land Use Analysis recommendations.
7. New business for the next meeting will be to continue to develop a summary of Section VII Land Use Analysis. This will be used to develop Land Use Recommendations and Additional Recommendations. We are close to a final product. On the horizon, the commission will work to update the Hazard Mitigation Plan for Hope. The two main concerns are Fire and tsunami. Most likely event would be fire.
8. There were no public comments or any public present or on zoom.
9. There were no Commissioners comments.
10. There will be no meeting in April and the next meeting will be May 8, 2024
11. The meeting was adjourned at 7:59 P.M.

**Next Regularly Scheduled Hope/Sunrise  
Advisory Planning Commission Meeting**

The next regularly scheduled Hope/Sunrise Advisory Planning Commission Meeting will be held Wednesday, May 8, 2024 in the Hope Library 18487 A. Avenue, Hope, AK 99605 and through Zoom at 7:00 P.M.

**Contact Information**

Contact the Hope/Sunrise Advisory Planning Commission at:

Email-visit <https://www.kpb.us/planning-dept/planning-commissions/hope-sunrise-apc>

On the far right-hand side of the page is a box titled, "Commissioner Information". Scroll to the Bottom of the box and select, "Contact the Hope/Sunrise APC"

Send USPS mail to:

Kenai Peninsula Borough Planning Department, Attn: Hope/sunrise APC, 144 N. Binkley, Soldotna, AK 99669

# MOOSE PASS ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION

## REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: MOOSE PASS SPORTSMAN CLUB AND ZOOM

TELECONFERENCE THURSDAY, MARCH 21, 2024

6:00 P.M.

DRAFT Minutes

Jennifer Boyle, Kevin Dunham, Jeff Estes, Jeff Hetrick, Bruce Jaffa, David Pearson

To join the meeting from a computer, visit <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/9360805262> . To attend the Zoom meeting by telephone, call toll-free **1-888-788-0099** or **1-877-853-5247** and enter the **Meeting ID 936 080 5262**. If you connect by computer and do not have speakers or a microphone, connect online and then select phone for audio. A box will come up with a toll free number, the Meeting ID, and your participant number. You may join the meeting physically at the Moose Pass Sportsman Club, 33675 Depot Road, Moose Pass, AK 99631

1. CALL TO ORDER 6:28

2. ROLL CALL Jen Boyle present, Kevin on Zoom, Jeff Estes present, Jeff Hetrick excused, Bruce present, Dave present.

3. **Citizen Comments - none**

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA - Bruce moves to switch agenda item to 8, Jeff E 2nd. Approved.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES Bruce moves to approve, Jen 2nd, approved

a.

6. BOROUGH BUSINESS

a. REPORTS

i. PLANNER REPORT - April 16 Assembly Meeting will be held in Seward, 6 PM for Public Meeting at Seward Public Library

ii. REPORT FROM THE CHAIR - Spoke with Mike K from Kenai Hydro, they are behind by about a year, but the Grant Lake Hydro project will be proceeding with the planned bridge across the narrows. Hoping to go into contract into fall of 2024 with construction starting in 2025

b. Conditional Use Permit; PC RES 2024-05

Applicant: US Forrest Service

Request: Construct a foot bridge along the Ptarmigan Trail within the 50-foot Habitat Protection District of Ptarmigan Creek

Parcel ID: 12532105

Dave motions to support resolution, Jen seconds

Discussion - elevation will be above the 100 year floodplain, not a navigable stream. This will also need to be permitted through Fish and Game in regard to impact on fish and erosion.

Bruce suspends regular order of business for discussion. Tim states that spring ice jams were taken into consideration and width of span was expanded as not to impede the natural flow of the river.

Unanimous approval

## 7. OLD BUSINESS - moved to after new business

- a. Review of Comprehensive Plan - Bruce moves to table until next meeting, Dave 2nds. Approved.

## 8. NEW BUSINESS/ PRESENTATION

- a. Ruth Damico USFS Moose Pass Update for USFS Local Projects - Spruce Beetle Bark that is being cut. Main focus is getting personal use firewood picked from Crescent Creek Campground. Working on a way to make it easier for people to pick up wood. Working on burning piles and constantly trying to move through all sections. When snow melts, trying to get into Trail River CG to sanitize to minimize the amount of Beetle kill. Elodia found in Crescent Lake. Mapping it this summer to try to understand how much it has spread and then develop a treatment plan on how to move forward. Typically spread by float planes. Subsistence users - goat draw closes and will be chosen April 1st. Expansion of Chugach Avalanche Center. Hired 2 new avalanche forecasters. Still hiring for several positions in the area.

Tim Lorenzini new Deputy District Manager - Helping Carlos get a Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) together. There are millions of federal dollars that have been designated for projects in Alaska. RAC would be representing the Kenai Peninsula and Anchorage Boroughs. Applicants must live in AK for the next 4 years. Also discussed the Seward to Anchorage Iditarod tract. Ptarmigan to Waterfall section, work going out to contract soon. Work could start this summer, but more likely next summer. Victor Creek to 18 mile should be completed this summer. They are going to improve Victor Creek Trailhead. Snow River Pedestrian Bridge contract going out. Johnson Pass trail being worked on. Working on Vagt Lake bridge, replacing decking, will extend life of current bridge, still need to secure funding for Grant Creek bridge. Chugach NF focus is on Iditarod NHT rather than the entirety of the Alaska Long Trail. Public use cabins - Trail River Cabin is open now for reservations, hopefully building 2 more this summer, one at Meridian Lake and the other at Porcupine CG in Hope. Working on Russian River CG road. Will be dirt again this summer, hopefully have asphalt laid next spring.

Carlos De La Torre via zoom, Partnership Coordinator for Chugach NF-In need of 12

-15 volunteers for a Kenai Peninsula-Anchorage Borough Resource Advisory Committee (RAC), 25 hours per year needed. Committee to review proposed projects and recommend projects for federal funding. To apply: Fill out background check form AD-755, send cover letter or email explaining your experience and resume to Carlos De La Torre at [carlos.delatorre@usda.gov](mailto:carlos.delatorre@usda.gov)

**b. Matt Schram Project Manager Update Mile 17 to 22.5 Seward Highway -**

Darrel Belenger, Project Engineer - This is the third and final season of this project. Completed 2 miles last year and will be getting 2 inches more asphalt this summer. This year's project is mostly road reconstruction and road drainage. Currently working M-Sa, 8am to 8pm. Will shift to night shift just before Memorial Day weekend, probably 7pm to 8am. Contract completion date is September 30th. Nights until Sept 15th. 2 blasting closures for the work this year, each one should be a 2 week period, with 1 hour closures from 8 to 9pm. First one will be April 8 through 22nd, still working on schedule for second blasting phase. April 4th start date for milling road. Victor Creek Trailhead will have 12 parking spots, restrooms, and trash cans.

**9. PUBLIC COMMENT** - Dave Schafer, is working on getting private Toklat Way and 2 spur roads on the sides fixed, cost will be divided between lots. Dave has spoken to mayor about it. Waiting on cost analysis. RIAD program

**10. COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS**

Kevin - Snaring and trapping issue. Moose died in his yard in the past 2 weeks with a snare wrapped around its snout. Would like to see better regulated rules regarding snaring.

Dave - Thanks to Forest Service and QAP to talk with us today.

Jen- Thanks to presenters. Would love to see Forest Service folks more often to hear about projects on a regular basis. Thanks for all their hard work.

Jeff - Remember where we came from. Alaska railroad didn't happen til after statehood.

Bruce - Thanks everyone for coming. Open seat on the MPAPC, so encourage anyone who's interested to join. Likes Google Docs. Anchorage Long Trail meeting in Seward on April 9th at Gateway Hotel.

**11. NEXT MEETING DATE**

**a.** April 4, 2024 at 6 PM

**12. ADJORNMENT** - 8:19 pm

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Contact the Moose Pass Advisory Planning Commission at:

Email - visit: [https://www.kpb.us/planning-dept/planning-commissions/moose-pass-apc/email  
moose-pass-apc](https://www.kpb.us/planning-dept/planning-commissions/moose-pass-apc/email-moose-pass-apc)

On the far right-hand side of the page is a box titled, "Commissioner Information". Scroll to the bottom of the box and select, "Contact the Moose Pass APC".

Send USPS mail to:

Kenai Peninsula Borough Planning Department, Attn: Moose Pass APC,  
144 N Binkley, Soldotna, AK 99669