

## **DESK PACKET ITEMS**

**(Items received after the publishing of the meeting packet on 6/7/22)**

### **E. NEW BUSINESS**

#### **4. Conditional Use Permit; PC Resolution 2022-23**

To: Kenai Peninsula Borough Planning Commission

RE: Public Hearing on June 13 with regards to installing a fence on a parcel within the 50-foot Habitat Protection District of the Kenai River

We, Ken and Nancy Eberle, are strongly opposed to the building of a fence within the Habitat Protection District of the Kenai River. This 50 foot area is to safeguard the habitat next to the Kenai River. A fence placed anywhere along the river in this protected area will at a minimum divert all wildlife, especially the moose, from their normal travel patterns. It will be an eyesore for anyone that sees it.

We have worked with the Kenai River Center multiple times. Once was to have dead spruce trees removed. Most recently, the permit to remodel the current home. We are following the rules of the Kenai River Center to protect the Kenai River. The petitioner is two homes from us, also right on the river. We should all have to abide by the same rules, no exceptions.

Please, do not allow a fence to be built in the 50-foot Habitat Protected District.

*Thank you,  
Ken Eberle  
Nancy Eberle*

Date; June 9, 2022

Mr./Ms. Morgan Aldridge  
Donald E Gilman River Center  
514 Funny River Road  
Soldotna, Alaska 99669

My name is Paul Michelsohn Jr. I am President of the Aurora Harbor Property Owners Association located on Retreat Court in Soldotna, Alaska. In this matter I am representing myself as the association has not discussed the issue and I do not feel that it is necessary to bring it up to the remaining 10 members of the association. I reside at lot 7 Aurora Harbor Subdivision (45825 Retreat Court).

I am against granting Mr. Lavallee who resides at 45920 Retreat Court permission to construct a fence within the 50' foot habitat Protection area pursuant KPB 21.18.091, and KPB 21.18.08 (B) (3) fences. The reasons are listed as follows.

The area where we all reside is a Moose calving area including the Island directly across the channel from Mr. Lavallee's residence. In the event there is a birth and the cow and calf and or calf's get separated does one or the other have to run the fence line to retreat court and back track to get reconnected. Just a selfish stupid idea.

The proposed fence has no design that has been discussed. Will the fence add value to the habitat or vegetation within the required set back, I doubt it, will the fence let light through all the time as is required when constructing a walkway? How tall will the fence be? What material will the fence be constructed of? What value does the fence add to the area and surrounding properties?

The only reason I believe that Mr. Lavallee wishes to install a fence is because he seems to have trouble getting along with his immediate neighbor. The installation of a fence and having it take away from the beauty of the Kenai River will not help him get along with his neighbor any better would be my guess.

I again ask that you please deny the request for the installation of a fence within the 50' set back area and instruct Mr. Lavallee to come up with an alternate means of separating himself from his neighbor.

If you need to talk with me or ask questions pertaining to my comments feel free to contact me via my cell at 907-727-0611.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns,

Paul Michelsohn Jr.