Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly

MEMORANDUM

TO: Assembly President

Members, Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly

FROM: Tyson Cox, Assembly Member

Lane Chesley, Assembly Member 11.

DATE: October 14, 2021

SUBJECT: Ordinance 2021-40 Amending KPB 2.40.015 Regarding Planning

Commission Membership and Apportionment (Cox, Chesley)

This ordinance amends KPB 2.40.015 to clarify code pertaining to planning commission membership and apportionment.

The Assembly will have three questions to contemplate:

How many city seats should be on the planning commission?

The KPB currently has an eleven-member planning commission. There are four city seats which are subject to an informal rotation between five home rule/first class cities and seven at-large seats. This aligns with apportionment rules, but is difficult, if not, impossible to accomplish with five cites rotating four, 3-year term seats. It is mathematically impossible to create an equitable rotation. This is most likely why Ordinance 2016-25 did not specify how city seats would be distributed.

This ordinance would change the number of planning commissioners from eleven back to thirteen with each of the five home rule or first class cities within the borough having a seat and eight at-large seats. This change would solve the rotation dilemma the borough currently has. It would solve any argument between the cities and the borough as to which cities are to be left on the commission and which cities will be required to sit out.

Should cities be required to submit more than one applicant to the KPB Mayor for selection to the planning commission?

This year the KPB Mayor and legal department have made it known that they interpret state statutes and borough code to say that the city-approved list of recommendations submitted to the mayor should be more than one person. The city of Soldotna understands the same statutes and code to allow for a list to consist of only one applicant. Over the past several years most cities have only submitted one applicant for their designated planning commission seat,

as neither borough code nor state statutes designate the specific number of applicants required to be considered a list. Several KPB Mayors have accepted lists with only one city seat applicant, including our current KPB Mayor.

This ordinance would specify that the list of recommendations given to the borough mayor as approved by the city council would consist of at least one applicant from the respective city. In this case one applicant seems the best definition for a list because we often have very few people who choose to apply to serve as a commissioner. If two or more applicants were required, a process would need to be defined to deal with the situation of a city with only one applicant willing to serve on the commission.

Should any eligible resident of the borough be allowed to apply for planning commission city seat or should the applicant be required to be a resident of that city?

Recently the KPB Mayor and legal department made it clear that they believe state law allows for any eligible KPB resident to apply for a planning commission city seat. The city representatives and constituents that we have spoken with do not feel the same. Many of them disagree with this interpretation of the law.

This ordinance would specify that an applicant for a city seat on the planning commission would be required to be a resident of the respective city. Defining who can be seated in a city seat on the planning commission would eliminate any argument that the apportionment to the unincorporated borough could be too high to comply with State of Alaska requirements. If city seats were to be filled with residents from outside the cities, the cities would be inequitably served on the commission.

Your consideration of this ordinance is appreciated.