Kenai Peninsula Borough Legal Department

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Brent Johnson, Assembly president Members, Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly
FROM:	A. Walker Steinhage, Deputy Borough Attorney 🛯 🖉 🖉 Sean Kelley, Borough Attorney 🕏
CC:	Charlie Pierce, Mayor Melanie Aeschliman, Planning Director
DATE:	January 14, 2022
RE:	Questions for the Assembly to consider regarding Ordinance 2021-41

Appeals from Planning Commission decisions approving or denying material site conditional land use permit (CLUP) applications, and remands to the Commission which sometimes follow such appeals, cost the Borough time, resources, and money.

In response to inquiries from KPB Assembly members, the purpose of this memo is to present some questions for the Assembly to consider as it reviews Ordinance 2021-41. If the Assembly is able to resolve some or all of these questions, the costs associated with appeals from the Commission's CLUP decisions may be alleviated. The questions are as follows:

1) Should the Planning Commission continue to have the discretion to deny a CLUP application?

Current Code: The Planning Commission is vested with discretion to deny a permit application. Under KPB 21.25.050(B) the Planning Commission shall either "approve, modify or disapprove the permit application."

O2021-41 as proposed: The new section KPB 21.29.055 provides that the Planning Commission <u>shall approve</u> permit applications that meet all the mandatory conditions under KPB 21.29.050 and <u>shall</u> <u>disapprove</u> a permit application that does not meet all the conditions under KPB 21.29.050.

- 2) If the Planning Commission has the discretion to deny a CLUP application, what is the scope of that discretion?
 - a. Should the Planning Commission have the discretion to deny a CLUP application which otherwise meets or exceeds all the conditions under KPB 21.29.050 if the Commission finds that the application does not meet the standards established under KPB 21.29.040?
 - b. Should the Planning Commission have the discretion to deny a CLUP application which otherwise meets or exceeds all the conditions under KPB 21.29.050 **and** even if the Commission finds that the application meets the standards established under KPB 21.29.040?
- 3) If the Assembly decides the Planning Commission should have the discretion to deny a CLUP application, how can the applicable KPB Code (specifically KPB 21.29.040 and 21.29.050) be improved to best equip the Commission to make findings of fact, based on substantial evidence in the record, to withstand scrutiny on appeal and thereby reduce remands after appellate review?

Several tables are appended to this memo comparing current KPB Code language and the language proposed in Ordinance 02021-41¹ with the language drawn from the analogous codes from other second-class boroughs; namely, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough (Appendix A), the Ketchikan Gateway Borough (Appendix B), the Kodiak Island Borough (Appendix C), and the Fairbanks North Star Borough (Appendix D).

- 4) If the Assembly decides to eliminate the Planning Commission's discretion to deny CLUP applications, then what is the purpose of the Planning Commission's review of CLUP applications?
 - a. If the Planning Commission's discretion is eliminated, then should review of CLUP applications simply become an administrative process?
 - b. What effect will eliminating the Planning Commission's discretion to deny CLUP applications have on the public's ability to be heard?

Enclosures:

- (1) Appendix A
- (2) Appendix B
- (3) Appendix C
- (4) Appendix D
- (5) Sectional Analysis provided whenO2019-30 was originally considered

New Text Underlined; [Deleted Text Bracketed]

1

APPENDIX A KPB/Matanuska-Susitna Borough

KPB 21.29.040. Standards for sand, gravel or material sites. (As proposed in O2021-41)	MSB 17.30.060 General Standards for Approval
A. These material site regulations are intended to protect against aquifer disturbance, road damage, physical damage to adjacent properties, dust, noise, and visual impacts. Only the conditions set forth in KPB 21.29.050 may be imposed to meet these standards:	(A) In granting an administrative permit or a conditional use permit, the director or commission must make the following findings:
1. Protects against the lowering of water sources serving other properties;	 that the use is not inconsistent with the applicable comprehensive plan;
2. Protects against physical damage to [OTHER] adjacent properties;	 (2) that the use will preserve the value, spirit, character, and integrity of the surrounding area;
3. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against off-site</u> movement of dust;	(3) that the applicant has met all other requirements of this chapter pertaining to the use in question;
4. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> noise disturbance to other properties;	(4) that granting the permit will not be harmful to the public health, safety and general welfare; and
5. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> visual impacts of the material site; [AND]	(5) that the sufficient setbacks, lot area, buffers or other safeguards are being provided to meet the conditions listed in MSB <u>17.30.050</u> (B).
6. Provides for alternate post-mining land uses[.];	
7. Protects Receiving Waters against adverse effects to fish and wildlife habitat;	
8. Protects against traffic impacts; and	
<u>9. Provides consistency with the objectives</u> of the Kenai Peninsula Borough <u>Comprehensive Plan and other</u> <u>applicable planning documents.</u>	

APPENDIX B KPB/Ketchikan Gateway Borough

KPB 21.29.040. Standards for sand, gravel or	KGB Code 18.55.050
material sites. (As proposed in O2021-41)	
A. These material site regulations are intended to protect against aquifer disturbance, road damage, physical damage to adjacent properties, dust, noise, and visual impacts. Only the conditions set forth in KPB 21.29.050 may be imposed to meet these standards:	(a) Purpose. A conditional use permit, issued hereunder, is a device which gives flexibility to the zoning ordinance in a uniform and controlled manner. It permits inclusion, in zones where it is permitted by the zoning ordinance (of which this chapter is part), of uses which are basically desirable to the community, but where the nature of the use will not permit its location at every location in the said zones without restrictions and conditions designed to fit the special problems which the use presents. A conditional use permit allows a landowner to put his property to a use which the zoning ordinance expressly permits: It does not allow a landowner to use his property in a manner forbidden by the zoning ordinance.
1. Protects against the lowering of water sources serving other properties;	 (b) Standards. As express conditions precedent to the granting of any conditional use permit, a majority of the planning commission members (not merely a majority of the members present), after a public hearing, must find in writing that: (1) The requested conditional use is
2. Protects against physical damage to [OTHER] adjacent properties;	reasonably necessary for the public health, safety, and general welfare; and
3. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against off-site</u> movement of dust;	(2) The requested conditional use will not permanently or substantially injure the lawful use of neighboring uses; and
4. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> noise disturbance to other properties;	(3) The requested conditional use will generally be in harmony with the comprehensive plan; and
5. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> visual impacts of the material site; [AND]	(4) The requested conditional use is a conditional use expressly permitted by the zoning ordinance in the zone in which the conditional use permit is requested.
6. Provides for alternate post-mining land uses[.] <u>;</u>	
7. Protects Receiving Waters against adverse effects to fish and wildlife habitat;	
8. Protects against traffic impacts; and	
<u>9. Provides consistency with the objectives</u> of the Kenai Peninsula Borough Comprehensive Plan and other applicable planning documents.	

APPENDIX C KPB/Kodiak Island Borough

KPB 21.29.040. Standards for sand, gravel or material sites. (As proposed in O2021-41)	KIB 17.200.050 General Standards for Approval ²
A. These material site regulations are intended to protect against aquifer disturbance, road damage, physical damage to adjacent properties, dust, noise, and visual impacts. Only the conditions set forth in KPB 21.29.050 may be imposed to meet these standards:	A. Approval. If it is the finding of the commission, after consideration of staff's report and receipt of testimony at the public hearing, that the use proposed in the application, or under appropriate conditions or restrictions, meets all of the following, the conditional use permit shall be granted:
1. Protects against the lowering of water sources serving other properties;	1. That the conditional use will preserve the value, spirit, character and integrity of the surrounding area;
2. Protects against physical damage to [OTHER] adjacent properties;	2. That the conditional use fulfills all other requirements of this chapter pertaining to the conditional use in question;
3. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against off-site</u> movement of dust;	3. That granting the conditional use permit will not be harmful to the public health, safety, convenience and comfort;
4. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> noise disturbance to other properties;	4. That the sufficient setbacks, lot area, buffers or other safeguards are being provided to meet the conditions listed in subsections (A)(1) through (3) of this section;
5. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> visual impacts of the material site; [AND]	5. If the permit is for a public use or structure, the commission must find that the proposed use or structure is located in a manner which will maximize public benefits.
6. Provides for alternate post-mining land uses[.];	
7. Protects Receiving Waters against adverse effects to fish and wildlife habitat;	
8. Protects against traffic impacts; and	
9. Provides consistency with the objectives of the Kenai Peninsula Borough Comprehensive Plan and other applicable planning documents.	

² Interestingly, KIB Code 17.200.050 contains the following subsection: "B. Denial. If the commission finds, after consideration of staff's report and receipt of testimony at the public hearing, that it cannot make all of the required findings in subsection A of this section it shall deny the conditional use permit."

APPENDIX D KPB/Fairbanks North Star Borough

KPB 21.29.040. Standards for sand,	FNSB 18.104.050 Procedures for
gravel or material sites. (As proposed in O2021-41)	conditional uses.
A. These material site regulations are intended to protect against aquifer disturbance, road damage, physical damage to adjacent properties, dust, noise, and visual impacts. Only the conditions set forth in KPB 21.29.050 may be imposed to meet these standards:	C. Hearing and Decision by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission shall review, hear and decide whether or not to approve a request for a conditional use. The Planning Commission shall also consider and adopt findings in each of the following:
1. Protects against the lowering of water sources serving other properties;	1. Whether or not the proposed conditional use conforms to the intent and purpose of this title and of other ordinances and state statutes;
2. Protects against physical damage to [OTHER] <u>adjacent</u> properties;	2. Whether or not there are adequate existing sewage capacities, transportation facilities, energy and water supplies, and other public services to serve the proposed conditional use;
3. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against off-site</u> movement of dust;	3. Whether or not the proposed conditional use will protect the public health, safety and welfare.
4. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> noise disturbance to other properties;	
5. [MINIMIZES] <u>Protects against</u> visual impacts of the material site; [AND]	
6. Provides for alternate post-mining land uses[.];	
7. Protects Receiving Waters against adverse effects to fish and wildlife habitat;	
8. Protects against traffic impacts; and	
9. Provides consistency with the objectives of the Kenai Peninsula Borough Comprehensive Plan and other applicable planning documents.	

Kenai Peninsula Borough Legal Department

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Kelly Cooper, Assembly President Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly Members
THRU:	Charlie Pierce, Mayor
FROM:	Sean Kelley, Deputy Borough Attorney Max Best, Planning Director
DATE:	October 24, 2019
RE:	Material Site Sectional Analysis

Please find following a sectional analysis of the amendments to the material site ordinance proposed by the Kenai Peninsula Borough Planning Commission.

1. In KPB 21.25.030. - Definitions.

A definition of "assisted living home" is added because a setback is proposed to be required from those facilities. A definition for "development plan" is added to support a new exemption from the material site ordinance that allows extraction for on-site development. A definition of "disturbed" is added and the definition of "exhausted" is eliminated. This change is made to avoid the situation where reclamation is delayed or avoided by asserting a material site is not yet exhausted, instead reclamation is in reference to disturbed areas. The term "disturbed" is also consistent with the state of Alaska reclamation language. A definition of "haul route" is added to support the proposed requirement for off-site dust suppression. A definition of "permit area" is added—this clarifies that a portion of a parcel, as opposed to an entire parcel, may be subject to a material site permit and defines what attributes will be considered part of the permitted area. A definition of "vicinity" is added to include all existing uses within the 1/2-mile notification area. This defines the area that should be considered when waiving or lessening the conditions on the permit.

Material Site Sectional Analysis October 24, 2019 Page -2-

2. KPB 21.29.010. - Material extraction exempt from obtaining a permit.

Subsection (D) adds a new exemption for parcels with a development plan on file with the planning department. This provision exempts from the ordinance short-term extraction that is incidental to site development for a building project.

3. KPB 21.29.030. - Application procedure.

Surface water protection measures are moved from the site plan section of the application to Paragraph (A)(8) because a surveyor is required to prepare the site plan, but an engineer is necessary to design the surface water protection measures.

Paragraph (A)(9)(f) is clarified to require more than 1 test hole placed anywhere on the parcel as that requirement allowed for taking the test hole at the highest elevation on a parcel which may not be the most accurate measurement of depth to groundwater. The proposed ordinance requires a test hole for every ten acres of excavated area and the test holes must be four feet below the proposed depth of excavation. This is consistent with the proposed increased requirement that excavation remain four feet above ground water which is consistent with Alaska DEC User's Manual Best Management Practices for Gravel/Rock Aggregate Extraction Projects – Protecting Surface Water & Groundwater Quality in Alaska (Sept. 2012) (hereinafter "Best Management Practices") and is also consistent with the current requirement for counter permits.

4. KPB 21.29.040. -Standards for sand, gravel or material sites.

Three new standards are added that either existing or proposed conditions will meet. Receiving waters are protected for fish and wildlife. This standard is consistent with mandatory condition #6 which requires a setback from waterbodies for material site extraction. Standard #8 is added to protect against traffic impacts which is consistent with the conditions regarding damage to borough roads, proposed ingress and egress, noise, and dust. Standard #9 is added because planning decisions should be consistent with the comprehensive plan.

Material Site Sectional Analysis October 24, 2019 Page -3-

- 5. KPB 21.20.050(A)(1) is changed to require staking the permit boundaries, rather than the parcel boundaries prior to issuance of the permit. (Staking the boundaries of the parcel is currently required at time of application.)
- 6. KPB 21.20.050(A)(2) is changed to require a maximum buffer of 100 feet unless the operator can demonstrate to the planning commission that there are good reasons for a reduced buffer. A fence, vegetation, or berm or a combination thereof may be used as a buffer. Unlike the current code, the maximum vegetative buffer is not 50 feet but could be up to the entire 100 foot of buffer required. Another new requirement is that when a buffer area has been denuded prior to review of the application by the planning commission or planning director revegetation may be required. This is to avoid the practice of making application and then destroying the vegetation that could have served as a buffer. Finally, there is a new condition allowing the buffer to be reduced with an approved alternate buffer plan which may consist of a berm, vegetation, fence or other type of buffer solution. For example, a moveable wall that would screen noise and the visual impact of the material site could be allowed.
- 7. Language is revised in KPB 21.29.050(A)(3) for consistency by using the term "vicinity" rather than the term "adjacent".
- In KPB 21.20.050(A)(6) the buffer from waterbodies is increased to 200 feet. This condition is consistent with the Alaska DEC User Manual Best Management Practices and the newly proposed standard regarding the protection of "receiving waters".
- 9. Paragraph KPB 21.29.050(A)(11) is revised to prohibit processing from 7 p.m. to 6 a.m. The current prohibition is 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. for rock crushing. Paragraph (b) is added to allow the planning commission to grant exceptions to the restrictions on processing hours based on a variety of factors including surrounding land uses, topography, screening the material site from adjacent properties and conditions placed on the permit by the planning commission to mitigate the noise, dust, and visual impacts caused by the material site.
- Paragraph KPB 21.29.050(A)(12)(b) clarifies the requirement for a reclamation plan and bonding for material sites that are not exempt from the state bonding requirements. This condition is further detailed in KPB 21.29.060(B) addressing reclamation.

Material Site Sectional Analysis October 24, 2019 Page -4-

- 11. Air quality is added to the list of other regulations in condition KPB 21.29.050(A)(13) that a material site is responsible for following.
- 12. Language is revised in KPB 21.29.050(A)(14) for consistency by using the term "volunteered" rather than the term "voluntary".
- 13. In KPB 21.29.050(A)(16), a new condition clarifies that a material site permit shall not be issued until the 15-day appeal period has passed to avoid someone operating prior to an appeal being filed only to be required to cease because of the stay required by KPB 21.20.260.
- 14. A new condition is added in KPB 21.29.050(A)(17), Sound Level. The condition requires that sounds levels from material site activities not exceed 75 dB(A), measured at or within the property boundary of the material site. Some exceptions are made to increase that limit for sound of a short duration between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. The planning commission may reduce the sound level requirements in consideration of the existing land uses in the vicinity. This sound level requirement has a sunset clause of 365 days after adoption unless extended by the assembly in order to gather information on noise levels and ensure that this new requirement is workable for site operations. This condition meets the standard regarding reduction of noise impacts generated by a material site.
- 15. KPB 21.29.050(A)(18) is a new requirement that white noise devices be used instead of high-pitched tone alarms. This requirement may be waived based on existing land uses in the vicinity of the material site. This condition meets the standard regarding reduction of noise impacts generated by a material site.
- 16. KPB 21.29.050(A)(19) is a new condition allowing the planning commission or planning director as appropriate to determine the points of ingress and egress of a material site as concerns regarding the direction of haul route traffic are frequently raised. Driveway authorizations for access to public roads must be received prior to permit issuance. This condition meets the standards regarding traffic, noise, and dust.
- KPB 21.29.050(A)(20) is a new condition requiring dust suppression on haul routes. The condition can be relaxed based on surrounding land uses. This condition meets the standard regarding reduction of dust generated by material sites.

Material Site Sectional Analysis October 24, 2019 Page -5-

- 18. KPB 21.29.050(A)(21) provides that if surface water protection measures are to be provided as defined in KPB 21.29.030(A)(8), they must be approved by a licensed civil engineer.
- 19. KPB 21.29.050(A)(22) is a new condition requiring material sites to maintain one monitoring tube per ten acres of excavated area four feet below the proposed excavation. This condition is consistent with the new requirement that excavation remain four feet above groundwater. This condition addresses the standard of protection of surrounding water sources.
- 20. KPB 21.29.050(A)(23) is a new requirement for a setback from local option zoning districts, schools, child care facilities, senior centers, assisted living homes and licensed health care facilities.
- 21. KPB 21.20.055, Decision, is added which clarifies the planning commission's authority to approve or disapprove a permit application and authority to modify permit conditions.
- 22. KPB 21.29.060 is amended to clarify that reclamation plans last for five years consistent with the five-year renewal requirement for material site permits. Bonding is required at \$2000.00 per acre for all acreage included in the five-year reclamation plan, or the planning director may accept a civil engineer's estimate for determining the amount of the bond. If the applicant is bonded with the state, the applicant need not be bonded with the borough.
- 23. KPB 21.29.120, Prior Existing Uses, is amended to delete the provision regarding terminating abandoned material site permits since it was only applicable to permits that did not operate between May 21, 1996 and May 21, 2011. New language is added requiring PEUs to provide proof of compliance with the state reclamation, bonding, and letter of intent requirements. Failure to file this documentation may result in an enforcement action.
- 24. KPB 21.50.055, Fines, is amended to include a \$300.00 fine for failure to provide a reclamation plan and proof of bonding or letter of intent pursuant to KPB 21.29.120.