# Kenai Peninsula Borough <br> Board of Equalization Appeal Hearing Packet 

## CASE NO. 2022-102 Jorel Noph Bell: 03914137

## Monday, May 23, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.

Betty J. Glick Assembly Chambers, Borough Administration Building, 144 N. Binkley St., Sold otna

## Office of the Borough Clerk

144 N. Binkley Street, Soldotna, Alaska 99669 • (907) 714-2160• (907) 714-2388 Fax
Johni Blankenship, MMC
Borough Clerk

## TAX ASSESSMENT APPEAL HEARING DATE

April 22, 2022

JOSEPH BELL
DOCBELL@GMAIL.COM

RE: Parcel No(s): 03914137: Owner of Record and Appellant: JOSEPH AND NANCY BELL

This is to advise you that the appeal of the assessment of the above referenced property will be considered by the Board of Equalization at the hearing on 5/23/2022 at 10:00 a.m.

Any additional evidence or documentation you intend to use during the hearing must be received by the Borough Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. on 5/9/2022. Your evidence may be mailed, emailed, hand delivered or faxed. Late filed evidence may be denied.

## Resources:

The Kenai Peninsula Borough Code (pertaining to the conduct of the hearing is available at the following web address:
https://library.municode.com/ak/kenai peninsula borough/codes/code of ordinances?nodeld= TIT5REFI CH5.12REPRPEPRTA 5.12.060BOEQPR.

An information packet is also available at:
https://www.kpb.us/images/KPB/CLK/Board of Equalization/Information Packet VALUATION A PPEAL PROCESS.pdf.

2021 Board of Equalization training session:
http://kpb.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view id=1\&clip id=1061.

Any request for remote (video/teleconference) participation must be received by the borough clerk no later than 15 days before the hearing, unless good cause is shown for filing a late request. If your case is called and you are not available we will try reaching you at a later time and if we are still unable to reach you that day, the Board may elect to decide your case based solely on the written material you have presented.

Johni Blankenship, MMC, Borough Clerk
jblankenship@kpb.us

## Tax Year 2022 <br> Real Property Assessment Valuation Appeal Kenai Peninsula Borough Office of the Borough Clerk

144 N. Binkley Street
Soldotna, Alaska 99669-7599

Phone: (907) 714-2160
Toll Free: 1-800-478-4441

Applications must be postmarked or received at the Office of the Borough Clerk or authorized office in Fiomer or Seward by: 5:00 p.m. on March 31, 2022.

Filling Fee: Must be included wilth this appeal form.
For Commercial Property: Please include Attachment A


| FILNG FEE BASED ON TOTAL ASSESSED VAIUE PER PARGEL <br> (Each parel/account oppealed must be occompanied by a seporate filing fee ard form) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assessed Value from A ssessment Notice | Filing Fee |
| Less than \$100,000 | \$30 |
| \$100,000 to \$499,999 | \$100 |
| \$500,000 to \$1,999,999 | \$200 |
| \$2,000,000 and higher | \$1,000 |

Per KPB 5.12 .050 ( $B$ ), if the appeal is withdrawn before evidence is due, or if the appellant or agent of the appellant is present for the appellant's hearing before the BOE or participates telephonically pursuant to KPB $5.12 .060(\mathrm{~T})$ then the filing fee shall be fully refunded within 30 days after the hearing date.

| Account / Parcel Number: | 03914137 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Owner: | NOTE: A SEPARATE FORM IS REquired FOR EACH PARCEL. |
| Legal Description: | T 06N R 12W SEC 26 Seward Meridian KN 2015024 SHORELINE HEIGHTS 2014 ADD Nancy M Bell |
| Physical Address of Property: | 1517 PEY DR, KENAI |

Contact information for all correspondence relating to this appeal:

| Mailing Address: | PO Box 876375, Wasilla AK 99687 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phone (daytime): | $907-209-4336$ | Phone (evening): | same |
| Email Address: | docbell@ymail.com | I AGREE TO BE SERVED VIA EMAIL |  |

Value from Assessment Notice: $\$ 95,700$ Appellant's Opinion of Value: 48,200-51,625 (see letter) Year Property was Purchased:2021 Price Paid: $\$ 87,500$

Has the property been appraised by a private fee appraiser within the past 3-years?
Has property been advertised FOR SALE within the past 3-years?
Yes $\square$ No III

Comparable Sales:

| PARCEL NO. | ADDRESS | DATE OF SALE | SALE PRICE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

THE ONLY GROUNDS FOR APPEAL ARE: UNEQUAL, EXCESSIVE, IMPROPER, OR UNDER VALUATION OF THE PROPERTY (KPB 5.12 .050 (E)|. Mark reason for appeal and provide a detailed explanation below for your appeal to be valid. (Aftach additional sheets as necessary)
( My property value is excessive. (Overvalued)
$\square$ My property was valued incorrectly. (improperly)
$\square$ My property has been undervalued.
My property value is unequal to similar properties.

The following are NOI grounds for appeal:
-The taxes are too high.
$\rightarrow$ The value changed too much in one year.

- You cannot afford the taxes.

You must provide specific reasons and provide evidence supporting the item checked above.

** THE APPELLANT BEARS THE BURDEN OF PROOF (AS 29.45.210(b)) **

## Check the following statement that applies to your intentions:

$\square$ I intend to submit additional evidence within the required time limit of 15 days prior to the hearing date.
$\square$ My appeal is complete. I have provided all the evidence that I intend to submit, and request that my appeal be reviewed based on the evidence submitted.

## Check the following statement that applies to who is filing this appeal:

$\square$ I am the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ I am the afforney for the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ The owner of record for this account is a business, trust or other entity for which 1 am an owner or officer, trustee, or otherwise authorized to act on behalf of the entity. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this entity (i.e., copy of articles of incorporation or resolution which designates you as an officer, written authorization from an officer of the company, or copy from trust document identifying you as trustee). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.The owner of record is deceased and I am the personal representative of the estate. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this individual and/or his/her estate (i.e., copy of recorded personal representative documentation). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.
$\square$ I am not the owner of record for this account, but I wish to appeal on behalf of the owner. I have attached a notarized Power of Attorney document signed by the owner of record. If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.

Oath of Appellant: I hereby affirm that the foregoing information and any additional information that I submit is true and corfect.


Date

# Vein Specialists of Alaska, LLC Joseph Ty Bell, M.D., RPhS 

Offices: Soldotna 907/262-1900 • Wasilla 907/631-3799 Fax 866/550-6776 help@veinspecialistsalaska.com
March 29, 2022

Office of Kenai Borough Assessor<br>144 N. Binkley Street<br>Soldotna, AK 99669-7520

RE: Real Property Tax Value Appeal (Legal: T 06N R 12W SEC 26 Seward Meridian KN 2015024
Shoreline Heights 2014 Addn Phase 1 Lot 14; physical: 1517 Pey Drive, Kenai AK)
Dear Madams and Sirs:
I am the owner of two lots in Shoreline Heights development in Kenai: 1) the one listed above that I am appealing tax valuation [herein referred to as "Lot A"]. I own Lot A jointly with my wife Nancy; 2) lot at 1514 Pey Drive (legal: T 06N R 12W SEC 26 Seward Meridian KN 2015024 Shoreline Heights 2014 Addn Phase 1 Lot 38) [herein referred to as "Lot B"].

I purchased Lot B first (initially purchased through our family LLC, Alaska Bell LLC), then purchased Lot A. Both lots are essentially the same size and rectangular shapes with almost identical road frontage. Pey Drive runs parallel to the Cook Inlet, and the only difference between the lots is Lot A sits on the Inlet side of the bluff and Lot B just across the street.

I have no qualm (well, almost no qualm) with the land property valuation of Lot B , but I do believe the tax valuation of Lot A is excessive and not computed with same logic as Lot B . I present these facts to substantiate:

- Lot B was purchased in $09 / 2016$ for $\$ 55,000$. We have since begun building a home on this lot, but the breakdown of the tax value of the land itself is $\$ 32,400$. Lot A was purchased in 05/2021 for $\$ 87,500$ from the same seller as Lot $B$, current tax land valuation $\$ 95,700$. A quick assessment of purchase price versus tax valuation reveals that Lot B's tax valuation is $59 \%$ of purchase price/fair market value (FMV). In my experience as a property holder of many properties through the years, even that percentage tax value is on the high side for Lot B , but I will let that slide, since at least it is in keeping with tax values in the U.S., historically far below/below fair market values (and corresponding purchase prices). Lot A's tax value to purchase price, on the other hand, is upside down, at 109\%. By current assessment, Lot A's tax value is more than what we paid for the lot.
- Said another way: Lot A's land tax value stands at almost 3 times that of Lot $B$ right across the street, yet Nancy and I did not pay 3 times the price for Lot A versus Lot B; only 1.7 times more than tax value for Lot $B$.
- Historical records of valuation of the two lots going back to 2016 (accessed on the KPB website) reveal Lot B's land tax valuation has remained steady, actually valued $\$ 500$ less for 2022 (versus \$32,900 years 2016-2020); whereas Lot A's land tax valuation nearly doubled (\$95,700 for 2022 versus \$48,200 years 2016-2020).
- After purchasing Lot A last year and receiving the tax bill, I questioned the excessive tax valuation versus Lot $B$, but was told by the gentlemen I spoke to by phone (I do not recall his name), that: 1) I was too late to contest the tax valuation for that year, and 2) the much higher tax

Page 2-03/29/2022 letter of appeal tax valuation lot at 1517 Pey Drive
value placed on Lot A is because itson the Cook Inlet side ("oceanfront"). Point 2 is faulty logic due to:

- Analyzing beachfront property tax values in many U.S. locations, values are not triple the amount for oceanfront versus "semi" oceanfront (i.e. just across the street).
- Further, a fact we knew when purchasing Lot A, is that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has done studies of this area of the bluff and acknowledges it is eroding annually, some years more than others. This should be factored into valuations for bluff front properties.

Therefore, to make this fair as an "apples to apples" valuation, I derived the revised lower tax valuation of Lot A of $\$ 48,200$ (since Lot B value did not increase based on values 2016-2020). That value is most fair based on what I have presented, but as a compromise, I would accept a revised tax valuation for Lot A of $\$ 51,625$ ( $\$ 87,500$ purchase price/FMV x $59 \%$ ).

For the above reasons, I respectfully ask and thank you in advance for a thorough review of this appeal and hopeful meaningful reduction in land tax valuation for Lot $A$.

P.S. I called today to clarify with one of your office personnel (? name Amanda) who confirmed that an appeal must be postmarked by $03 / 31 / 2022$, not having to have arrived in your office by then.
enclosures: copy of 2022 KPB Assessment Notices and website info for 1514 Pey Drive and 1517 Pey Drive
Kenai Peninsula Borough
Assessing Department
144 H. Binkey Street
Soldiotna AK 99669
caneral thormation



| Kave |  | YE1] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Reason | Assessed |  |  |
|  |  | Land | Structures | Total |
| 2022 | Main Roh Certification | \$32,400 | \$155,1000 | \$188,200 |
| 2021 | Main Roll Certification | \$27,000 | \$0 | \$27,000 |
| 2020 | Main Roll Certification | \$32,900 | \$0 | \$32,900 |
| 2019 | Main Ranl Certification | \$32,900 | \$0 | \$32,900 |
| 2018 | Main Roll Certification | \$32,900 | \$0 | \$32,900 |
| 2017 | Main Roll Centification | \$32,900 | \$0 | \$32,900 |
| 2016 | Main Roll Certification | \$32,900 | 50 | \$32,900 |

Toll free within Kenai Peninsula borough only

## THIS IS NOT A TAX BHLL

This is a notice of the January 1st assessed value for the following described taxable property.

Property ID (PIN):03914161
ParcelAddress:


Tax Authority: 30 - KENAI CITY
Legal Description:
T $06 N$ R $12 W$ SEC 26 SEWARD MERIDIAN KN 2015024 SHORELINE HEIGHTS 2014 ADDN PHASE 1 LOT 38

| Land: | 32,400 | Improvements*: | 155,800 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Assessed KPB: | 188,200 | Exempt Value KPB: | 0 | Total Taxable KPB: | 188,200 |
| Total Assessed City: | 188,200 | Exempt Value City: | 0 | Total Taxable City: | 188,200 |

AS 29.45.180(a) \& KPB 5.12 .040 (A) require that a person receiving a Notice of Assessment must advise the Assessor of errors oromissions in the assessment of the person's property, or of disputes in assessed value or taxable status of the property, within 30 days after the mailing of the Notice of Assessment.
Any improvements located on this property as of January 1, 2022 that are not reflected on this notice must be reported to the Assessor. Improvements omitted from the main tax roll will be placed on a supplemental tax roll at the time of discovery and a tax bill will be sent to you including accrued interest.
*Improvements include but are not limited to: Driveway, well, septic, mobile homes, and structures.
Any waivers for filing late exemptions after $3 / 31 / 2022$ will not be approved.

APPEALDEADLINE: $\quad 3 / 31 / 2022$

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
WILL BEGIN MEETING: 5/23/2022

TAXES DUE IN FULL: $\quad 10 / 17 / 2022$
OR
1st INSTALLMENTDUE: 9/15/2022
2nd INSTALLMENT DUE: 11/15/2022


## 2022 NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT <br> Annual - Real Property

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
144 N. BINKLEY STREET
SOLDOTNA, AK 99669-7520
AddressServiceRequested


A 29416-1/1 P112TR7

CHARLIE PIERCE BOROUGH MAYOR
NANCY M BELL PO BOX 3105
BOONE NC 28607-3105
(907) 714-2230 Fax: 714-2393
(800) 478-4441

Toll free within Kenai Peninsula borough only

## THIS IS NOTATAX BILL

This is a notice of the January 1 st assessed value for the following described taxable property.

Property ID (PIN):03914137
ParcelAddress:
1517 PEY DR

Tax Authority: 30 - KENAI CITY
Legal Description:
T O6N R $12 W$ SEC 26 SEWARD MERIDIAN KN 2015024 SHORELINE
HEIGHTS 2014 ADDN PHASE 1 LOT 14

2022 Assessed Values

| Land: | 95,700 | Improvements*: | 0 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Assessed KPB: | 95,700 | Exempt Value KPB: | 0 | Total Taxable KPB: | 95,700 |
| Total Assessed City: | 95,700 | Exempt Value City: | 0 | Total Taxable City: | 95,700 |

AS 29.45.180(a) \& KPB 5.12 .040 (A) require that a person receiving a Notice of Assessment must advise the Assessor of errors or omissions in the assessment of the person's property, or of disputes in assessed value or taxable status of the property, within 30 days after the mailing of the Notice of Assessment
Any improvements located on this property as of January 1, 2022 that are not reflected on this notice must be reported to the Assessor. Improvements omitted from the main tax roll will be placed on a supplemental tax roll at the time of discovery and a tax bill will be sent to you including accrued interest.

* Improvements include but are not limited to: Driveway, well, septic, mobile homes, and structures.

APPEALDEADLINE: $3 / 31 / 2022$

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
WILL BEGIN MEETING: 5/23/2022

TAXES DUE IN FULL: $10 / 17 / 2022$
OR
1st INSTALLMENTDUE: 9/15/2022
2nd INSTALLMENTDUE: 11/15/2022

## APPELLANT: Bell, Joseph T II/Nancy M <br> PROPERTY ADDRESS OR GENERAL LOCATION: <br> LEGAL DESCRIPTION: <br> ASSESSED VALUE TOTAL: <br> RAW LAND: <br> SWL (Sewer, Water, Landscaping): <br> IMPROVEMENTS <br> ADDITIONS <br> OUTBUILDINGS: \$ <br> LAND SIZE 1.03 Acres <br> LAND USE AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION <br> 1) Utilities <br> Electricity: Yes <br> Water: None <br> 2) Site Improvements: <br> Street: Paved <br> PARCEL NUMBER: 039-141-37 <br> 1517 PEY DRIVE, KENAI, AK <br> T 06N R 12W SEC 26 Seward Meridian KN 2015024 <br> SHORELINE HEIGHTS 2014 ADDN PHASE 1 LOT 14 <br> \$95,700 <br> \$95,700 <br> \$ <br> \$ <br> \$

## 3) Site Conditions

Topography: Level/Waterfront/Bluff
View: Excellent

HIGHEST AND BEST USE: Residential

The Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB) Assessing Department uses a Market Adjusted Cost Approach to value residential structures for assessment purposes. This Cost Approach is derived from the property description, quality, size and features and is based upon replacement cost new less deprecation (RCN-D). That value is then adjusted by a statistically tested market adjustment.

According to Property Assessment Valuation, the first step in developing a cost approach is to estimate the land value at its highest and best use. KPB does this by reviewing, analyzing, and statistically testing reported land sales in a given market area. That updated land value is then combined with the value of all improvement; the sum of the two is the assessed value. This application is in accordance with State of Alaska AS 29.45.110.

## Land Comments

The subject property 1.03 acre parcel with an excellent view, ocean waterfront, electric and gas utility, paved road access, with no sewer or water utility, located in the Kenai City limits. 0.30 acres of this parcel have been devalued as remainder/wetlands to reflect the section of the bluff that has eroded. The appellant states he purchased the property in 2021 ( $5 / 25 / 2021$ ) for $\$ 87,500$, though he did not report the sale to the assessing department. In his appeal, he has stated he thinks the property is worth only $\$ 48,200-\$ 51,625$ which is significantly less than his 2021 purchase price.

A physical inspection of the land was performed on April $7^{\text {th }}, 2022$ by Tom Johnson, Appraiser II and Heather Windsor, Appraiser I. This property is being valued fairly and equitably with surrounding like-kind properties.

For the Kenai market area (\#120), was updated in 2021 using the previous 3 years of reported sales. Reported sales in the Kenai market area for 2021 show a median ratio of $85.7 \%$, indicating further revaluation is needed for 2023. The current land model was reviewed by the Kenai Borough Assessing Department. This property is being valued fairly and equitably with surrounding like-kind properties.

| Mean | $89.39 \%$ |  |  | Excluded | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | $92.80 \%$ | Earliest Sale | $9 / 5 / 2017$ | \# of Sales | 33 |
| WtdMean | $85.48 \%$ | Latest Sale | $8 / 14 / 2020$ | Total AV | $\$ 1,054,600$ |
| PRD: | 1.05 | Outlier Information | Total SP | $\$ 1,233,711$ |  |
| PRB: | 0.02 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum $\%$ | $51.67 \%$ |
| COD: | 16.41 | Lower Boundary | $33.22 \%$ | Maximum $\%$ | $134.03 \%$ |
| St.Dev | 0.1993 | Upper Boundary | $140.82 \%$ | Min Sale Amt | $\$$ |
| COV: | 22.29 |  |  | Max Sale Amt | $\$ 274,300$ |

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1996). Property Assessment Valuation Second edition. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION

The Assessing Department requests the Board of Equalization uphold their value recommended below based on the following findings:

1. Subject property is currently valued uniformly and equitably with the surrounding parcels.
2. The Assessing Department completed an onsite physical inspection of the subject property to ensure influences are applied correctly and uniformly to the subject property.
3. The Assessing Department uses standardized mass appraisal procedures and techniques to specify and calibrate market models which are applied uniformly to value property within the borough. The modeled values are statistically tested to ensure a level of accuracy and equity of assessment that meets the guidelines established by the Alaska Association of Assessing Officers and the International Association of Assessing Officers, and in compliance with State Statutes.
4. The Assessing Department reviewed all physical characteristics of the subject property to ensure all data was accurately captured.

## ASSESSOR'S RECOMMENDATION:

## APPELLANT: Bell, Joseph T II/Nancy M

PARCEL NUMBER: 039-141-37

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T 06N R 12W SEC 26 Seward Meridian KN 2015024 SHORELINE HEIGHTS 2014 ADDN PHASE 1 LOT 14

TOTAL: \$95,700
BOARD ACTION:

LAND: $\qquad$ IMPROVEMENTS: $\qquad$ TOTAL: $\qquad$

## SUBJECT PHOTOS



## SUBJECT PHOTOS








2021 ONLY LAND SALES

| NBH | SALE DATE | PIN | ACRES | LAND VAL | SALE PRICE | Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 120 | $2 / 3 / 2021$ | 03902216 | 0.41 | $\$$ | 16,000 | $\$$ | 14,000 | $114.29 \%$ |
| 120 | $3 / 9 / 2021$ | 03903301 | 0.27 | $\$$ | 9,100 | $\$$ | 6,500 | $140.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $3 / 23 / 2021$ | 03903302 | 0.29 | $\$$ | 11,500 | $\$$ | 6,500 | $176.92 \%$ |
| 120 | $7 / 21 / 2021$ | 03903318 | 0.17 | $\$$ | 5,700 | $\$$ | 4,000 | $142.50 \%$ |
| 120 | $7 / 8 / 2021$ | 03910222 | 0.34 | $\$$ | 14,600 | $\$$ | 17,500 | $83.43 \%$ |
| 120 | $5 / 24 / 2021$ | 04101317 | 0.22 | $\$$ | 17,600 | $\$$ | 20,000 | $88.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $6 / 16 / 2021$ | 04101319 | 0.26 | $\$$ | 19,100 | $\$$ | 25,000 | $76.40 \%$ |
| 120 | $5 / 24 / 2021$ | 04101345 | 0.33 | $\$$ | 21,600 | $\$$ | 18,000 | $120.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $4 / 23 / 2021$ | 04311021 | 0.54 | $\$$ | 18,400 | $\$$ | 11,500 | $160.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $6 / 14 / 2021$ | 04503017 | 0.3 | $\$$ | 12,300 | $\$$ | 25,000 | $49.20 \%$ |
| 120 | $5 / 25 / 2021$ | 04515215 | 0.3 | $\$$ | 12,300 | $\$$ | 7,500 | $164.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $7 / 13 / 2021$ | 04522016 | 2.5 | $\$$ | 23,700 | $\$$ | 55,000 | $43.09 \%$ |
| 120 | $5 / 5 / 2021$ | 04908111 | 0.22 | $\$$ | 11,700 | $\$$ | 15,137 | $77.29 \%$ |
| 120 | $3 / 5 / 2021$ | 04916052 | 0.3 | $\$$ | 13,700 | $\$$ | 28,000 | $48.93 \%$ |
| 120 | $4 / 13 / 2021$ | 04917023 | 0.46 | $\$$ | 12,800 | $\$$ | 14,000 | $91.43 \%$ |
| 120 | $3 / 22 / 2021$ | 04932001 | 0.52 | $\$$ | 13,500 | $\$$ | 9,100 | $148.35 \%$ |
| 120 | $5 / 4 / 2021$ | 04938027 | 0.26 | $\$$ | 12,700 | $\$$ | 24,000 | $52.92 \%$ |
| 120 | $4 / 26 / 2021$ | 04940056 | 0.92 | $\$$ | 18,000 | $\$$ | 14,300 | $125.87 \%$ |
| 120 | $2 / 18 / 2021$ | 04941034 | 1.25 | $\$$ | 21,000 | $\$$ | 45,000 | $46.67 \%$ |
| 120 | $3 / 26 / 2021$ | 04941051 | 1.03 | $\$$ | 21,600 | $\$$ | 27,000 | $80.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $6 / 25 / 2021$ | 04942059 | 1.09 | $\$$ | 19,600 | $\$$ | 27,999 | $70.00 \%$ |
| 120 | $6 / 23 / 2021$ | 04949051 | 1.5 | $\$$ | 16,800 | $\$$ | 35,000 | $48.00 \%$ |

MEDIAN RATIO
85.71\%

Residential land for Kenai (\#120) was updated for 2021.
For 2021 sales show a median ratio of $85.71 \%$, indicating further revaluation needed for 2023

| Mean | $89.39 \%$ |  |  | Excluded | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | $92.80 \%$ | Earliest Sale | $9 / 5 / 2017$ | \# of Sales | 33 |
| WtdMean | $85.48 \%$ | Latest Sale |  | $8 / 14 / 2020$ | Total AV |
| PRD: | 1.05 | Outlier Information |  | Total SP | $\$ 1,233,711$ |
| PRB: | 0.02 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum \% | $51.67 \%$ |
| COD: | 16.41 | Lower Boundary | $33.22 \%$ | Maximum \% | $134.03 \%$ |
| St.Dev | 0.1993 | Upper Boundary | $140.82 \%$ | Min Sale Amt | $\$ 2,800$ |
| COV: | 22.29 |  |  | Max Sale Amt | $\$ 274,300$ |





| NBH | SALE DATE | PIN | ACRES | LAND VAL |  | SALEPRICE |  | RATIO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120 | 43455 | 03901062 | 20.17 | \$ | 44,900 | \$ | 33,500 | 134.03\% |
| 120 | 42984 | 03903221 | 0.39 | \$ | 5,500 | \$ | 9,000 | 61.11\% |
| 120 | 43402 | 03903401 | 0.45 | \$ | 9,200 | \$ | 9,500 | 96.84\% |
| 120 | 43097 | 03906308 | 0.2 | \$ | 6,200 | \$ | 12,000 | 51.67\% |
| 120 | 43573 | 03909202 | 0.28 | \$ | 2,600 | \$ | 2,800 | 92.86\% |
| 120 | 43371 | 03909305 | 0.21 | \$ | 11,500 | \$ | 9,500 | 121.05\% |
| 120 | 44057 | 03914138 | 1 | \$ | 80,400 | \$ | 90,000 | 89.33\% |
| 120 | 43999 | 04101357 | 0.86 | \$ | 34,800 | \$ | 37,500 | 92.80\% |
| 120 | 43083 | 04101447 | 1.93 | \$ | 46,800 | \$ | 44,500 | 105.17\% |
| 120 | 43241 | 04107111 | 0.98 | \$ | 4,900 | \$ | 5,500 | 89.09\% |
| 120 | 43381 | 04311031 | 0.22 | \$ | 11,700 | \$ | 12,000 | 97.50\% |
| 120 | 43399 | 04514003 | 1.25 | \$ | 15,400 | \$ | 15,500 | 99.35\% |
| 120 | 43318 | 04519036 | 0.21 | \$ | 11,500 | \$ | 18,500 | 62.16\% |
| 120 | 43230 | 04521054 | 0.48 | \$ | 17,300 | \$ | 20,000 | 86.50\% |
| 120 | 43447 | 04522062 | 0.49 | \$ | 36,800 | \$ | 38,000 | 96.84\% |
| 120 | 43160 | 04907029 | 0.5 | \$ | 31,000 | \$ | 40,000 | 77.50\% |
| 120 | 43178 | 04910122 | 3.52 | \$ | 246,200 | \$ | 274,300 | 89.76\% |
| 120 | 43308 | 04913005 | 0.42 | \$ | 16,200 | \$ | 25,500 | 63.53\% |
| 120 | 43308 | 04913045 | 0.42 | \$ | 16,200 | \$ | 24,600 | 65.85\% |
| 120 | 43392 | 04926105 | 0.92 | \$ | 20,400 | \$ | 33,500 | 60.90\% |
| 120 | 43735 | 04926207 | 1.67 | \$ | 59,800 | \$ | 104,000 | 57.50\% |
| 120 | 43602 | 04927016 | 1.51 | \$ | 32,000 | \$ | 31,500 | 101.59\% |
| 120 | 42983 | 04930030 | 0.86 | \$ | 22,000 | \$ | 25,211 | 87.26\% |
| 120 | 43320 | 04937109 | 20.47 | \$ | 96,100 | \$ | 138,000 | 69.64\% |
| 120 | 43291 | 04940039 | 1.03 | \$ | 19,100 | \$ | 23,000 | 83.04\% |
| 120 | 43143 | 04940048 | 0.92 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 15,500 | 116.13\% |
| 120 | 43732 | 04940053 | 0.92 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 17,500 | 102.86\% |
| 120 | 43235 | 04941030 | 1.03 | \$ | 21,600 | \$ | 22,500 | 96.00\% |
| 120 | 43234 | 04941054 | 1.41 | \$ | 25,200 | \$ | 27,000 | 93.33\% |
| 120 | 43151 | 04942029 | 0.96 | \$ | 20,800 | \$ | 21,000 | 99.05\% |
| 120 | 43025 | 04942033 | 0.94 | \$ | 20,600 | \$ | 25,000 | 82.40\% |
| 120 | 43390 | 04948032 | 1.06 | \$ | 19,300 | \$ | 15,800 | 122.15\% |
| 120 | 43278 | 04951013 | 1.01 | \$ | 12,600 | \$ | 12,000 | 105.00\% |



| Date | Time | Name of <br> Contact | Account \# | Contact \# | Comments / Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 / 6 / 22$ | $10: 10$ <br> AM | Joseph Bell | $039-141-37$ | $907-209-4336$ | Left message will be out in area 4/7/22 afternoon |
| $4 / 13 / 22$ | $12: 12$ <br> PM | Dr. Ty Bell | $039-141-37$ | $907-209-4336$ | clm to discuss land value |
| $4 / 13 / 22$ | $1: 11$ <br> PM | Dr. Ty Bell | $039-141-37$ | $907-209-4336$ | Discussed current market value a bit, he expressed <br> he doesn't like it. This value is not his typical <br> experience owning property on the Peninsula. <br> "Usually the KPB assessed value is 25\% lower than <br> market value." |

Kenal peninsula borough assessing department
039-141-38
Card RO1
039-141-38
$\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { Construction } & \text { BaseArea floor FinArea } & \text { Value } \\ \text { Wood Frame } & 2531 & 1.0 & 2,531 & 268,330 \\ \text { Wood Frame } & 2531 & 1.5 & 1,518 & 39,260\end{array}$

|  | TOTAL BASE | $\mathbf{3 0 7 , 5 9 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERIOR | Frame/Siding/Roof/Dorme | 6,570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Loft/Cathedral | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interior finish | 64,970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basement finish | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Heating | 8,340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plumbing | 12,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fireplaces/woodstoves | 2,525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other (Ex.Liv, AC, Attic, .... | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL INT |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 5 , 0 0 5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| EXT FEATURES |  | garages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description |  | Att Garage | 32,740 |
| 1 WDDK | 3,880 | Att Carport | 0 |
| 2 WDDK | 3,320 | Bsmt Garage | e |
| 3 MSTP | 870 | Ext Features | 8,070 |
|  |  | AR/EXT FEAT | 40,810 |
|  |  |  | 443,405 |
|  | Quali | Gradı | G . 87 |
| GRADE ADJUSTED VALUE (rounded) |  |  | 385,760 |
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## Price per Acre Comparison

A very popular way to compare land values is to do a simple Price per Acre calculation. Simply stated this is the assessed value divided by the acreage. This will work just fine if the properties you are comparing are exactly the same size and have the exact same influences, otherwise you are just comparing apples to oranges.


Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same acreage, with different influences.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel Maint | \$ | Paved | \$ 5,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas No | \$ $(10,000)$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Limited | \$ 12,000 | View Good | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  | Waterfront Pond | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$ 52,000 | Land Value | \$105,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 10,400 | Price/AC | \$ 21,000 |

Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same influences, with different acreages.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 10.0 AC Base | \$ 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved | \$ 5,000 | Paved | \$ 7,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas Yes | \$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Good | \$ 25,000 | View Good | \$ 35,000 |
| Waterfront Ponc | \$ 25,000 | Waterfront Pond | \$ 35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$105,000 | Land Value | \$147,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 21,000 | Price/AC | \$ 14,700 |

## Definitions

Assessment progressivity (regressivity). An appraisal bias such that higher value properties are appraised higher (lower) than low-value properties. See also price-related differential.

Coefficient of dispersion (COD). The average deviation of a group of numbers from the median expressed as a percentage of the median. In ratio studies, the average percentage deviation from the median ratio. Acceptable range: Land under 30\%, residential under 20\%.

Coefficient of variation (COV). The standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean. Acceptable range: 1.25 of the COD.

Mean: The result of adding all the values of a variable and dividing by the number of values. For example, the arithmetic mean of 3,5 , and 10 is 18 divided by 3 , or 6 . Also called the arithmetic mean.

Median. The midpoint or middle value when a set of values is ranked in order of magnitude; if the number of values is even, the midpoint or average of the two middle values. Acceptable range: $90 \%$ to 110\%

Price-related differential (PRD). The mean divided by the weighted mean. The statistic has a slight bias upward. Price-related differentials above 1.03 tend to indicate assessment regressivity; price-related differentials below 0.98 tend to indicated assessment progressivity. Acceptable range: 0.98 to 1.03.

Progressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Regressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Standard deviation (St. Dev). The statistical calculated from a set of number by subtracting the mean from each value and squaring the remainders, adding together these squares, dividing by the size of the sample less one, and taking the square root of the result. When the data are normally distributed, one can calculate the percentage of observations within any number of standard deviations of the mean from normal probability table. When the data are not normally distributed, the standard deviation is less meaningful and should be used with caution.

Weighted mean; weighted average (wtd mean). An average in which the observations are weighted based on some criterion. In ratio studies, the weighted mean is a calculated by weighting the ratios based on their sale prices. A shortcut method is to sum the appraisals or assessments, sum of the sales prices, and divided the first result by the second. (International Association of Assessing Officers, 1990)

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1990). Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## Influence Definitions

## View

- None: No view other than immediate surroundings, could have a view if trees on adjoining properties were removed.
- Limited: Less than $45^{\circ}$ viewable unobstructed, greater than $45^{\circ}$ view angle with obstructions, mountain top view, view from $2^{\text {nd }}$ story, able to view beyond adjacent lots, overlooking an area that would provide increased viewing opportunities for wildlife (rule of thumb, distance greater than football field).
- Good: $45^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ view, unobstructed view, at least 1 feature, mountain, river, lake, inlet etc. Able to view beyond adjacent lots. (River, Lake and Inlet frontage property will always have at least a Good or Excellent View)
- Excellent: $90^{\circ}$ or greater view, unobstructed, 2 or more features.


## Street Access

- Paved Access: Paved road \& government maintained.
- Gravel Maintained: Gravel road \& maintained by the borough or another organized entity.
- Gravel Unmaintained: Gravel road but is not maintained by the borough (check Arcmap), could be maintained by the subdivision, HOA or private owner(s).
- Trail: No longer used. If can be driven to year round, use gravel unmaintained, otherwise platted.
- Platted: Road platted but not built.
- Limited/NA: Section line easement. No platted access. To include water, beach only access.


## Utilities

- Gas \& Electric Yes/No: To be considered as having gas \& electric utilities must be at the property, directly across the street from property (not paved), or on the same side of the road and 1 lot away or less than 300 feet away. If street is paved $\&$ influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.
- Public/Community Water \& Sewer: Service is provided by municipality or by HOA. City lots are presumed to have this service though certain subdivision do not and need private well/septic influence. If only 1 of the services is available, mark Yes. Add well or septic if necessary for service not available. If street is paved \& influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.


## Water Front

- Ocean: Fronts on major body of Saltwater, Cook Inlet, Kachemak Bay, Resurrection Bay.
- River: Fronts on a major navigable river, Kenai River, Kasilof River.
- Lake: Fronts on major lake, big enough to get a float plane on \& off (approx. 3000') Mackey Lake, Longmere Lake, Island Lake.
- Pond/Stream/Canal: Fronts on smaller body of water, may be a fair size, but typically not able to get float plane on/off. Arc Lake, Sport Lake, Echo Lake. Not generally navigable by boat. Funny River, Deep Creek, Anchor River, Swanson River.


## Topo

- Steep: Topography that is greater than usual incline/decline, making access \& building difficult. At least 15 feet in elevation change and no less than $45 \%$ average slope ( 4.5 feet vertical per 10 feet horizontal)
- Ravine: Ravine or swale, a long deep hollow in the surface with wall height of at least 15 feet and average slope of $500 \%$ ( 5 feet vertical per 1 foot horizontal)
- Other: Any additional topographical feature that would have an influence on property, value. Topo features not described in the form.
- Wetlands: Water within 1 foot of the surface is considered wetlands. A Typical indicator of wetlands is scrubby black spruce.
Protective CCR's / HOA: Covenants, Conditions \& Restrictions for individual subdivisions, Homer Owners Association. Check S Drive or Contact title company.
Airstrip: Private dirt/grass/gravel strip, off strip access.
Airstrip Improved: Gravel/ Paved, maintained, lights.
Agriculture Rights: Restrictions on property, limiting use of property or portion of property to agriculture use.
Easement: Description of a typical easements. Such as
Overhead Power Line, Gas Line or other. Typical easements would include utility easements for providing utilities to local subdivision. Usually the front $10^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ of the property.
Other: Other features not mentioned in form, describe in notes section.

Notes Section: Further description of influences or describe influences not accounted for in input sheet. Example, a Power Line easement running across property. Private Boat launch for subdivision.

## AS 29.45.110. Full and True Value.

(a) The assessor shall assess property at its full and true value as of January 1 of the assessment year, except as provided in this section, AS 29.45.060, and 29.45.230. The full and true value is the estimated price that the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels.

## BURDEN OF PROOF

The appellant has the burden of proving or providing any information to show that the assessed values are excessive, improper or unequal. The assessor is accorded broad discretion in deciding among the recognized valuation methods. The assessor's choice of one recognized method of valuation over another is simply the exercise of a discretion committed to the assessor by law.
*A borough has discretion to appraise, by whatever recognized method of valuation it chooses, so long as there is no fraud or clear adoption of a fundamentally wrong principle of valuation. Hoblit vs. Greater Anchorage Area Borough, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 636 (File No. 1214), 473 P.2d 630 (Alaska 1970).

The assessing department is concerned not only with market value, but also with equity of assessment, which means: making sure that every property is assessed at the same level as all others with respect to market value. For this reason, the assessor uses a broad scope in its approach to value, using overall trends to value all properties in a given market area. In contrast, a private appraisal is only concerned with estimating the value of a single property.


