# Kenai Peninsula Borough Board of Equalization Appeal Hearing Packe† 

> CASE NO. 2023-07 Holly Norwood Parcel No(s):01334012

Monday, May 22, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.
Betty J. Glick Assembly Chambers, Borough Administration Building, 144 N. Binkley St., Soldotna

## Office of the Borough Clerk

# TAX ASSESSMENT APPEAL HEARING DATE <br> Monday, May 22, 2023 - 9:00 AM 

April 21, 2023
H.S. NORWOOD
cc: rohobawr@alaska.net
47630 DOUGLAS LANE
KENAI, AK 99611

RE: Parcel No(s): 01334012
Owner of Record: CLIFFORD R \& HOLLY S NORWOOD
Appellant: H.S. NORWOOD

HEARING DATE: The referenced tax assessment appeal is scheduled to be heard by the Board of Equalization on Monday, May 22, 2023 at 9:00 AM

EVIDENCE DUE DATE: Pursuant to KPB 5.12.060(C) any evidence or documentation you intend to use during the hearing MUST be received by the Borough Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 8, 2023. Your evidence may be mailed, e-mailed, hand delivered or faxed. Late filed evidence will be denied.

Online Resources:

The Kenai Peninsula Borough Code (pertaining to the conduct of the hearing):
https://library.municode.com/ak/kenai_peninsula borough/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodel $\mathrm{d}=$ TIT5REFI CH5.12REPRPEPRTA 5.12.055REISOTRIPRNAP

An information packet regarding the appeal processes is also available:
https://www.kpb.us/images/KPB/CLK/Board of Equalization/Information Packet VALUATION A PPEAL PROCESS.pdf.

Any request for remote (video/teleconference) participation must be received by the borough clerk no later than 15 days before the hearing, unless good cause as defined by KPB 5.12.060(T) is shown for filing a late request. If your case is called and you are not available, we will try reaching you at a later time that day and if we are still unable to reach you, the Board may elect to decide your case based solely on the written material you have presented.

Michele Turner, CMC, Acting Borough Clerk
micheleturner@kpb.us

# Tax Year 2023 Real Property Assessment Valuation Appeal Kenai Peninsula Borough Office of the Borough Clerk 

144 N. Binkley Street
Soldotna, Alaska 99669-7599
Applications must be postmarked or received at the Office of the Borough Clerk or authorized office in Homer or Seward by: 5:00 p.m. on March 31, 2023.

Filing Fee: Must be included with this appeal form.
For Commercial Property: Please include Attachment A

Phone: (907) 714-2160
Toll Free: 1-800-478-4441

| FILING FEE BASED ON TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE PER PARCEL <br> (Each parcel/account appealed must be accompanied by a separate filing fee and form) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assessed Value from Assessment Notice | Filing fee |
| Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 30$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ | $\$ 100$ |
| $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,999,999$ | $\$ 200$ |
| $\$ 2,000,000$ and higher | $\$ 1,000$ |

Per KPB 5.12.050(B), if the appeal is withdrawn before evidence is due, or if the appellant or agent of the appellant is present for the appellant's hearing before the BOE or participates telephonically pursuant to KPB 5.12.060(T) then the filing fee shall be fully refunded within 30 days after the hearing date.


Contact information for all correspondence relating to this appeal:


Value from Assessment Notice: $\$$
23300 Appellant's Opinion of Value: \& 21, 400 Year Property was Purchased: $\qquad$ Price Paid: $\$$ part of andtha Has the property been appraised by a private fee appraiser within the past 3 -years? Has property been advertised FOR SALE within the past 3-years?
Comparable Sales:

| ParCel no. | address | DATE OF SALE | SALE PRICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

THE ONLY GROUNDS FOR APPEAL ARE: UNEQUAL, EXCESSIVE, IMPROPER, OR UNDER VALUATION OF THE PROPERTY (KPB 5. 12.050 (E)]. Mark reason for appeal and provide a detailed explanation below for your appeal to be valid. (Attach additional sheets as necessary)
E My property value is excessive. (Overvalued)
My property was valued incorrectly. (Improperly)

## The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

- The taxes are too high.
$\rightarrow$ The value changed too much in one year.
$\rightarrow$ You cannot afford the taxes.

You must provide specific reasons and provide evidence supporting the them checked above.


Check the following statement that applies to your intentions:
$\square$ I intend to submit additional evidence within the required time limit of 15 days prior to the hearing date.
区. My appeal is complete. I have provided all the evidence that I intend to submit, and request that my appeal be reviewed based on the evidence submitted.

Check the following statement that applies to who is filing this appeal:
XI am the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ I am the attorney for the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ The owner of record for this account is a business, trust or other entity for which I am an owner or officer, trustee, or otherwise authorized to act on behalf of the entity. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this entity (ie., copy of articles of incorporation or resolution which designates you as an officer, written authorization from an officer of the company, or copy from trust document identifying you as trustee). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.
$\square$ The owner of record is deceased and I am the personal representative of the estate. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this individual and/or his/her estate (i.e., copy of recorded personal representative documentation). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.
$\square$ I am not the owner of record for this account, but I wish to appeal on behalf of the owner. I have attached a notarized Power of Attorney document signed by the owner of record. If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.

Oath of Appellant: I hereby affirm that the foregoing information and any additional information that I submit is


Signature of Appellant / Agent / Representative


Printed Name of Appellant / Agent / Representative


To: KPB Assessing Dept and Board of Equalization
From: H.S and C.R. Norwood
Date: 31 March 2023
RE: Parcel Number 01334012

Once again the Norwoods appreciate the time and attention of the KPB Assessing Department and/or the BOE regarding the need to reevaluate the assessment of Parcel No 01334012, aka T7 NR 11W Sec 28 Seward Meridian 0760089 (attached Exhibit 1).

Scrutiny of other 2023 land assessments in the near vicinity indicate a $14 \%$ increase in land assessments has been applied without consideration of the percentage of each parcel that can be usefully developed or other unique parcel factors.

The 2023 KPB assessed value for this 1.49 acre parcel with minimal lake front access and useable property is $\$ 23,300$. This $\$ 23,3002023$ valuation is a $13.6 \%$ increase from the 2021 valuation increase to $\$ 20,500$ which the Norwoods agreed to.

The KPB Assessing Dept has already publically documented that only 0.5 acre of the property listed as 1.49 acres is available for development. KPB Assessing's Paul Knight made this conclusion during the May 2013 BOE meeting. The amount of useable land is even less than the 0.5 acre once the existing wells and septic systems of the neighboring properties are considered.

The history of appealing the evaluations of this parcel starts on the next page. In summary, in 2013 the assessed value of $\$ 24,400$ was reduced to $\$ 19,000$ once all factors were considered. In 2021 the assessed value of $\$ 28.100$ was corrected to $\$ 20,500$ per agreement between KPB Assessing and the Norwoods, no BOE appeal meeting required.

The Norwoods appeal the current assessment of $\$ 23,300$ and suggest an evaluation of $\$ 21,400$ mathematically reflects the reality that less than two thirds of the parcel has been stated to be useable by KPB Assessing.

The appeal history of this parcel begins on the next page.
The Norwoods can be reached at rohobawr@alaska.net.

## Tp: Board of Equalization

From: H.S and C.R. Norwood
Date; 31 March 2021
RE: Parcel Number 01334012

Once again the Norwoods appreciate the consideration of the BOE regarding the need to reevaluate the assessment of Parcel No 01334012, aka T7 NR 11W Sec 28 Seward Meridian 0760089 (attached Exhibit 1).

The 2021 assessed value for this 1.49 acre parcel with minimal lake front access and just a half acre of buildable land is $\$ 28,100$, a $\$ 9,100$ increase from the current value of $\$ 19,000$. The unique features of this property must be considered to arrive at a "uniform and equitable" property assessed value. Due to the unique features of this property compared to any other lake front property in Nikiski, the Norwoods propose an increase of $\$ 2,000$ for a total assessed value of $\$ 21,000$.

The BOE last reviewed this property during the May 2013 BOE meeting. The minutes of that meeting appear as Appendix 1. The case number was 2013-402. At that time the assessment was noticed to have increased from $\$ 14,400$ to $\$ 24,400$. The Norwoods agreed to an increase to $\$ 17,860$. The appraiser at that time was Paul Knight. During this most recent appeal I have been in contact with Stephen Carmichael. I spoke with Stephen about my concerns on the phone on Friday, March $26^{\text {th }}$ and picked up sales data from Stephen at the borough office on Monday March 29t.

During that May 2013 meeting, I prepared a table which showed how little lake front property the unique shape of Parcel No 01334012 has compared to virtually any other lake front property on Douglas Lake (Exhibit 2), Daniels Lake (Exhibit 3), or Island Lake (Exhibit 4). No other lake front property on these lakes swages down to minimal lake access.

During that May 2013 meeting, Paul Knight showed that only 0.5 acre of the property listed as 1.49 acre was available for development (Exhibit 5). The amount of useable land may even be less once consideration is given to the existing wells and septic systems of the neighboring properties.

From the May 2013 meeting minutes:
"Mr. Knight responded to questions from the Board about the various ways to determine the value of waterfront property, such as front feet per acre. He noted that he has not been able to develop a good model that is based on "front feet per acre" or similar measurement because of the limited data available throughout the borough."

Thus, primarily because the Assessing Department had not developed a good model to evaluate lake front property based upon "front feet per acre," the Norwood"s appeal was denied. Note that the inability of the Assessing Department to equitably evaluate the amount of lake front access does not negate the fact that the potential buyer is fundamentally interested in the amount of lake access.

Board Member Mr Knopp vigorously dissented with the BOE's decision:
"He (Mr. Knopp) stated that he does not believe the assessment adequately address the uniqueness of the parcel and should have made an adjustment for the limited buildable area of this parcel. He noted that, in other situations, the Assessor has made adjustment for the amount of useable land. He believed the Appellate made a good argument regarding marketability. The Assessor should have considered the combination of factors, specifically the limited building space due to the power line AND THE LIMITED LAKEFRONT, BECAUSE THIS IS A UNIQUE PARCEL"

Although the majority of the BOE supported Mr. Knight's position at this public meeting, the effective outcome of the BOE meeting was a decrease in the assessment from the proposed $\$ 24,400$ to $\$ 19,000$.

A similar reduction is likewise warranted in the 2021 assessment.
Stephen Carmichael provided the Norwoods with a list of sales on Monday, March 29th. The Norwoods are not contesting whether or not property has been sold recently in the Nikiski area. The question at hand is whether or not the value of Parcel Number 01334012 has been EQUITABLY assessed, considering it limited usable land and minimal lake access. .
The Norwoods retain the right to make comment on the list of land sales provided two days ago.
Thank you for your attention to this matter.

The motion passed with 4 yes votes and 1 no vote.
Dissent Comments:

Mr. Knopp dissented. He stated that he does not believe the assessment adequately addressed the uniqueness of the parcel, and should have made an adjustment for the limited buildable area of this parcel. He noted that, in other situations, the Assessor has made adjustments for the amount of useable land. He believed the Appellant made a good argument regarding marketability. The Assessor should have considered the combination of factors, specifically the limited building space due to the power line and the limited lakefront, because this is a unique parcel.

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

In accordance with Alaska Rules of Procedure and KPB 5.12.060(S), the Appellant and the Assessor have thirty (30) days from the date of this decision to file an appeal with the Superior Court of the State of Alaska at Kenai.

Dated: $\qquad$


## ATTEST:


assessment was lowered from $\$ 24,900$ to $\$ 19,000$





## Exhibit 3, Daniels Luke lots shown KPB Parcel Viewer


 parcels shown


## Exhobit 5: Paul Knight

 states useable land is 0.5 acre, not 1.49

From the desk of HOLLY NORNOOD

Ms. Turner,
Please attuchal the following Parcel Info Packet to the petition information previously submitted for
Parcel Number

$$
01334012
$$

Thank you,
Its








General Info
Legal Description
Description
T 7N R 11 WSEC 17 Seward Meridian KN 0840070 CLIFT SUB NO 2 LOT 4


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Main Roll } \\
\text { Certification }
\end{array} \\
& \$ 22,100
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 1 0 0} \\
\$ \mathbf{\$ 0} \\
\$ 22,100
\end{array}
$$





## 4343

| IDMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | LEGAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| DESCR |  |

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH ASSESSING DEPARTMENT
013-700-39





2023 VALUES ARE NOT CERTIFIED AND ARE SUBJECT TO APPEAL
CERTIFIED VALUES FOR 2023 WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL JUNE 1, 2023
2023 VALUES ARE NOT CERTIFIED AND ARE SUBJECT TO APPEAL
CERTIFIED VALUES FOR 2023 WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL JUNE 1, 2023


Disciamer
Disciame
APP24

Improvements do not exist for this account.
Copyright 2023 by Aumentum Techmologles \| Login |

APPELLANT: NORWOOD CLIFFORD R PARCEL NUMBER: 013-340-12
AND HOLLY S
PROPERTY ADDRESS OR GENERAL DOUGLAS LANELOCATION:LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
ASSESSED VALUE TOTAL:NIKISKI, AK 99635
T 7N R 11W SEC 28 Seward Meridian KN 0760089
DOUGLAS LAKE SUB LOT 11 BLK 1\$23,300
RAW LAND: \$23,300
SWL (Sewer, Water, Landscaping): ..... \$0
IMPROVEMENTS ..... \$0
ADDITIONS ..... \$0
OUTBUILDINGS: ..... \$0
LAND SIZE 1.49 Acres
LAND USE AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1. Utilities
Gas: Yes

Sewer: None

Electricity: Yes
Water: None
2. Site Improvements:

Street: Gravel Maintained
3. Site Conditions

Topography: Leve
View: Good

Drainage: Typical
Easements: Typical for the Kenai Peninsula Borough

HIGHEST AND BEST USE: As Currently Improved
ZONING: None

According to Property Assessment Valuation, the first step in developing a cost approach is to estimate the land value at its highest and best use. KPB does this by reviewing, analyzing, and statistically testing reported land sales in a given market area. That updated land value is then combined with the value of all improvements; the sum of the two is the assessed value. This application is in accordance with State of Alaska AS 29.45.110.

## Land Comments:

Subject property is a 1.49-acre parcel in the Nikiski market area (\#140). Land influences are gravel-maintained access, lake waterfront, good view, electric and gas utilities, power line easement and topo-other adjustment for lot layout.

For the Nikiski market area (\#140), 47 sales from the last two years were analyzed by the Land Appraiser, Heather Windsor. The resulting analysis indicated an increase to the land model was needed. The median ratio for all of the sales is $92.86 \%$ and Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is 20.78. All ratios are within acceptable ranges as set by International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). These properties are being valued fairly and equitably with surrounding like-kind properties.

| Ratio Sum | 46.51 | 1.50 | Excluded | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 98.97\% | Earliest Sale 1/13/2021 | \# of Sales | 47 |
| Median | 92.86\% | Latest Sale $7 / 13 / 2022$ | Total AV | \$ 1,048,700 |
| Wtd Mean | 95.38\% | Outlier Information | Total SP | \$ 1,099,465 |
| PRD: | 1.04 | Range 1.5 | Minimum | 42.35\% |
| COD: | 20.78\% | Lower Boundary 50.12\% | Maximum | 179.17\% |
| St. Dev | 0.2639 | Upper Boundary 152.28\% | Min Sale Amt | \$ 3,900 |
| COV: | 26.67\% |  | Max Sale Amt | \$ 140,600 |

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1996). Property Assessment Valuation Second Edition. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION

The Assessing Department requests the Board of Equalization uphold their value recommended below based on the following findings:

1. Subject property is currently valued uniformly and equitably with the surrounding parcels.
2. Influences are applied correctly and uniformly to the subject properties.
3. The Assessing Department uses standardized mass appraisal procedures and techniques to specify and calibrate market models which are applied uniformly to value property within the borough. The modeled values are statistically tested to ensure a level of accuracy and equity of assessment that meets the guidelines established by the Alaska Association of Assessing Officers and the International Association of Assessing Officers, and in compliance with State Statutes.
4. The Assessing Department reviewed all physical characteristics of the subject property to ensure all data was accurately captured.

## ASSESSOR'S RECOMMENDATION:

## APPELLANT: NORWOOD CLIFFORD R AND HOLLY S

PARCEL NUMBER: 013-340-12

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T 7N R 11W SEC 28 Seward Meridian KN 0760089 DOUGLAS LAKE SUB LOT 11 BLK 1

TOTAL: \$23,300
BOARD ACTION:

LAND: $\qquad$ IMPROVEMENTS: $\qquad$ TOTAL: $\qquad$

## SUBJECT PHOTOS



## SUBJECT PHOTOS






## SALES MAP



| Parcel \# | Acre(s) | Sale Date | Sale Amount | Assessed Value 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $013-700-39$ | 1 | $7 / 23 / 2020$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 21,500$ |
| $013-700-10$ | 1.51 | $4 / 9 / 2021$ | $\$ 35,000$ | $\$ 30,200$ |
| Subject <br> $013-340-12$ | 1.49 | $2 / 28 / 1991$ | Not Reported | $\$ 23,300$ |

ENT

MEMOS
Land Notes
04/21 MB TOPO OTHER FOR REDUCED LK FRONTAGE DUE TO LOT LAYOUT.
ASG10

LAND SALES RATIO STUDY

| Ratio Sum | 46.51 |  | Excluded | 0 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | $98.97 \%$ | Earliest Sale $1 / 13 / 2021$ | \# of Sales | 47 |  |
| Median | $92.86 \%$ | Latest Sale $7 / 13 / 2022$ | Total AV $\$$ | $1,048,700$ |  |
| Wtd Mean | $95.38 \%$ | Outlier Information | Total SP | $\$$ | $1,099,465$ |
| PRD: | 1.04 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum | $42.35 \%$ |
| COD: | $20.78 \%$ | Lower Boundary | $50.12 \%$ | Maximum | $179.17 \%$ |
| St. Dev | 0.2639 Upper Boundary | $152.28 \%$ | Min Sale Amt $\$$ | 3,900 |  |
| COV: | $26.67 \%$ |  | Max Sale Amt $\$$ | 140,600 |  |




## LAND SALES RATIO STUDY



| neighborhooc | pxfer date | Irsn | PIN | Total Acres | Current Land Val |  | Sale Price |  | LandType | Sale | 2 Cert Lanc | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | 2/22/21 | 81895 | 01203026 | 5.14 | \$ | 26,700 | \$ | 22,000 | 20 | C | \$23,500 | 121.36\% |
| 140 | 5/20/22 | 506 | 01214114 | 0.96 | \$ | 8,700 | \$ | 7,500 | 20 | C | \$7,700 | 116.00\% |
| 140 | 4/29/22 | 512 | 01214120 | 0.98 | \$ | 8,800 | \$ | 9,900 | 20 | C | \$7,700 | 88.89\% |
| 140 | 2/24/21 | 666 | 01216021 | 1.85 | \$ | 9,900 | \$ | 9,500 | 20 | C | \$8,600 | 104.21\% |
| 140 | 4/20/21 | 671 | 01216026 | 2.00 | \$ | 13,500 | \$ | 14,999 | 20 | C | \$11,800 | 90.01\% |
| 140 | 5/17/21 | 674 | 01216029 | 1.79 | \$ | 12,700 | \$ | 10,000 | 20 | C | \$11,100 | 127.00\% |
| 140 | 3/17/21 | 782 | 01221011 | 6.00 | \$ | 32,300 | \$ | 29,000 | 20 | V | \$28,400 | 111.38\% |
| 140 | 3/29/22 | 887 | 01226109 | 0.17 | \$ | 2,900 | \$ | 3,900 | 20 | C | \$2,600 | 74.36\% |
| 140 | 12/6/21 | 888 | 01226110 | 0.17 | \$ | 2,900 | \$ | 3,900 | 20 | C | \$2,600 | 74.36\% |
| 140 | 12/22/21 | 1055 | 01229037 | 1.03 | \$ | 10,700 | \$ | 9,000 | 20 | C | \$9,400 | 118.89\% |
| 140 | 11/22/21 | 1057 | 01230102 | 0.17 | \$ | 3,600 | \$ | 3,900 | 20 | C | \$3,200 | 92.31\% |
| 140 | 3/8/22 | 1089 | 01230134 | 0.17 | \$ | 3,600 | \$ | 3,900 | 20 | C | \$3,200 | 92.31\% |
| 140 | 3/4/21 | 1144 | 01231010 | 1.11 | \$ | 15,700 | \$ | 17,400 | 20 | Z | \$13,700 | 90.23\% |
| 140 | 7/13/22 | 1312 | 01238021 | 2.57 | \$ | 33,300 | \$ | 53,000 | 20 | Z | \$25,900 | 62.83\% |
| 140 | 5/26/22 | 1427 | 01242016 | 1.81 | \$ | 10,500 | \$ | 20,000 | 20 | C | \$9,200 | 52.50\% |
| 140 | 1/13/21 | 1804 | 01309101 | 1.45 | \$ | 11,100 | \$ | 12,000 | 20 | C | \$9,800 | 92.50\% |
| 140 | 2/3/22 | 2545 | 01319028 | 1.40 | \$ | 12,800 | \$ | 13,000 | 20 | C | \$11,300 | 98.46\% |
| 140 | 1/15/21 | 2820 | 01321517 | 1.63 | \$ | 19,000 | \$ | 20,000 | 20 | V | \$16,600 | 95.00\% |
| 140 | 8/5/21 | 2838 | 01321535 | 0.92 | \$ | 15,500 | \$ | 13,600 | 20 | C | \$13,600 | 113.97\% |
| 140 | 8/5/21 | 2854 | 01321551 | 1.01 | \$ | 9,000 | \$ | 10,000 | 20 | V | \$7,900 | 90.00\% |
| 140 | 6/10/21 | 2855 | 01321552 | 0.95 | \$ | 8,700 | \$ | 8,000 | 20 | C | \$7,600 | 108.75\% |
| 140 | 3/2/21 | 2940 | 01321814 | 2.30 | \$ | 26,800 | \$ | 38,000 | 20 | C | \$23,600 | 70.53\% |
| 140 | 5/25/22 | 2996 | 01322042 | 0.80 | \$ | 9,200 | \$ | 17,000 | 20 | C | \$8,000 | 54.12\% |
| 140 | 4/29/22 | 99403 | 01327032 | 2.98 | \$ | 23,200 | \$ | 33,000 | 20 | Z | \$20,400 | 70.30\% |
| 140 | 11/24/21 | 3222 | 01329006 | 9.03 | \$ | 25,500 | \$ | 28,000 | 20 | C | \$22,400 | 91.07\% |
| 140 | 6/28/21 | 3451 | 01336007 | 2.12 | \$ | 16,500 | \$ | 10,500 | 20 | Z | \$14,400 | 157.14\% |
| 140 | 11/12/21 | 3466 | 01336024 | 1.02 | \$ | 10,600 | \$ | 9,900 | 20 | C | \$9,300 | 107.07\% |
| 140 | 4/7/22 | 3894 | 01349003 | 0.96 | \$ | 10,200 | \$ | 7,500 | 20 | Z | \$9,000 | 136.00\% |
| 140 | 4/2/21 | 3989 | 01351028 | 3.58 | \$ | 19,200 | \$ | 16,500 | 20 | C | \$16,800 | 116.36\% |
| 140 | 5/18/21 | 4062 | 01355049 | 7.00 | \$ | 35,400 | \$ | 27,500 | 20 | Z | \$31,100 | 128.73\% |
| 140 | 4/9/21 | 4314 | 01370010 | 1.51 | \$ | 30,200 | \$ | 35,000 | 20 | C | \$26,600 | 86.29\% |
| 140 | 2/26/21 | 4452 | 01373201 | 0.99 | \$ | 14,000 | \$ | 15,000 | 20 | V | \$12,300 | 93.33\% |
| 140 | 11/15/21 | 4706 | 01410016 | 0.82 | \$ | 9,300 | \$ | 7,266 | 20 | C | \$8,200 | 127.99\% |
| 140 | 6/8/22 | 4857 | 01413501 | 1.19 | \$ | 9,900 | \$ | 14,900 | 20 | C | \$8,700 | 66.44\% |
| 140 | 2/3/22 | 4863 | 01413508 | 4.81 | \$ | 22,900 | \$ | 25,000 | 20 | C | \$20,100 | 91.60\% |
| 140 | 3/19/21 | 5048 | 01418102 | 1.42 | \$ | 21,500 | \$ | 12,000 | 20 | C | \$18,800 | 179.17\% |
| 140 | 8/30/21 | 103755 | 01418316 | 4.55 | \$ | 11,700 | \$ | 11,000 | 20 | Z | \$10,300 | 106.36\% |
| 140 | 12/13/21 | 96588 | 01428013 | 4.77 | \$ | 22,800 | \$ | 20,400 | 20 | Z | \$20,000 | 111.76\% |
| 140 | 7/11/22 | 5677 | 01507003 | 0.80 | \$ | 9,200 | \$ | 10,000 | 20 | C | \$8,000 | 92.00\% |
| 140 | 6/1/21 | 92045 | 01518043 | 1.90 | \$ | 15,400 | \$ | 17,000 | 20 | C | \$13,500 | 90.59\% |
| 140 | 6/4/21 | 6177 | 01519115 | 1.89 | \$ | 15,400 | \$ | 14,000 | 20 | C | \$13,500 | 110.00\% |
| 140 | 7/28/21 | 6205 | 01519201 | 2.07 | \$ | 13,000 | \$ | 14,000 | 20 | C | \$11,400 | 92.86\% |
| 140 | 6/10/22 | 99980 | 01713074 | 2.02 | \$ | 7,200 | \$ | 17,000 | 20 | Z | \$9,100 | 42.35\% |
| 140 | 12/17/21 | 7311 | 01726029 | 80.00 | \$ | 123,800 | \$ | 140,000 | 20 | C | \$99,600 | 88.43\% |
| 140 | 5/18/21 | 100788 | 01726534 | 1.14 | \$ | 43,900 | \$ | 43,900 | 20 | V | \$24,500 | 100.00\% |
| 140 | 4/5/21 | 7847 | 02519005 | 70.85 | \$ | 115,000 | \$ | 80,000 | 20 | C | \$94,500 | 143.75\% |
| 140 | 5/6/22 | 7847 | 02519005 | 70.85 | \$ | 115,000 | \$ | 140,600 | 20 | C | \$94,500 | 81.79\% |



## APPEAL HISTORY FOR PARCEL 013-340-12

## APPEAL YEAR: 2013

Appeal Type/Status
Appraiser Date Filed

| INFORMAL |  | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | $\%$ | Chg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Value Change Reason

Summary: HOW ARE VALUES DETERMINED - HAS 3 CONTIG LOTS WITH DIFFERENT VALUES? EXPLAINED MARKET, mANDATE AND REVAL PROCESS, NO CHANGE

| INFORMAL | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | \% Chg | Value Change Reason |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| PKNIGHT | $04 / 01 / 2013$ | 24,400 | 24,400 | 0 | $0 \%$ | Informal Adjustment |
| Summary: | OWNER CAME IN TO DISCUSS VALUE, TOO HIGH. APPRAISER ADVISED TO APPEAL. |  |  |  |  |  |


| BOE APPEAL BOE - Closed | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | \% Chg | Value Change Reason |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PKNIGHT | $04 / 02 / 2013$ | 24,400 | 24,400 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |

APPEAL YEAR: 2021
Appeal Type/Status
Appraiser
Date Filed

| INFORMAL | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | $\%$ | Chg | Value Change Reason |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SCARMICHAEL | $03 / 26 / 2021$ | 28,100 | 28,100 | 0 | $0 \%$ | Informal Adjustment |

Summary: OWNER: HOLLY CALLED TO ARGUE THE JUMP IN VALUE. STATES HER LAKE FRONTAGE LINEAR FEET DOES NOT COMPARE WITH OTHER PROPERTIES. APPR: HOLLY BELIEVES HER LACK OF LAKE FRONTAGE IN LINEAR FEET DOES NOT COMPARE TO OTHER SALES IN THE AREA. I ADVISED HER TO APPEAL. NO CHANGE.

| BOE APPEAL | Withdrawn-Formal | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | $\%$ | Chg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Value Change Reason |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBRUNS | $03 / 31 / 2021$ | 28,100 | 20,500 | $-7,600$ | $-27 \%$ | Informal Adjustment |
| Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPEAL YEAR: 2023
Appeal Type/Status
Appraiser Date Filed

| BOE APPEAL | Scheduled | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | \% Chg | Value Change Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWINDSOR | 04/03/2023 | 23,300 | 0 | 23,300 | 0\% |  |
| Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Price per Acre Comparison

A very popular way to compare land values is to do a simple Price per Acre calculation. Simply stated this is the assessed value divided by the acreage. This will work just fine if the properties you are comparing are exactly the same size and have the exact same influences, otherwise you are just comparing apples to oranges.


Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same acreage, with different influences.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel Maint | \$ | Paved | \$ 5,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas No | \$ $(10,000)$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Limited | \$ 12,000 | View Good | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  | Waterfront Pond | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$ 52,000 | Land Value | \$105,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 10,400 | Price/AC | \$ 21,000 |

Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same influences, with different acreages.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 10.0 AC Base | \$ 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved | \$ 5,000 | Paved | \$ 7,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas Yes | \$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Good | \$ 25,000 | View Good | \$ 35,000 |
| Waterfront Ponc | \$ 25,000 | Waterfront Pond | \$ 35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$105,000 | Land Value | \$147,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 21,000 | Price/AC | \$ 14,700 |

## Definitions

Assessment progressivity (regressivity). An appraisal bias such that higher value properties are appraised higher (lower) than low-value properties. See also price-related differential.

Coefficient of dispersion (COD). The average deviation of a group of numbers from the median expressed as a percentage of the median. In ratio studies, the average percentage deviation from the median ratio. Acceptable range: Land under 30\%, residential under 20\%.

Coefficient of variation (COV). The standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean. Acceptable range: 1.25 of the COD.

Mean: The result of adding all the values of a variable and dividing by the number of values. For example, the arithmetic mean of 3,5 , and 10 is 18 divided by 3 , or 6 . Also called the arithmetic mean.

Median. The midpoint or middle value when a set of values is ranked in order of magnitude; if the number of values is even, the midpoint or average of the two middle values. Acceptable range: $90 \%$ to 110\%

Price-related differential (PRD). The mean divided by the weighted mean. The statistic has a slight bias upward. Price-related differentials above 1.03 tend to indicate assessment regressivity; price-related differentials below 0.98 tend to indicated assessment progressivity. Acceptable range: 0.98 to 1.03.

Progressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Regressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Standard deviation (St. Dev). The statistical calculated from a set of number by subtracting the mean from each value and squaring the remainders, adding together these squares, dividing by the size of the sample less one, and taking the square root of the result. When the data are normally distributed, one can calculate the percentage of observations within any number of standard deviations of the mean from normal probability table. When the data are not normally distributed, the standard deviation is less meaningful and should be used with caution.

Weighted mean; weighted average (wtd mean). An average in which the observations are weighted based on some criterion. In ratio studies, the weighted mean is a calculated by weighting the ratios based on their sale prices. A shortcut method is to sum the appraisals or assessments, sum of the sales prices, and divided the first result by the second. (International Association of Assessing Officers, 1990)

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1990). Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## Influence Definitions

## View

- None: No view other than immediate surroundings, could have a view if trees on adjoining properties were removed.
- Limited: Less than $45^{\circ}$ viewable unobstructed, greater than $45^{\circ}$ view angle with obstructions, mountain top view, view from $2^{\text {nd }}$ story, able to view beyond adjacent lots, overlooking an area that would provide increased viewing opportunities for wildlife (rule of thumb, distance greater than football field).
- Good: $45^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ view, unobstructed view, at least 1 feature, mountain, river, lake, inlet etc. Able to view beyond adjacent lots. (River, Lake and Inlet frontage property will always have at least a Good or Excellent View)
- Excellent: $90^{\circ}$ or greater view, unobstructed, 2 or more features.


## Street Access

- Paved Access: Paved road \& government maintained.
- Gravel Maintained: Gravel road \& maintained by the borough or another organized entity.
- Gravel Unmaintained: Gravel road but is not maintained by the borough (check Arcmap), could be maintained by the subdivision, HOA or private owner(s).
- Trail: No longer used. If can be driven to year round, use gravel unmaintained, otherwise platted.
- Platted: Road platted but not built.
- Limited/NA: Section line easement. No platted access. To include water, beach only access.


## Utilities

- Gas \& Electric Yes/No: To be considered as having gas \& electric utilities must be at the property, directly across the street from property (not paved), or on the same side of the road and 1 lot away or less than 300 feet away. If street is paved \& influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.
- Public/Community Water \& Sewer: Service is provided by municipality or by HOA. City lots are presumed to have this service though certain subdivision do not and need private well/septic influence. If only 1 of the services is available, mark Yes. Add well or septic if necessary for service not available. If street is paved $\&$ influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.


## Water Front

- Ocean: Fronts on major body of Saltwater, Cook Inlet, Kachemak Bay, Resurrection Bay.
- River: Fronts on a major navigable river, Kenai River, Kasilof River.
- Lake: Fronts on major lake, big enough to get a float plane on \& off (approx. 3000') Mackey Lake, Longmere Lake, Island Lake.
- Pond/Stream/Canal: Fronts on smaller body of water, may be a fair size, but typically not able to get float plane on/off. Arc Lake, Sport Lake, Echo Lake. Not generally navigable by boat. Funny River, Deep Creek, Anchor River, Swanson River.


## Topo

- Steep: Topography that is greater than usual incline/decline, making access \& building difficult. At least 15 feet in elevation change and no less than $45 \%$ average slope ( 4.5 feet vertical per 10 feet horizontal)
- Ravine: Ravine or swale, a long deep hollow in the surface with wall height of at least 15 feet and average slope of $500 \%$ ( 5 feet vertical per 1 foot horizontal)
- Other: Any additional topographical feature that would have an influence on property, value. Topo features not described in the form.
- Wetlands: Water within 1 foot of the surface is considered wetlands. A Typical indicator of wetlands is scrubby black spruce.
Protective CCR's / HOA: Covenants, Conditions \& Restrictions for individual subdivisions, Homer Owners Association. Check S Drive or Contact title company.
Airstrip: Private dirt/grass/gravel strip, off strip access.
Airstrip Improved: Gravel/ Paved, maintained, lights.
Agriculture Rights: Restrictions on property, limiting use of property or portion of property to agriculture use.
Easement: Description of a typical easements. Such as
Overhead Power Line, Gas Line or other. Typical easements would include utility easements for providing utilities to local subdivision. Usually the front $10^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ of the property.
Other: Other features not mentioned in form, describe in notes section.

Notes Section: Further description of influences or describe influences not accounted for in input sheet. Example, a Power Line easement running across property. Private Boat launch for subdivision.

## AS 29.45.110. Full and True Value.

(a) The assessor shall assess property at its full and true value as of January 1 of the assessment year, except as provided in this section, AS 29.45.060, and 29.45.230. The full and true value is the estimated price that the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels.

## AS 29.45.130. Independent Investigation

(a) The assessor is not bound to accept a return as correct. The assessor may make an independent investigation of property returned or of taxable property on which no return has been filed. In either case, the assessor may make the assessor's own valuation of the property subject to an ad valorem tax and this valuation is prima facie evidence of the value of the property.
(b) For investigation, the assessor or the assessor's agent may enter real property during reasonable hours to examine visible personal property and the exterior of a dwelling or other structure on the real property. The assessor or the assessor's agent may enter and examine the interior of a dwelling or other structure or the personal property in it only (1) if the structure is under construction and not yet occupied; (2) with the permission of a person in actual possession of the structure; or (3) in accordance with a court order to compel the entry and inspection. The assessor or the assessor's agent may examine all property records involved. A person shall, on request, furnish to the assessor or the assessor's agent assistance for the investigation and permit the assessor or the assessor's agent to enter a dwelling or other structure to examine the structure or personal property in it during reasonable hours. The assessor may seek a court order to compel entry and production of records needed for assessment purposes.
(c) An assessor may examine a person on oath. On request, the person shall submit to examination at a reasonable time and place selected by the assessor.

## MARKET VALUE

The most probable price, as of a specified date, in cash, or in terms equivalent to cash, or in other precisely revealed terms, for which the specified property rights should sell after reasonable exposure in an open and competitive market under all condition's requisite to a fair sale, with the buyer and seller each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and for self-interest, and assuming that neither is under undue duress. (Appraisal of Real Estate 11th Edition - Appraisal Institute)

## BURDEN OF PROOF

The appellant has the burden of proving or providing any information to show that the assessed values are excessive, improper or unequal. The assessor is accorded broad discretion in deciding among the recognized valuation methods. The assessor's choice of one recognized method of valuation overanother is simply the exercise of a discretion committed to the assessor by law.
*A borough has discretion to appraise, by whatever recognized method of valuation it chooses, so long as there is no fraud or clear adoption of a fundamentally wrong principle of valuation. Hoblit vs. Greater Anchorage Area Borough, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 636 (File No. 1214), 473 P.2d 630 (Alaska 1970).

The assessing department is concerned not only with market value, but also with equity of assessment, which means: making sure that every property is assessed at the same level as all others with respect to market value. For this reason, the assessor uses a broad scope in its approach to value, using overall trends to value all properties in a given market area. In contrast, a private appraisal is only concerned with estimating the value of a single property.


