# Kenai Peninsula Borough Board of Equalization Appeal Hearing Packe† 

> CASE NO. 2023-08 Kevin Morrison Parcel No(s): 04522065

Monday, May 22, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.
Betty J. Glick Assembly Chambers, Borough Administration Building, 144 N. Binkley St., Soldotna

## Office of the Borough Clerk

# TAX ASSESSMENT APPEAL HEARING DATE Monday, May 22, 2023-10:00 AM 

April 21, 2023

RE: Parcel No(s): 04522065
Owner of Record: KEVIN MORRISON
Appellant: KEVIN MORRISON

HEARING DATE: The referenced tax assessment appeal is scheduled to be heard by the Board of Equalization on Monday, May 22, 2023 at «Hearing_Time»

EVIDENCE DUE DATE: Pursuant to KPB 5.12.060(C) any evidence or documentation you intend to use during the hearing MUST be received by the Borough Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 8, 2023. Your evidence may be mailed, e-mailed, hand delivered or faxed. Late filed evidence will be denied.

Online Resources:

The Kenai Peninsula Borough Code (pertaining to the conduct of the hearing):
https://library.municode.com/ak/kenai_peninsula borough/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodel $\mathrm{d}=$ TIT5REFI CH5.12REPRPEPRTA 5.12.055REISOTRIPRNAP

An information packet regarding the appeal processes is also available:
https://www.kpb.us/images/KPB/CLK/Board of Equalization/Information Packet VALUATION A PPEAL PROCESS.pdf.

Any request for remote (video/teleconference) participation must be received by the borough clerk no later than 15 days before the hearing, unless good cause as defined by KPB 5.12.060(T) is shown for filing a late request. If your case is called and you are not available, we will try reaching you at a later time that day and if we are still unable to reach you, the Board may elect to decide your case based solely on the written material you have presented.

Michele Turner, CMC, Acting Borough Clerk
micheleturner@kpb.us

# Tax Year 2023 <br> Real Property Assessment Valuation Appeal Kenai Peninsula Borough Office of the Borough Clerk 

144 N. Binkley Street
Soldotna, Alaska 99669-7599

Phone: (907) 714-2160
Toll Free: 1-800-478-4441

Applications must be postmarked or received at the Office of the Borough Clerk or authorized office in Homer or Seward by: 5:00 p.m. on March 31, 2023.

Flling Fee: Must be included with this appeal form.


CREDT CARDS NOT ACCEPTED FOR FIING FEES

| FILING FEE BASED ON TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE PER PARCEL <br> (Each parcel/account appealed must be accompanied by a separate filing fee and form) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assessed Value from Assessment Notice | Flling Fee |
| Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 30$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ | $\$ 100$ |
| $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,999,999$ | $\$ 200$ |
| $\$ 2,000,000$ and higher | $\$ 1,000$ |

Per KPB $5.12 .050(B)$, if the appeal is withdrawn before evidence is due, or if the appellant or agent of the appellant is present for the appellant's hearing before the BOE or participates telephonically pursuant to KPB $5.12 .060(T)$ then the filing fee shall be fully refunded within 30 days after the hearing date.

| Account / Parcel Number: | 04522065 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Property Owner: | NOTE: A SEPARATE FORM IS REQUIRED FOR EACH PARCEL. |
| Legal Description: | 34 SEWARD MERIDIAN KN 2004016 KEEMAU SUB CRESTW |
| Physical Address of Property: | 1650 Pebble Beach Ct |

Contact information for all correspondence relating to this appeal:

| Mailing Address: | 1650 Pebble Beach Court , Kequi AK 996// |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phone (daytime): | 9079420356 | Phone (evening): | 9079424309 |
| Email Address: | madeinalaska49@hotmail.com | $\square$ IAGREE TO BE SERVED VIA EMAIL |  |

Value from Assessment Notice: $\$ 449500$ Appellant's Opinion of Value: $\$ 322,414$
Year Property was Purchased: 2022 Price Paid: $\$ 395000$
Has the property been appraised by a private fee appraiser within the past 3-years?
Has property been advertised FOR SALE within the past 3-years?


Comparable Sales:

| parce no. | address | date of sale | SALE PRice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1309 Kaknu Way, Kenai | 2023 | 422,500 |
|  | 1111 Kiana Ln, Kenai | 2023 | 299,000 |
|  | 905 Salmon Run, Kenai | 2023 | 389,000 |

THE ONLY GROUNDS FOR APPEAL ARE: UNEQUAL, EXCESSIVE, IMPROPER, OR UNDER VALUATION OF THE PROPERTY (KPB 5.12.050 (E)). Mark reason for appeal and provide a detailed explanation below for your appeal to be valid. (Attach additional sheets as necessary)
$\square$ My property value is excessive. (Overvalued)My property was valued incorrectly. (Improperly)My property has been undervalued.My property value is unequal to similar properties.

## The following are NOI grounds for appeal: <br> $\rightarrow$ The taxes are too high. <br> $\rightarrow$ The value changed too much in one year. <br> -You cannot afford the taxes.

## You must provide specific reasons and provide evidence supporting the item checked above.

Comparable houses, which include EVERY known house within . 5 mile of us sold in 2022 or 2023 and under $\$ 500,000$, had an assessed value averaging
 confirming an already excessive assessment. Based on this data, our 2022 assessment should have been $\$ 299,500$. Average assessment increase from 2022 to 2025 of simitar houses was $\$ 22,914$. Ours was $\$ 00,4001$ Applying this average to our calculated 2022 assessmentofour house, gives us a 2023 assessment of $\$ 322,414$. Even if our 2023 assessment stays the same, we would still be assessed $\$ 60,986$ MORE than the other comparables.
** THE APPELLANT BEARS THE BURDEN OF PROOF (AS 29.45.210(b)) **

## Check the following statement that applies to your intentions:

I intend to submit additional evidence within the required time limit of 15 days prior to the hearing date.My appeal is complete. I have provided all the evidence that I intend to submit, and request that my appeal be reviewed based on the evidence submitted.

Check the following statement that applies to who is filing this appeal:
$\square 1$ am the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ I am the attorney for the owner of record for the account/parcel number appealed.
$\square$ The owner of record for this account is a business, trust or other entity for which I am an owner or officer, trustee, or otherwise authorized to act on behalf of the entity. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this entity (ie.. copy of articles of incorporation or resolution which designates you as an officer, written authorization from an officer of the company, or copy from trust document identifying you as trustee). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.
$\square$ The owner of record is deceased and I am the personal representative of the estate. I have attached written proof of my authority to act on behalf of this individual and/or his/her estate (i.e., copy of recorded personal representative documentation). If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.
$\square$ I am not the owner of record for this account, but I wish to appeal on behalf of the owner. I have attached a notarized Power of Attomey document signed by the owner of record. If you are not listed by name as the owner of record for this account, this is REQUIRED for confirmation of your right to appeal this account.

Oath of Appellant: I hereby affirm that the foregoing information and any additional information that I submit is true and correct.


Signature of Appellant / Agent / Representative

## Kevche mariecson

Printed Name of Appellant / Agent / Representative
Arugument \#1 - Based on Comparable Houses - Includes EVERY known house sold within . 5 mile of us - Under $\$ \mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ (sold in 2022 or 2023 or currently for sale) to support our fair market value

## CONCLUSION

OUR HOUSE
!euә)
*Assessments are shown to NOT be an accurate indicator of sales price. Average difference is $\$ 95,500$ below sales price for similar houses. Based on this data, our 2022 assessment should have been $\$ 299,500$
*We paid above the average price per square foot.
*Our assessment was only $\$ 11,600$ below sales price (verses $\$ 95,500$ for comparable houses), showing an already excessive assessment. *Average assessment increase from 2022 to 2023 was $\$ 22,914$. Ours was $\$ 66,100$ ! Applying this average to a realistic 2022 assessment of our
house, gives us a 2023 assessment of $\$ 322,414$.
*Even if our 2023 assessment stays the same, we would still be assessed $\$ 60,986$ MORE than the other comparables
Argument \#2 -Comparison based on recent (2022 or 2023) house sales in the Kenai/Soldotna, under \$500,000

| Year <br> Sold Address | City | Year Built | Sq Ft | Asking Pri For Sale | Sold <br> Price | Price/ <br> SqFt | $2022$ <br> Assessment | Difference of $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ Asssement vs Price | $2023$ <br> Assessment | Assessment change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 202234751 Poppy Wood | Soldotna | 1996 | 1040 |  | 250,000 | 240 | 190,100 | 59,900 | 189,800 | -300 |
| 20221408 Julie Anna Dr | Kenai | 1998 | 1136 |  | 250,000 | 220 | 212,600 | 37,400 | 244,200 | 31,600 |
| 202235815 Dawn Dr | Soldotna | 2007 | 3080 |  | 425,000 | 138 | 318,400 | 106,600 | 329,900 | 11,500 |
| 202346810 Pintail Ave | Kenai | 1983 | 1092 |  | 237,500 | 217 | 167,600 | 69,900 | ? |  |
| 2023403 Birch | Kenai | 1964 | 1344 |  | 135,000 | 100 | 83,100 | 51,900 | 105,300 | 22,200 |
| 2023112 Deepwood Ct | Kenai | 1978 | 1504 |  | 265,000 | 176 | 196,800 | 68,200 | 193,900 | -2,900 |
| 20231412 Equinox Way | Kenai | 2014 | 1245 |  | 299,900 | 241 | 247,100 | 52,800 | 276,100 | 29,000 |
| 2023508 Ash | Kenai | 1968 | 2070 |  | 310,000 | 150 | 192,600 | 117,400 | 211,500 | 18,900 |
| 202352790 Wesley Ct | Kenai | 2014 | 1372 |  | 285,000 | 208 | 224,800 | 60,200 | 237,700 | 12,900 |


*The average 2022 assessed value was $\$ 69,367$ BELOW sales price - ours was only $\$ 11,600$, confirming an already excessive assessment *Average assessment increase from 2022 to 2023 was $\$ 15,363$. Ours was $\$ 66,100$, confirming an exessive assessment
*Based on these comparables from the broader geographic area, our 2023 assessment should be $\$ 340,996$
ALL Kenai/Soldotna real estate listing for under $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$.

| Year <br> Sold Address | City | Year Built | Sq Ft | Asking Price - Sold For Sale Price | Price/ SqFt | $2022$ <br> Assessment | Difference of 2022 Asssement vs Price | $2023$ <br> Assessment | Assessment change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47789 Hazy Ln | Soldotna | 2020 | 2112 | 495,000 | 234 | 339,700 | 155,300 | 388,400 | 48,700 |
| 114 Paula St | Kenai | 2023 | 1756 | 345,000 | 196 | 226,900 | 118,100 | 232,700 | 5,800 |
| 4961 Beaver Loop Ro | Kenai | 1983 | 1300 | 310,000 | 238 | 210,300 | 99,700 | 229,700 | 19,400 |
| 39922 Erlwein Rd | Soldotna | 2009 | 1045 | 425,000 | 407 | 216,700 | 208,300 | 259,900 | 43,200 |
| 47025 Tagala Ave | Soldotna | 1979 | 1570 | 405,000 | 258 | 213,500 | 191,500 | 215,300 | 1,800 |
| 48494 Wild Rose Ln | Kenai | 1978 | 2150 | 399,000 | 186 | 310,500 | 88,500 | 314,400 | 3,900 |
| 33458 Alyson Circle | Soldotna | 1985 | 3487 | 464,900 | 133 | 338,800 | 126,100 | 336,400 | -2,400 |
| 33615 Gas Well Rd | Soldotna | 1976 | 2682 | 389,000 | 145 | 223,800 | 165,200 | 240,500 | 16,700 |
| 38080 Panoramic Dr | Soldotna | 1974 | 1840 | 430,000 | 234 | 156,600 | 273,400 | 181,000 | 24,400 |
| 49075 Island Lake Rd | Kenai | 1968 | 1501 | 210,000 | 140 | 133,300 | 76,700 | 142,400 | 9,100 |
| 53485 Fishermans Rd | Kenai | 1968 | 3272 | 399,700 | 122 | 237,800 | 161,900 | 245,700 | 7,900 |
| 28772 Ruffed Grouse | Kasilof | 1976 | 2698 | 499,000 | 185 | 312,300 | 186,700 | 303,300 | -9,000 |
| 53690 Timber Lane | Nikiski | 1984 | 2080 | 299,000 | 144 | 241,700 | $57,300$ | 257500 | $15,800$ |

*When compared to EVERY house currently listed on alaskarealestate.com for sale in the Kenai/Soldotna area for under $\$ 500,000$, assessed value
are $\$ 152,364$ BELOW asking price.
*We paid asking price, our assessed value is only $\$ 11,600$ below sales price, confirming our house is execssively assessed.
SOURCES - zillow.com, alaskarealestate.com, kpb.us, and direct communication
Neighborhood houses that have lower assessments.

*Based on this house's
assessment, our assessment
should be less than $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 8}, \mathbf{8 4 8}$
ィə૫8!! पวnu e s! s!чł Әsneวəq
quality house.
*Based on this house's
assessment, our assessment
should be less than \$229,230
because this is a higher quality
house.

## 1670 Indian Wells, Kenai

2022 assessment $=\$ 520,00, \$ 178 /$ sqft
子łbs/9LI\$ ‘009'sis\$ =quəussəsse عZOZ
Rated VG
1675 Indian Wells, Kenai
4442sqft. bd, 3bath 2 story
2022 assessment = $\$ 605,400, \$ 136 /$ sqft
2023 assessment= $\$ 600,600$, $\$ 135 /$ sqft Rated G+



## ASSESSOR'S DESCRIPTION <br> ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION

| APPELLANT: MORRISON, KEVIN / | PARCEL NUMBER: 045-220-65 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MORRISON, HEIDI |  |
| PROPERTY ADDRESS OR GENERAL | 1650 PEBBLE BEACH CT |
| LOCATION: | KENAI, AK 99611 |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | T 6N R 11W SEC 34 Seward Meridian KN 2004016 |
|  | KEEMAU SUB CRESTWOOD ADDN LOT 11 |
| ASSESSED VALUE TOTAL: | \$449,500 |
| RAW LAND: | \$39,200 |
| SWL (Sewer, Water, Landscaping): | \$0 |
| IMPROVEMENTS | 407,100 |
| ADDITIONS | \$0 |
| OUTBUILDINGS: | \$3,200 |
| TOTAL ABOVE GRADE FLOOR AREA: | Card One 2008 Sq. Ft. |
| TOTAL FINISHED LIVING AREA: | Card One 2008 Sq. Ft. |
| Card One, First Level 2008 Sq. Ft. | Card One, Second Level 0 Sq. Ft. |
| Card One, Basement Unfin. 0 Sq. Ft. | Card One, Basement Finished $\mathbf{0}$ Sq. Ft. |
| LAND SIZE 0.46 Acres | GARAGE 682 Sq. Ft. |
| LAND USE AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| 1. Utilities |  |
| Electricity: Yes | Gas: Yes |
| Water: P/Water No | Sewer: P/Sewer No |

## 2. Site Improvements:

Street: Paved

## 3. Site Conditions

Topography: Level
View: None

Drainage: Typical
Easements: Typical for the Kenai Peninsula Borough

The Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB) Assessing Department uses a Market Adjusted Cost Approach to value residential structures for assessment purposes. This Cost Approach is derived from the property description, quality, size and features and is based upon replacement cost new less deprecation (RCN-D). That value is then adjusted by a statistically tested market adjustment.

According to Property Assessment Valuation, the first step in developing a cost approach is to estimate the land value at its highest and best use. KPB does this by reviewing, analyzing and statistically testing reported land sales in a given market area. That updated land value is then combined with the value of all improvement; and the sum of the two is the assessed value. This application is in accordance with State of Alaska AS 29.45.110.

## Land Comments

Subject property is a 0.46 -acre parcel located in the Kenai market area (\#120). Land influences are paved access, no view, gas and electric utility, no public water or sewer and a neighborhood adjustment.

For the Kenai market area (\#120), 29 sales from the last two years were analyzed by the Land Appraiser, Heather Windsor. The resulting analysis indicated an adjustment of $25 \%$ to the base rate was needed. The median ratio for all of the sales is $96.80 \%$ and Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is 29.50. All ratios are within acceptable ranges as set by International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). These properties are being valued fairly and equitably with surrounding like-kind properties.

| Ratio Sum | 30.60 | 1.37 | Excluded |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 105.50\% | Earliest Sale 2/3/2021 | \# of Sales |  | 29 |
| Median | 96.80\% | Latest Sale 6/17/2022 | Total AV | \$ | 654,400 |
| Wtd Mean | 98.85\% | Outlier Information | Total SP | \$ | 661,999 |
| PRD: | 1.07 | Range $\quad 1.5$ | Minimum |  | 58.18\% |
| COD: | 29.50\% | Lower Boundary $\quad 5.05 \%$ | Maximum |  | 185.71\% |
| St. Dev | 0.3703 | Upper Boundary 196.91\% | Min Sale Amt | \$ | 4,000 |
| COV: | 35.09\% |  | Max Sale Amt | \$ | 90,000 |

## Improvement Comments

The subject property house type is a 1-Level built in 2017 with an attached heated and finished garage. Upon initial file review with the appellant, a house blueprint was provided and indicated that the square footage was different than the property sketch.

During the physical inspection, the house and garage square footage was updated. Additionally, the bathroom fixture count was updated by adding a 5 -fixture in place of a

3-fixture. The percent complete was reduced by $2 \%$ for minor repairs to floor covering and exterior paint. The quality of the home was reduced from a Good + (G+) to a Good. (G).

The updates to the subject property's square footage, fixture count, and overall quality change resulted a deduction of $\$ 41,300$.

For the Kenai market area (\#120), and specifically for the house type of 1-Level, 26 sales from the last two years were analyzed. The median ratio for all of the sales is $96.98 \%$, and Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is $8.04 \%$. All ratios are within acceptable ranges as set by International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO).

The updated recommended value is fair and equitable with like-kind properties in the subject's market area.

| RATIO SUM: | 25.69 | $12 / 1 / 2018$ | 1.34 | \# OF SALES: | 26 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN: | $98.81 \%$ | Earliest Sale | $1 / 21 / 2021$ | TOTAL AV: | $\$$ | $6,516,000$ |
| MEDIAN: | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 8 \%}$ | Latest Sale | $5 / 25 / 2022$ | TOTAL SP: | $\$ 66514,915$ |  |
| WTD MEAN: | $100.02 \%$ | Outlier Info |  | MINIMUM: | $81.37 \%$ |  |
| PRD: | 0.99 | Range | 1.50 | MAXIMUM: | $118.55 \%$ |  |
| COD: | $8.04 \%$ | Lower Boun | $67.79 \%$ | SALE AMT: | $\$$ | 108,015 |
| ST. DEV | $9.81 \%$ | Upper Boun | $129.62 \%$ | SALE AMT: | $\$$ | 530,000 |
| COV: | $9.93 \%$ |  |  |  | $\$$ | 580,000 |

## Reference

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1996). Property Assessment Valuation Second edition. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION

The Assessing Department requests the Board of Equalization uphold their value recommended below based on the following findings:

1. Subject property is currently valued uniformly and equitably with the surrounding parcels.
2. Influences are applied correctly and uniformly to the subject properties.
3. The Assessing Department uses standardized mass appraisal procedures and techniques to specify and calibrate market models which are applied uniformly to value property within the borough. The modeled values are statistically tested to ensure a level of accuracy and equity of assessment that meets the guidelines established by the Alaska Association of Assessing Officers and the International Association of Assessing Officers, and in compliance with State Statutes.
4. The Assessing Department reviewed all physical characteristics of the subject property to ensure all data was accurately captured.

## ASSESSOR'S RECOMMENDATION:

APPELLANT: MORRISON, KEVIN / MORRISON, HEIDI

PARCEL NUMBER: 045-220-65

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T 6N R 11W SEC 34 Seward Meridian KN 2004016 KEEMAU SUB CRESTWOOD ADDN LOT 11

TOTAL: \$408,200

## BOARD ACTION:

LAND: $\qquad$ IMPROVEMENTS: $\qquad$ TOTAL: $\qquad$

## SUBJECT PHOTOS



## SUBJECT PHOTOS



## SUBJECT PHOTOS



## SUBJECT PHOTOS






## RECENT SALES



## 1650 PebBle beach ct

 MORRISON KEVIN
IOIヨH NOSİyOW
KENAI, AK 99611-8264
Residential Dwelling - single
LAND DATA AND CALCULAIION ғәәцร»лом


| BaseRate | AdjRate | ExtValue | Influe | enceCode - Description | \$ or \% | AdjAmt | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46,087 | 46,087 | 21,200 | 4 | NbHood Adj D | 100 | 21,200 | 39,200 |
|  |  |  |  | View None |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gas Yes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Elec Yes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Paved |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | P/Sewer No |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | J | P/Water No | -15 | -3,180 |  |
| ASSESSED LAND VALUE (Rounded) : |  |  |  |  |  | 18,020 | 39,200 |


| LAND INFLUENCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community | Y | $N$ | View | N | L | G | E | Street Access |  |  |
| Gas |  |  | CCRs |  | Airstrip |  |  | Paved | Grv Maint | Grv Unmain |
| Electric |  |  | HOA |  | For Sale |  |  | PLAT | TRAIL | NONE |
| Public H2O |  |  | Hwy Fnt |  | Ag Right |  |  | WATERFRONT |  |  |
| Public Sewer |  |  | Easement |  | Other |  |  | Ocean | River | Lake |
| LAND TYPE | RR\#20 |  | OTHER: |  |  |  |  | Pond | Dedicated | Boat Launch |
| TOPO | Steep |  | Ravine | Other | Wetlands |  |  |  |  |  |

ORIGINAL
Building Notes MEMOS
07/22 JM/BA INT PHOTOS NOT AVAILABLE.QUALITY EST
Land Notes
>/4/3/08 PK MARKET ADJ
ค
$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$
s9-0zて-sヤ0
$\begin{array}{llllr}\text { Construction BaseArea floor FinArea } & \text { Value } \\ \text { Wood Frame } & 2008 & 1.0 & 2,008 & 218,690\end{array}$

|  | TOTAL BASE | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 6 9 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| INTERIOR | Frame/Siding/Roof/Dorme | 2,430 |
|  | Loft/Cathedral | 0 |
|  | Interior finish | 0 |
|  | Basement finish | 0 |
|  | Heating | 4,140 |
|  | Plumbing | 12,600 |
|  | Fireplaces/woodstoves | 0 |
|  | Other (Ex.Liv, AC, Attic, ... | 0 |
|  |  | TOTAL INT |

Parcel\# $045-220-65 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{\# 1}$ of 1 InspDate $4 / 12 / 22$ Appraiser $\sqrt{B}$





LAND NOTES:


NOTES:
Bluspriats proviofo by cuvier, Quality per interior, \%


| Size Ranges | Cabin $=0-500$ s.f. |  |  |  | Cottage $=$ |  | 501-800 s.f. |  | Res. $=801$ - Infinity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean $=70 \%$ |  | mean $=85 \%$ |  | mean $=100 \%$ |  | mean $=115 \%$ |  | mean $=135 \%$ |  | mean $=165 \%$ |  |
| QUALITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 65 \times 75 \% \end{gathered}$ | \#) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAIR } \\ & 80-90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (\#) | AVERAGE $95-105 \%$ | (\#) | $\begin{gathered} \text { GOOD } \\ 110-120 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (\#) | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY GOOD } \\ 125-145 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCELLENT } \\ & 150-180 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (\#) |
| FLOOR COVER | NONE or low grade on subfloor (no padding, etc) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.25 \\ 2.10 \\ 1.95 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Below average grade covering on Subfloor | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \end{aligned}$ | Average builder-grade floor covering | $\begin{aligned} & 3.15 \\ & 3.00 \\ & 2.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10-20\% above average grade floor covering | $\begin{gathered} 3.60 \\ 3.45 \\ 3.30 \end{gathered}$ | Very Good, upper-end floor coverings throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35 \\ & 4.05 \\ & 3.75 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \\ & 4.95 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| CABINETS \& COUNTER TOPS | NONE or low grade (may be owner-built) | 3.00 <br> 2.80 <br> 2.60 | Below average commercial type | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.40 \\ & 3.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average builder-grade | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.20 \\ 4.00 \\ 3.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Upper end buildergrade quality (double vanities, etc) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4.88 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Very Good cabinets and countertops <br> (double vanities, etc) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.80 \\ 5.40 \\ 5.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 7.20 \\ & 6.60 \\ & 6.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| KITCHEN APPLIANCES | NONE or low grade ROV only (no dishwasher, etc) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.25 \\ & 2.10 \\ & 1.95 \end{aligned}$ | Below average builder-grade package | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \end{aligned}$ | Average builder-grade package | $\begin{aligned} & 3.15 \\ & 3.00 \\ & 2.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Upper end builder-grade package | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.45 \\ & 3.30 \end{aligned}$ | Very Good, high quality appliance package | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.35 \\ 4.05 \\ 3.75 \end{array}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \\ & 4.95 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| FIXTURES Plumbing/Lighting | NONE or low grade | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.25 \\ 2.10 \\ 1.95 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lower grade commercial type fixtures | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Builder-grade stock item fixtures | $\begin{aligned} & 3.15 \\ & 3.00 \\ & 2.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Upper end builder-grade fixtures | 3.30 <br> 3.50 <br> 3.45 <br> 3.30 | Very Good grade plumbing \& lighting fixtures throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35 \\ & 4.05 \\ & 3.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \\ & 4.95 \\ & 4.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| INTERIOR Door/Window Trim | NONE. owner-built or photo finish | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & 1.40 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | Mahogany doors and photo finish trim | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.60 \end{aligned}$ | Average wood doors and trim | $\begin{aligned} & 2.10 \\ & 2.00 \\ & 1.90 \end{aligned}$ | Above average quality doors and wood trim | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ 2.30 \\ 2.20 \end{gathered}$ | Very Good quality custom doors and sculptured good wood trim | $\begin{aligned} & 2.90 \\ & 2.70 \\ & 2.50 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality. exotic woods. Hand- <br> finished unique designs | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.30 \\ & 3.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| INTERIOR <br> Partition Walls | NONE or Plywood/OSB | $\begin{aligned} & 7.50 \\ & 7.00 \\ & 6.50 \end{aligned}$ | Below average paneling / sheetrock | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & 8.50 \\ & 8.00 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheetrock and/or average paneling | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 9.50 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheetrock with good quality wallpaper and/or wood paneling |  <br> 120 <br> 11.5 <br> 11.0 | High quality wallpaper, wood paneling and/or wainscoting, etc | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 12.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excellent high quality wallpaper, wood paneling and/or wainscoting, etc | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & 16.5 \\ & 15.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| CEILINGS | NONE Plywood/OSB or below 8 ' height | $\begin{aligned} & 3.75 \\ & 3.50 \\ & 3.25 \end{aligned}$ | Acoustic tile or sheetrock and fuil $8^{\prime}$ ceiling height | $\begin{aligned} & 4.50 \\ & 4.25 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheetrock <br> \& standard $8^{\prime}$ ceiling height | $\begin{aligned} & 5.25 \\ & 5.00 \\ & 4.75 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheetrock <br> $9^{\prime}$ or $10^{\prime}$ ceiling height. Vaulted or cathedral ceiling | 6.00 5.75 5.50 | Same as before but may include good wood paneling on open-beam ceiling | $\begin{aligned} & 7.25 \\ & 6.75 \\ & 6.25 \end{aligned}$ | Same as before but may be unique in design, detail and effect | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & 8.25 \\ & 7.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| WINDOW FENESTRATION | Minimal single-pane low grade sliders or non-opening | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 15.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 13.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Smaller than average sliding or crank-out w/storm windows | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.0 \end{array}$ | Ample average quality sliding or crank-out thermo pane | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.0 \\ & 20.0 \\ & 19.0 \end{aligned}$ | Good quality, larger than average. Some round, half-round, octagon, etc | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ 23.0 \\ 22.0 \end{gathered}$ | Abundant Very Good quality windows (Low "E" reflective, etc) | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \\ & 27.0 \\ & 25.0 \end{aligned}$ | Same as before but may be unique in design, detail and effect | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 33.0 \\ & 30.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| OVERALL WORKMANSHIP | Low cost, poor quality workmanship and design. Below minimum standard. No design or detail | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 37.5 \\ 35.0 \\ 32.5 \end{array}\right\|$ | Below average workmanship but meets minimum standards. $2 \times 4$ construction. Minimal design | $\begin{aligned} & 45.0 \\ & 42.5 \\ & 40.0 \end{aligned}$ | Average workmanship. meets or exceeds minimum standard. $2 \times 6$ construction | $\begin{aligned} & 52.5 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 47.5 \end{aligned}$ | Above average workmanship with some attention to design and detail. $2 \times 6$ construction Energy Eff. Package | 60.0 57.5 5500 116 | Very Good workmanship. Good attention to interior refinements rand detail; exterior has some custom design and ornamentation | $\begin{aligned} & 72.5 \\ & 67.5 \\ & 62.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \\ & 82.5 \\ & 75.0 \end{aligned}$ |


| Bortower: DwightMarty Kramer |  | 4. Bighom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Address: 105 Bighom Cir | Case No.: |  |
| Cily: Kenai | State: AK | Zip: 99611 |



KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH ASSESSING DEPARIMENT

| ACRES 0.46 |
| :---: | :---: |
| KN 2004016 KEEMAU SUB |

## 1650 PEBBIE BEACH CT


T6N R11W SEC 3
CRESTWO OD ADDN LOT11
Crwoor
VAШATION RECORD

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 31,000 | 31,000 |
| 322,900 | 317,800 |
| 353,900 | 348,800 |

LAND DATA AND CALCULATIONS
Use Acres BaseRate AdiRate ExtValue InfluenceCode-Description \$or \% AdiAmt
Value


$$
\frac{\text { AdjAmt }}{21,200}
$$

2021
2021
34,000

46,087 21,200 4 NbHood Adj D
Giew None
GasYes
Elec Yes
Paved
P/SewerNo
P/WaterNo
ASSESSED LAND VAWE (Rounded) :

Building Notes
O7/22 JM/BA INTPHOTOSNOTA
04/22 J B BLUEPRINTS PRO VIDED BY OWNER.QUAL PER INT.\%COMPLFOR
EXTPAINTSMALL AREA \& FLR COVER SEPERATING/COND

$\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim} 4 / 3 / 08$ PK MARKETADJ

|  | TOTAL BASE | 188,540 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INIERIOR | Frame/Siding/Roof/Dome | 2,060 |
|  | Loft/Cathedral | 0 |
|  | Interior finish | 0 |
|  | Basement finish | 0 |
|  | Heating | 3,500 |
|  | Plumbing | 16,800 |
|  | Fireplaces/woodstoves | 0 |
|  | Other (Ex.Liv, AC, Attic, ...) | 0 |
|  | TOTALINT | 22,360 |
| EXTIEATURES | GARAGES |  |
| Description | Att Garage | 40,530 |
| 1WDDK | 3,980 Att Caport | 0 |
| 2CONCP-F | 980 Bsmt Garage | 0 |
| 3RFXI | 2,180 Ext Features | 7,710 |
| 4 CONCP | 570 |  |

图

ROORNG
Material: Comp sh to 235\#
Type: Gable
Framing: Std forclass
Pitch: Medium 5/12 to 8/12
FOUNDATION
Footing: Monolithic slab
Walls: Monolithic slab-no wall
DORMERS
None
$\underset{1.0}{\text { ROORING }}$

## Exteriorcover <br> INIERIOR WALS 1.0 $\quad$ Nomal forclass

HEATING AND PLUMBING
Primary Heat: Radiant-floor
Z5ixt.Baths: 00 Kit sink:
 5-Fixt.Baths: 15 TOTALfix:

LAND SALES RATIO STUDY

| Ratio Sum | 30.60 |  |  | Excluded |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 105.50\% | Earliest Sale 2/3/2021 |  | \# of Sales |  | 29 |
| Median | 96.80\% | Latest Sale 6/17/2022 |  | Total SP | \$ | 654,400 |
| Wtd Mean | 98.85\% | Outlier Information |  |  | \$ | 661,999 |
| PRD: | 1.07 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum |  | 58.18\% |
| COD: | 29.50\% | wer Boundary | 5.05\% | Maximum |  | 185.71\% |
| St. Dev | 0.3703 | per Boundary | 196.91\% | Min Sale Amt | \$ | 4,000 |
| COV: | 35.09\% |  |  | Max Sale Amt | \$ | 90,000 |





## LAND SALES RATIO STUDY




| RATIO SUM: | 25.69 | $12 / 1 / 2018$ | 1.34 | $\#$ OF SALES: | 26 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN: | $98.81 \%$ | Earliest Sale | $1 / 21 / 2021$ | TOTAL AV: | $\$$ | $6,516,000$ |
| MEDIAN: | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 8 \%}$ | Latest Sale | $5 / 25 / 2022$ | TOTAL SP: | $\$ 6,514,915$ |  |
| WTD MEAN: | $100.02 \%$ | Outlier Info |  | MINIMUM: | $81.37 \%$ |  |
| PRD: | 0.99 | Range | 1.50 | MAXIMUM: | $118.55 \%$ |  |
| COD: | $8.04 \%$ | Lower Boun | $67.79 \%$ | SALE AMT: | $\$$ | 108,015 |
| ST. DEV | $9.81 \%$ | Upper Boun | $129.62 \%$ | SALE AMT: | $\$$ | 530,000 |
| COV: | $9.93 \%$ |  |  | $\$$ | $\$ 50,000$ |  |


| SALE DATE: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| HOUSE TYPE | 1 L |
| MKT AREA: | 120 |
|  |  |


| PIN | AREA |  | IMPS |  | LAND |  | AV |  | SP | RATIO | HTYPE | DATE | QUAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03910301 | 120 | \$ | 196,400 | \$ | 18,200 | \$ | 214,600 | \$ | 222,000 | 96.67\% | 11 | 8/13/2021 | A+ |
| 03912323 | 120 | \$ | 168,600 | \$ | 18,200 | \$ | 186,800 | \$ | 185,000 | 100.97\% | 11 | 3/16/2021 | A |
| 03914164 | 120 | \$ | 349,800 | \$ | 28,200 | \$ | 378,000 | \$ | 400,000 | 94.50\% | 11 | 8/20/2021 | G- |
| 04101237 | 120 | \$ | 275,300 | \$ | 23,000 | \$ | 298,300 | \$ | 275,000 | 108.47\% | 11 | 12/2/2021 | G- |
| 04101343 | 120 | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 23,000 | \$ | 358,000 | \$ | 321,000 | 111.53\% | 11 | 5/14/2021 | G+ |
| 04101348 | 120 | \$ | 302,100 | \$ | 23,000 | \$ | 325,100 | \$ | 338,000 | 96.18\% | 11 | 5/25/2022 | G- |
| 04101408 | 120 | \$ | 188,600 | \$ | 28,200 | \$ | 216,800 | \$ | 205,000 | 105.76\% | 11 | 6/8/2021 | A+ |
| 04101419 | 120 | \$ | 215,200 | \$ | 29,000 | \$ | 244,200 | \$ | 265,000 | 92.15\% | 11 | 5/20/2022 | A+ |
| 04302028 | 120 | \$ | 170,700 | \$ | 14,700 | \$ | 185,400 | \$ | 185,000 | 100.22\% | 11 | 3/31/2021 | A |
| 04329001 | 120 | \$ | 149,000 | \$ | 20,400 | \$ | 169,400 | \$ | 185,000 | 91.57\% | 11 | 9/17/2021 | A |
| 04330027 | 120 | \$ | 195,400 | \$ | 22,500 | \$ | 217,900 | \$ | 225,000 | 96.84\% | 11 | 9/20/2021 | A- |
| 04335019 | 120 | \$ | 181,400 | \$ | 22,100 | \$ | 203,500 | \$ | 200,000 | 101.75\% | 11 | 11/29/2021 | A |
| 04509025 | 120 | \$ | 89,800 | \$ | 31,300 | \$ | 121,100 | \$ | 108,015 | 112.11\% | 11 | 9/15/2021 | F+ |
| 04515101 | 120 | \$ | 232,400 | \$ | 17,100 | \$ | 249,500 | \$ | 287,000 | 86.93\% | 11 | 6/29/2021 | A- |
| 04521009 | 120 | \$ | 259,200 | \$ | 49,400 | \$ | 308,600 | \$ | 275,000 | 112.22\% | 11 | 12/29/2021 | A |
| 04522065 | 120 | \$ | 410,300 | \$ | 39,200 | \$ | 449,500 | \$ | 395,000 | 113.80\% | 11 | 3/15/2022 | G+ |
| 04701011 | 120 | \$ | 196,800 | \$ | 18,800 | \$ | 215,600 | \$ | 250,000 | 86.24\% | 11 | 10/8/2021 | G- |
| 04713049 | 120 | \$ | 153,000 | \$ | 23,400 | \$ | 176,400 | \$ | 182,000 | 96.92\% | 11 | 5/5/2022 | A- |
| 04713072 | 120 | \$ | 202,900 | \$ | 20,400 | \$ | 223,300 | \$ | 219,000 | 101.96\% | 11 | 2/17/2021 | A+ |
| 04714031 | 120 | \$ | 169,000 | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 199,000 | \$ | 196,000 | 101.53\% | 11 | 6/24/2021 | A |
| 04715022 | 120 | \$ | 173,700 | \$ | 23,900 | \$ | 197,600 | \$ | 221,500 | 89.21\% | 11 | 1/4/2022 | A |
| 04901211 | 120 | \$ | 185,700 | \$ | 27,300 | \$ | 213,000 | \$ | 219,500 | 97.04\% | 11 | 2/18/2022 | A |
| 04915005 | 120 | \$ | 166,200 | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 181,200 | \$ | 209,000 | 86.70\% | 11 | 8/27/2021 | A |
| 04915018 | 120 | \$ | 197,800 | \$ | 14,700 | \$ | 212,500 | \$ | 241,900 | 87.85\% | 11 | 7/28/2021 | A- |
| 04920019 | 120 | \$ | 130,700 | \$ | 11,700 | \$ | 142,400 | \$ | 175,000 | 81.37\% | 11 | 1/21/2021 | A- |
| 04942032 | 120 | \$ | 601,400 | \$ | 26,900 | \$ | 628,300 | \$ | 530,000 | 118.55\% | 11 | 3/7/2022 | VG- |




## APPEAL YEAR: 2023



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Appealed Value | Result Value | Difference | \% Chg | Value Change Reason |  |
| VMARTUSHEV | $03 / 29 / 2023$ | 449,500 | 0 | 449,500 | $0 \%$ |  |

[^0]Arugument \#1 - Based on Comparable Houses - Includes EVERY known house sold within . 5 mile of us - Under $\$ \mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ (sold in $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ or $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ or currently for sale) to support our fair market value

## CONCLUSION

-Assessments are shown to NOT be an accurate indicator of sales price. Average difference is $\$ 95,500$ below sales price for similar houses. Based on this data, our 2022 assessment should have been $\$ 299,500$
*We paid above the average price per square foot.
*Our assessment was only $\$ 11,600$ below sales price (verses $\$ 95,500$ for comparable houses), showing an already excessive assessment.
*Average assessment increase from 2022 to 2023 was $\$ 22,914$. Ours was $\$ 66,100$ I Applying this average to a realistic 2022 assessment of our

1306 KAKNU -
NONE REPORTED
2023 SALES- IF
DISCLOSED AND USED IN NEXT YEARS RATIO STUDY.
house, gives us a 2023 assessment of $\$ 322,414$.
*Even if our 2023 assessment stays the same, we would still be assessed $\$ 60,986$ MORE than the other comparables

 *The average 2022 assessed value was $\$ 69,367$ BELOW sales price - ours was only $\$ 11,600$, confirming an already excessive assessment Average assessment increase from 2022 to 2023 was $\$ 15,363$. Ours was $\$ 66,100$, confirming an exessive assessment * Based on these comparables from the broader geographic area, our 2023 assessment should be $\$ 340,996$

MULTIPLE SALES FROM A
DIFFERENT MARKET AREA AND SALES FROM N

| Bortower: DwightMarty Kramer |  | 4. Bighom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Address: 105 Bighom Cir | Case No.: |  |
| Cily: Kenai | State: AK | Zip: 99611 |



## Price per Acre Comparison

A very popular way to compare land values is to do a simple Price per Acre calculation. Simply stated this is the assessed value divided by the acreage. This will work just fine if the properties you are comparing are exactly the same size and have the exact same influences, otherwise you are just comparing apples to oranges.


Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same acreage, with different influences.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel Maint | \$ | Paved | \$ 5,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas No | \$ $(10,000)$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Limited | \$ 12,000 | View Good | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  | Waterfront Pond | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$ 52,000 | Land Value | \$105,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 10,400 | Price/AC | \$ 21,000 |

Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same influences, with different acreages.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 10.0 AC Base | \$ 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved | \$ 5,000 | Paved | \$ 7,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas Yes | \$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Good | \$ 25,000 | View Good | \$ 35,000 |
| Waterfront Ponc | \$ 25,000 | Waterfront Pond | \$ 35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$105,000 | Land Value | \$147,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 21,000 | Price/AC | \$ 14,700 |

## Definitions

Assessment progressivity (regressivity). An appraisal bias such that higher value properties are appraised higher (lower) than low-value properties. See also price-related differential.

Coefficient of dispersion (COD). The average deviation of a group of numbers from the median expressed as a percentage of the median. In ratio studies, the average percentage deviation from the median ratio. Acceptable range: Land under 30\%, residential under 20\%.

Coefficient of variation (COV). The standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean. Acceptable range: 1.25 of the COD.

Mean: The result of adding all the values of a variable and dividing by the number of values. For example, the arithmetic mean of 3,5 , and 10 is 18 divided by 3 , or 6 . Also called the arithmetic mean.

Median. The midpoint or middle value when a set of values is ranked in order of magnitude; if the number of values is even, the midpoint or average of the two middle values. Acceptable range: $90 \%$ to 110\%

Price-related differential (PRD). The mean divided by the weighted mean. The statistic has a slight bias upward. Price-related differentials above 1.03 tend to indicate assessment regressivity; price-related differentials below 0.98 tend to indicated assessment progressivity. Acceptable range: 0.98 to 1.03.

Progressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Regressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Standard deviation (St. Dev). The statistical calculated from a set of number by subtracting the mean from each value and squaring the remainders, adding together these squares, dividing by the size of the sample less one, and taking the square root of the result. When the data are normally distributed, one can calculate the percentage of observations within any number of standard deviations of the mean from normal probability table. When the data are not normally distributed, the standard deviation is less meaningful and should be used with caution.

Weighted mean; weighted average (wtd mean). An average in which the observations are weighted based on some criterion. In ratio studies, the weighted mean is a calculated by weighting the ratios based on their sale prices. A shortcut method is to sum the appraisals or assessments, sum of the sales prices, and divided the first result by the second. (International Association of Assessing Officers, 1990)

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1990). Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## Influence Definitions

## View

- None: No view other than immediate surroundings, could have a view if trees on adjoining properties were removed.
- Limited: Less than $45^{\circ}$ viewable unobstructed, greater than $45^{\circ}$ view angle with obstructions, mountain top view, view from $2^{\text {nd }}$ story, able to view beyond adjacent lots, overlooking an area that would provide increased viewing opportunities for wildlife (rule of thumb, distance greater than football field).
- Good: $45^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ view, unobstructed view, at least 1 feature, mountain, river, lake, inlet etc. Able to view beyond adjacent lots. (River, Lake and Inlet frontage property will always have at least a Good or Excellent View)
- Excellent: $90^{\circ}$ or greater view, unobstructed, 2 or more features.


## Street Access

- Paved Access: Paved road \& government maintained.
- Gravel Maintained: Gravel road \& maintained by the borough or another organized entity.
- Gravel Unmaintained: Gravel road but is not maintained by the borough (check Arcmap), could be maintained by the subdivision, HOA or private owner(s).
- Trail: No longer used. If can be driven to year round, use gravel unmaintained, otherwise platted.
- Platted: Road platted but not built.
- Limited/NA: Section line easement. No platted access. To include water, beach only access.


## Utilities

- Gas \& Electric Yes/No: To be considered as having gas \& electric utilities must be at the property, directly across the street from property (not paved), or on the same side of the road and 1 lot away or less than 300 feet away. If street is paved \& influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.
- Public/Community Water \& Sewer: Service is provided by municipality or by HOA. City lots are presumed to have this service though certain subdivision do not and need private well/septic influence. If only 1 of the services is available, mark Yes. Add well or septic if necessary for service not available. If street is paved $\&$ influence is across the road, parcel to be marked at not having service available.


## Water Front

- Ocean: Fronts on major body of Saltwater, Cook Inlet, Kachemak Bay, Resurrection Bay.
- River: Fronts on a major navigable river, Kenai River, Kasilof River.
- Lake: Fronts on major lake, big enough to get a float plane on \& off (approx. 3000') Mackey Lake, Longmere Lake, Island Lake.
- Pond/Stream/Canal: Fronts on smaller body of water, may be a fair size, but typically not able to get float plane on/off. Arc Lake, Sport Lake, Echo Lake. Not generally navigable by boat. Funny River, Deep Creek, Anchor River, Swanson River.


## Topo

- Steep: Topography that is greater than usual incline/decline, making access \& building difficult. At least 15 feet in elevation change and no less than $45 \%$ average slope ( 4.5 feet vertical per 10 feet horizontal)
- Ravine: Ravine or swale, a long deep hollow in the surface with wall height of at least 15 feet and average slope of $500 \%$ ( 5 feet vertical per 1 foot horizontal)
- Other: Any additional topographical feature that would have an influence on property, value. Topo features not described in the form.
- Wetlands: Water within 1 foot of the surface is considered wetlands. A Typical indicator of wetlands is scrubby black spruce.
Protective CCR's / HOA: Covenants, Conditions \& Restrictions for individual subdivisions, Homer Owners Association. Check S Drive or Contact title company.
Airstrip: Private dirt/grass/gravel strip, off strip access.
Airstrip Improved: Gravel/ Paved, maintained, lights.
Agriculture Rights: Restrictions on property, limiting use of property or portion of property to agriculture use.
Easement: Description of a typical easements. Such as
Overhead Power Line, Gas Line or other. Typical easements would include utility easements for providing utilities to local subdivision. Usually the front $10^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ of the property.
Other: Other features not mentioned in form, describe in notes section.

Notes Section: Further description of influences or describe influences not accounted for in input sheet. Example, a Power Line easement running across property. Private Boat launch for subdivision.

## AS 29.45.110. Full and True Value.

(a) The assessor shall assess property at its full and true value as of January 1 of the assessment year, except as provided in this section, AS 29.45.060, and 29.45.230. The full and true value is the estimated price that the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels.

## AS 29.45.130. Independent Investigation

(a) The assessor is not bound to accept a return as correct. The assessor may make an independent investigation of property returned or of taxable property on which no return has been filed. In either case, the assessor may make the assessor's own valuation of the property subject to an ad valorem tax and this valuation is prima facie evidence of the value of the property.
(b) For investigation, the assessor or the assessor's agent may enter real property during reasonable hours to examine visible personal property and the exterior of a dwelling or other structure on the real property. The assessor or the assessor's agent may enter and examine the interior of a dwelling or other structure or the personal property in it only (1) if the structure is under construction and not yet occupied; (2) with the permission of a person in actual possession of the structure; or (3) in accordance with a court order to compel the entry and inspection. The assessor or the assessor's agent may examine all property records involved. A person shall, on request, furnish to the assessor or the assessor's agent assistance for the investigation and permit the assessor or the assessor's agent to enter a dwelling or other structure to examine the structure or personal property in it during reasonable hours. The assessor may seek a court order to compel entry and production of records needed for assessment purposes.
(c) An assessor may examine a person on oath. On request, the person shall submit to examination at a reasonable time and place selected by the assessor.

## MARKET VALUE

The most probable price, as of a specified date, in cash, or in terms equivalent to cash, or in other precisely revealed terms, for which the specified property rights should sell after reasonable exposure in an open and competitive market under all condition's requisite to a fair sale, with the buyer and seller each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and for self-interest, and assuming that neither is under undue duress. (Appraisal of Real Estate 11th Edition - Appraisal Institute)

## BURDEN OF PROOF

The appellant has the burden of proving or providing any information to show that the assessed values are excessive, improper or unequal. The assessor is accorded broad discretion in deciding among the recognized valuation methods. The assessor's choice of one recognized method of valuation overanother is simply the exercise of a discretion committed to the assessor by law.
*A borough has discretion to appraise, by whatever recognized method of valuation it chooses, so long as there is no fraud or clear adoption of a fundamentally wrong principle of valuation. Hoblit vs. Greater Anchorage Area Borough, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 636 (File No. 1214), 473 P.2d 630 (Alaska 1970).

The assessing department is concerned not only with market value, but also with equity of assessment, which means: making sure that every property is assessed at the same level as all others with respect to market value. For this reason, the assessor uses a broad scope in its approach to value, using overall trends to value all properties in a given market area. In contrast, a private appraisal is only concerned with estimating the value of a single property.



[^0]:    Summary:

