

eComments
Ordinance 2020-29

karen vadla

Location:

Submitted At: 12:10am 06-03-20

I emphatically oppose 2020-29 An Ordinance Opposing Passage of Legislation that Would Restrict Individual Rights Protected by the Second Amendment of the United States Constitution and Declaring the Borough a Second Amendment Sanctuary! Our US Constitution put in place the Second Amendment in order to protect our right to bear arms. We do not need to declare the Kenai Peninsula Borough a Second Amendment Sanctuary nor do we need a well-regulated Militia being encouraged as necessary to the security of a free borough, municipality, district or other area in the State. While our family members have passed hunter safety classes and sometimes provide game for our table, we do not condone the intent of this ordinance. I urge you, Please Do Not Pass this Ordinance. Thank you

Ralph Crane

Location:

Submitted At: 11:46pm 06-02-20

I support this proposed ordinance that would formalize the KPB's intent to further protect individual rights granted in our US Constitution. That said, Article 4 of this proposed ordinance is silly and, ironically, smacks of leftist ideology. In my personal opinion, Article should be amended out for that reason.

Delayna West

Location:

Submitted At: 10:55pm 06-02-20

I support our mayor and his support for our 2nd amendment rights!

Karen Murdock

Location:

Submitted At: 6:28pm 06-02-20

Dear Assembly Members,

The United States Constitution already protects our right to bear arms. The Borough does not need to be spending its time on deliberating on ordinances that are given protection by our Constitution. The Constitution has given us that right, plain and simple. Please vote against this Ordinance. I am particularly concerned with the statements that have been made by co-sponsor, Jesse Bjorkman, "the Kenai Peninsula is a gun-friendly community. We believe that a polite society is an armed society." Our Welcome to the Kenai Peninsula sign on the Seward Highway portrays a safe and friendly community. Touting the Borough as a gun friendly community is an alarmist concept. Politeness and being armed are incongruous. Emily Post, the leading authority on social etiquette (aka politeness) did not equate politeness with being armed. Nor has the contemporary expert, "Miss Manners", Judith Martin. If the Assembly wants our Borough to be acting with politeness, then do not waste time on this ordinance. Thank you for this opportunity to express my concerns.

Harold Spence

Location:

Submitted At: 6:42am 06-02-20

To the Assembly,

The Second Amendment is in the Bill of Rights. It needs no "sanctuary." Those who believe it is so weak it requires the toothless "protection" of third- or fourth-level governments like boroughs, counties and cities misunderstand the Constitution, don't fathom the real meaning of the Second Amendment nor grasp the limitations placed upon it by the U.S. Supreme Court.

Declaring one's borough a "Second Amendment Sanctuary" may have rhythmical appeal to the paranoid ears of reactionaries, but the designation will have absolutely no power under state or federal law. The borough has far more important business to attend to. It cannot afford to enact an ordinance destined to lose a constitutional challenge.

Sincerely,

Harold Spence

Wayne Aderhold

Location:

Submitted At: 6:27am 06-02-20

The foundation of our country only functions as a complete document. IF there were any sense to consideration of establishing "sanctuary" status for the Constitution (a Federal issue), it should encompass the entire document - not a single piece. Further, I doubt that any Assembly can legally constrain the actions of future Assemblies.

Lynn Takeoka Spence

Location:

Submitted At: 6:27am 06-02-20

I believe this ordinance is in direct violate of state law. I hope your attorney has reviewed this and verified that it would be legal and enforceable.