

Broyles, Randi

02020-29

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:41 AM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: FW: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>2nd Amendment:

-----Original Message-----

From: Lilly Ryterski [mailto:leryterski@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:38 AM
To: BoroughAssembly <Borough-Assembly@kpb.us>
Subject: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>2nd Amendment:

CAUTION:This email originated from outside of the KPB system. Please use caution when responding or providing information. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, know the content is safe and were expecting the communication.

"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

In Switzerland, when a man turns 18 he goes into training and learns how to use weapons. He is given a gun and ammunition and must regularly practice shooting. This is a standing public militia in the service of the State.

What we have is far from that.

The argument today is to defend ourselves against our own government--which is useless. When a man pulled out a gun to defend himself when people broke into his home and killed his girlfriend, he was arrested, because the intruders were police.

He had committed no crime, nor did his girlfriend. Where was the 2nd Amendment in this case?

People think foreign countries are afraid to attack us because people here have guns. Guns won't help against bombs.

Do you remember the incident when 2 men broke into a church in Big Lake to steal food? The pastor shot them as they fled. He was in the "right". I don't think so. Yoda from Star Wars wisely said, "Whatever weapon you take with you is what you'll use."

There are too many crazy, unstable, mentally ill people out there. Do you want them to have access to assault rifles? There's enough damage and accidents by so-called normal people: ie: the woman whose child took her gun from her purse and shot the mother dead in a supermarket.

If people want to kill other people so badly, join the army.

Of course there's the mass school shootings, the mass shooting at a concert, at the movies, at a night club, etc. And there are individual domestic killings, suicides, and homicides.

Defending our property is a big concern. We killed a lot of Natives and took their property. Maybe there's an ingrained fear of retaliation.

The best way to have security is to make friends and work cooperatively.

Isn't it time to change our stance of aggressive barbarism?

Make the 2nd Amendment do what it was intended to do, or eliminate it entirely so we can have sensible gun laws and really protect the people.

We don't have proper education about the real consequences of shooting a gun. Cowboy films and video games don't tell the truth. It's not a game to deprive someone of life. It's immoral.

Sincerely,
Lela Ryterski
Homer

Broyles, Randi

02020-29

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:27 AM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: FW: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>Ordinance 2020-29

-----Original Message-----

From: Delayna Davis [mailto:joyful_dj@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:24 AM
To: Hibbert, Brent <bhibbert@kpb.us>; Smalley, Hal <HSmalley@kpb.us>; Bjorkman, Jesse <JBjorkman@kpb.us>; Cox, Tyson <tysoncox@kpb.us>; Blakeley, Norm <NBlakeley@kpb.us>; Carpenter, Kenn <KCarpenter@kpb.us>; Johnson, Brent <bjohnson@kpb.us>; Cooper, Kelly <kellycooper@kpb.us>; Dunne, Willy <WDunne@kpb.us>; G_Notify_AssemblyClerk <G_Notify_AssemblyClerk@kpb.us>; Pierce, Charlie <CPierce@kpb.us>
Subject: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>Ordinance 2020-29

CAUTION:This email originated from outside of the KPB system. Please use caution when responding or providing information. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, know the content is safe and were expecting the communication.

Dear assembly members,
I am in support of Ordinance
2020- 29.
Thank you,
DeLayna West

Sent from my iPhone

Broyles, Randi

02020-29

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:40 AM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: FW: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>letter to assembly regarding 2020-29

From: bubba@horizonsatellite.com [mailto:bubba@horizonsatellite.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Blankenship, Johni <JBlankenship@kpb.us>
Subject: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>letter to assembly regarding 2020-29

CAUTION:This email originated from outside of the KPB system. Please use caution when responding or providing information. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, know the content is safe and were expecting the communication.

So, if I understand ordinance 2020-29 correctly, if there is (God forbid), a mass shooting on the Peninsula, and it injures a bunch of people, the Borough won't be able to be involved in trying to make additional laws that tighten gun control. The reasoning behind this ordinance is because the backers feel that the 2nd Amendment to our United States Constitution does not have enough strong language to protect gun ownership, even when most of the United States is in favor of some gun control. For example, I would like us to put serial numbers on bullets and also for anyone to own a firearm they would have to take a responsible gun ownership test.

I am also wondering why this ordinance came up in the first place? Was it just a whim, or were people clamoring to protect gun ownership even more than the Constitution defines? Why is this ordinance coming up now, especially when we are dealing with so many other health and safety issues. I for one feel that the Constitution is a strong doctrine for protection of gun rights and asking for even more protection would likely open up more avenues of litigation and cost the Borough more money to deal with the legal recourse of this ordinance.

I am not in favor of making the Kenai a sanctuary city for firearms.

Sincerely,

Alex and Cindy Koplin

60621 Bear Creek

Homer, Ak 99603

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:46 AM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: FW: New Public Comment to Assembly Members

From: Kenai Peninsula Borough [mailto:webmaster@borough.kenai.ak.us]
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 6:12 AM
To: BoroughAssembly <Borough-Assembly@kpb.us>
Subject: New Public Comment to Assembly Members

Your Name: Sybille Castro-Curry

Your Email: Alaskafrau@yahoo.com

Subject: Support 2nd Amendment Sanctuary

Message:

I support the ordinance that was written by Borough Mayor Charlie Pierce. The measure begins by quoting the U.S. and State Constitutions, both of which affirm: "A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

Alaska's Constitution adds, "The individual's right to keep and bear arms shall not be denied or infringed by the State or a political subdivision of the State."

The proposed ordinance references an Alaska bill passed in 2013, which prohibits state and local governments from implementing federal laws or regulations that infringe on a person's right to bear arms. Pierce said his measure provides another level of protection for residents of the Kenai Borough should the state ever adopt a law that violates the Second Amendment.

Broyles, Randi

02020-29

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:46 PM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: FW: New Public Comment to Assembly Members

From: Kenai Peninsula Borough [mailto:webmaster@borough.kenai.ak.us]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:44 PM
To: BoroughAssembly <Borough-Assembly@kpb.us>
Subject: New Public Comment to Assembly Members

Your Name: hs norwood

Your Email: rohobawr@alaska.net

Subject: 6/16/2000 Meeting: No to KPB 2nd Amendment Sanctuary ORDINANCE???

Message:

If you squint hard this lazy attempt at a 2nd Amendment support "resolution" might take a flimsy form of an "ordinance" ... a law?

Why is the Assembly spending any time on this? There is a pandemic going on. Homer hospital just put out the word they need PPE donations.

There are people who are in desperate need of financial assistance. If you need a list of names I can give you one. (Bjorkman you work at the school ... you should be well aware who needs help in Nikiski).

This "ordinance" is not about roads, schools, the budget gaps and the "large mill rate increase" just alluded to or anything worthy of your time and my tax dollars.

But wait! Piece explains the origins: a meeting in an unspecified room that was overflow capacity and sponsored by the NRA.

Certainly you are all aware that the NRA decided to pivot to scare tactics regarding threats to the 2nd Amendment to garner donations starting in 1994. The 2nd Amendment existed before any of you were born and it will be here after you die. How much money you give to the NRA in the meantime is entirely up to you.

Certainly you are aware that just yesterday the Supreme Court declined to take up new cases for the next judicial term that gun rights groups claimed denied Second Amendment rights. So who amongst you think the KBP has more clout than that?

As Willy pointed out, do you think it would be a wise use of my tax dollars to pay the legal expenses that support the KPB's personal opinion on the 2nd Amendment a la the freedom of religion debacle that costs \$175,000?

Furthermore I am a citizen of the KPB who resides in Nikiski. I vote. I count. I keep everyone I know informed of what the Assembly is up to because for some unknown reason they don't find the meetings as entertaining as I do.

I was not at that meeting sponsored by the NRA that Pierce attended.

So I'm stating here that I do not want my tax dollars limited regarding any possible future use to explore a facet of a proposed resolution or ordinance that might possibly infringe upon this poorly written "proposed law." Many apologies for not being more specific on what I am referring to in the future but that's precisely because the "proposed law" is far too broad in scope to know what its present intentions are much less the possible future impact.

I do know this: If this "law" is passed and I decide to bring up a future point related to this "law" and am told I cannot do it because this "law" prohibits any money of the KPB being used toward my inquiry, I will immediately ask the ACLU to take up my case and sue the KPB for infringing on my rights

In summary this ordinance SHOULD NOT have progressed to public comment. It should have been shoved back at the 4 supporter to rewrite and resubmit. Then the all important "conversation" could have been on something worthy of your time and my tax dollars.

And if it does pass on July 7th in the form of an ordinance please introduce a First Amendment Support Sanctuary Ordinance because THAT'S the one that has been in jeopardy.

And Mr. Blakely ... I had exactly the same perception that Mr. Johnson gleaned from your statements. Listen to the recording of the meeting. You initially inferred your support for this ordinance was based upon the example of dealing with the Seattle protesters. Your clarification later in the broadcast was quite necessary because it sounded like you wanted to blast all the protestors as a "solution" which somehow supported this proposed "ordinance."

From: Blankenship, Johni
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:45 PM
To: Broyles, Randi
Subject: Fwd: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>Ordinance 2020-29

Johni Blankenship, MMC
Borough Clerk
Kenai Peninsula Borough

Begin forwarded message:

From: "bmccard@alaska.net" <bmccard@alaska.net>
Date: June 16, 2020 at 1:43:12 PM AKDT
To: "Blankenship, Johni" <JBlankenship@kpb.us>
Subject: <EXTERNAL-SENDER>Ordinance 2020-29

CAUTION:This email originated from outside of the KPB system. Please use caution when responding or providing information. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, know the content is safe and were expecting the communication.

Dear Assembly Members:

I am strongly opposed to Ordinance 2020-29.

It appears to be that with this Ordinance, individuals with guns could go around the Peninsula intimidating those without guns and face NO consequences.

With the possibly of a lawsuit regarding this ordinance and limited money coming into the Borough, I would think that money could be better spent on other areas of the Borough than paying attorney fees.

Sometimes when emotions are in control, common sense is throw out the window.

Sincerely,

Bob McCard
235 Stacy Drive
Soldotna, AK, 99669