# ASSESSOR'S DESCRIPTION <br> ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION 

| APPELLANT: Lopez, Phillip Anthony | PARCEL NUMBER: 159-440-08 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROPERTY ADDRESS OR GENERAL LOCATION: | 21295 Sterling Highway |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | T 2S R 14W SEC 32 Seward Meridian HM 0760106 SEACLIFF SUB UNIT 1 BLK 1 TRACT 8 |
| ASSESSED VALUE TOTAL: | \$92,800 |
| RAW LAND: | \$47,300 |
| SWL (Sewer, Water, Landscaping): | \$10,500 Well \$4000, Septic \$6500 |
| IMPROVEMENTS | \$35,000 Dwelling \$33000, Drv \$2000 |
| ADDITIONS | \$ |
| OUTBUILDINGS: | \$ |
| TOTAL ABOVE GRADE FLOOR AREA: | Card One 600 Sq. Ft. |
| TOTAL FINISHED LIVING AREA: | Card One 600 Sq. Ft. |
| Card One, First Level 600 Sq. Ft. | Card One, Second Level Sq. Ft. |
| Card One, Basement Unfin. Sq. Ft. | Card One, Basement Finished Sq. Ft. |
| LAND SIZE 2.17 Acres | GARAGE 894 Sq. Ft. |
| LAND USE AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION |  |
| 1) Utilities |  |
| Electricity: Yes | Gas: Yes |
| Water: Private Well | Sewer: Private Septic |
| 2) Site Improvements: |  |
| Street: Paved |  |
| 3) Site Conditions |  |
| Topography: Flat/Steep | Drainage: Typical |
| View: Excellent | Easements: Typical for the Kenai Peninsula Borough |

HIGHEST AND BEST USE: As Currently Improved
ZONING: None

The Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB) Assessing Department uses a Market Adjusted Cost Approach to value residential structures for assessment purposes. This Cost Approach is derived from the property description, quality, size and features and is based upon replacement cost new less deprecation (RCN-D). That value is then adjusted by a statistically tested market adjustment.

According to Property Assessment Valuation, the first step in developing a cost approach is to estimate the land value at its highest and best use. KPB does this by reviewing, analyzing, and statistically testing reported land sales in a given market area. That updated land value is then combined with the value of all improvement; the sum of the two is the assessed value. This application is in accordance with State of Alaska AS 29.45.110.

## Land Comments

The subject property is a 2.17 acre parcel with excellent views, oceanfront, electric utility, paved maintained road access, and no natural gas utility available. The property has a section labeled as wetlands ( 1.17 acres) and is being assessed at a lower base rate than the rest of the lot (1.00 acres)

A physical inspection of the land was not afforded to the Assessing Department, however; the current land model was reviewed by Land Appraiser, Matt Bruns. This property is being valued fairly and equitably with surrounding like-kind properties.

For the Ninilchik market area (\#310), 47 sales from the last three years were analyzed. The median ratio for all of the sales is $92.13 \%$ and Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is $23.70 \%$. All ratios are within acceptable ranges as set by International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO).

| Ratio Sum | 44.14 |  |  | Excluded \# of Sales |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 93.91\% | Earliest Sale | 2017 |  |  | 47 |
| Median | 92.13\% | Latest Sale | 2020 | Total AV | \$ | 1,594,100 |
| Wtd Mean | 89.71\% | Outlier Inf |  | Total SP | \$ | 1,776,882 |
| PRD: | 1.05 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum |  | 23.41\% |
| COD: | 23.70\% | Lower Boundary | 31.51\% | Maximum |  | 193.20\% |
| St. Dev | 0.3181 | Upper Boundary | 150.15\% | Min Sale Amt | \$ | 5,000 |
| COV: | 33.88\% |  |  | Max Sale Amt | \$ | 330,000 |

## Improvement Comments

The subject property is a cottage style dwelling over an attached garage. The home was built in 2018 and has an effective age of 2019. The 1 story frame upper has 600 square feet of living space. The main source of heat is space heat with the attached garage being heated and finished at 894 square feet. The quality of construction is Fair+ (F+). The gable style roof is metal and the exterior has metal siding. The foundation is a monolithic slab. The property is outfitted with a private septic system and a private well.

The subject property was last inspected on $7 / 25 / 2018$. At that time the percent complete was estimated at $40 \%$. The property was informally appealed in 2019 and a downward adjustment was made. Mr. Lopez appealed again in 2020 and that value was settled in favor of the appellant for one year due to Covid-19. The property was again appealed for the 2021 tax period. There were many attempts to schedule an interior inspection with Mr. Lopez. However, he first requested only vaccinated staff be on his property, which of course is a violation of staff HIPAA rights to disclose. The Assessing Department then attempted to schedule a virtual inspection where Mr. Lopez would use either his own personal device, or an Assessing Department issued iPad to video, or photograph, the interior of his home. Mr. Lopez then raised concerns about the security of such a venture and denied any virtual interior inspection, or even the scheduling of such an inspection until his concerns were mitigated. I contacted the IT department and established reasonable security for such an inspection. When proposed to the appellant, he advised that he does not use Apple products and would not allow such an inspection due to his beliefs of the insecurity of the virtual inspection. Mr. Lopez has repeatedly denied access to his property, and I informed him that under the KPB code 5.12.060(P) "if access is denied, the appellant shall be precluded from offering evidence regarding the interior condition of the evidence." It was at this point his communication with me ended.

The percent complete for $40 \%$ estimated on $7 / 25 / 2018$ remains on the dwelling. The percent complete estimated in 2018, as referenced in our evidence packet, estimates that no plumbing has been roughed in, no electric has been roughed in, no heating system has been established, no insulation has been added, no floors, no cabinets, no drywall, and no finishing has been done on this structure. We estimate that Mr. Lopez has completed these items but were unable to confirm due to lack of access. We did provide Mr. Lopez with a $\$ 50 \mathrm{~K}$ Residential Exemption form, which he completed and was approved. With the submission of this form, he is declaring that this is his primary residence and we would estimate that he has completed the construction and will be updated for 2022 to reflect that the structure is $100 \%$ complete.

The current sales ratio for the subject properties market area is $93.93 \%$.

## References

International Association of Assessing Officers. (1996). Property Assessment Valuation Second edition. Chicago: International Association of Assessing Officers.

## RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION

The Assessing Department requests the Board of Equalization uphold their value recommended below based on the following findings:

1. Subject properties are currently valued uniformly and equitably with the surrounding parcels.
2. Influences are applied correctly and uniformly to the subject properties.
3. The Assessing Department uses standardized mass appraisal procedures and techniques to specify and calibrate market models which are applied uniformly to value property within the borough. The modeled values are statistically tested to ensure a level of accuracy and equity of assessment that meets the guidelines established by the Alaska Association of Assessing Officers and the International Association of Assessing Officers, and in compliance with State Statutes.
4. The Assessing Department reviewed all physical characteristics of the subject property to ensure all data was accurately captured.
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| Size Ranges $\Rightarrow$ | Cabin $=0-500$ s.f. |  |  |  | Cottage $=501-800$ s.f. |  |  |  | Res. = 801-Infinity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean $=70 \%$ |  | mean $=85 \%$ |  | mean $=100 \%$ |  | mean $=115 \%$ |  | mean $=135 \%$ |  | mean $=165 \%$ |  |
| Quality | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOW } \\ 65-75 \% \end{gathered}$ | (\#) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAIR } \\ 80.90 \% \end{gathered}$ | (\#) | average <br> 95-105\% | (\#) | $\begin{gathered} \text { GOOD } \\ 110-120 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (\#) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VERY GOOD } \\ & 125-145 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (\#) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCELLENT } \\ & 150-180 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (\#) |
| FLOOR COVER | NONE or low grade on subfloor (no padding, etc) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.25 \\ & 2.10 \\ & 1.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Below average grade covering on Sublioor | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average builder-grddes floor covelng | $\frac{3.15}{\left\lvert\, \frac{810}{2.85}\right.}$ | D$10-20 \%$ above <br> average grade <br> floor covering | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.45 \\ & 3.30 \end{aligned}$ | Very Good, upper-end floor coverings throughout | $\begin{array}{\|c} 4.35 \\ 4.05 \\ 3.75 \end{array}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \\ & 4.95 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| CABINETS \& COUNTER TOPS | NONE or low grade (may be owner-built) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 2.80 \\ & 2.60 \end{aligned}$ | Below average commercial type | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.40 \\ & 3.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{4.20}{4.00}$ | Upper end buildergrade quality (double vanities, etc) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.80 \\ & 4.60 \\ & 4.40 \end{aligned}$ | Very Good cabinets and countertops <br> (double vanities, etc) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.80 \\ 5.40 \\ 5.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.20 \\ & 6.60 \\ & 6.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| KITCHEN <br> appliances | NONE or low grade ROV only (no dishwasher, elc) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.25 \\ & 2.10 \\ & 1.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Below average <br> builder-grade package | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{315}{3.00}$ | Upper end builder-grade package | $\begin{array}{\|l} 3.60 \\ 3.45 \\ 3.30 \end{array}$ | Very Good, high quality appliance package | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35 \\ & 4.05 \\ & 3.75 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{array}{r} 5.40 \\ 4.95 \\ 4.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| FIXTURES <br> Plumbing/Lighting | NONE or low grade | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.25 \\ & 2.10 \\ & 1.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lower grade commercial type fixtures | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 2.55 \\ & 2.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Builder-grade steck ilem lixturés | 3.15 <br> 2.00 <br> 2.85 | Upper end builder-grade fixtures | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.60 \\ 3.45 \\ 3.30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Very Good grade plumbing \& lighting fixtures throughou: | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35 \\ & 4.05 \\ & 3.75 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality throughout | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \\ & 4.95 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| INTERIOR <br> Door/Window Trim | NONE, owner-built or photo finish | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & 1.40 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | Mahogany doors and photo finish trim | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.60 \end{aligned}$ | Average wood doors and trim | 2.10 <br> 2.00 <br> 1.90 | Above average quality doors and wood trim | $\left\|\begin{array}{l\|} 2.40 \\ 2.30 \\ 2.20 \end{array}\right\|$ | Very Good quality custom doors and sculptured good wood trin | $\begin{aligned} & 2.90 \\ & 2.70 \\ & 2.50 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high-quality, exotic woods. Hand- <br> linished unique designs | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & 3.30 \\ & 3.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| INTERIOR <br> Partition Walls | NONE or Plywood/OSE | $\begin{aligned} & 7.50 \\ & 7.00 \\ & 6.50 \end{aligned}$ | Below average paneling / sheetrock | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & 8.50 \\ & 8.00 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheatrock and/or average paneling | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 100 \\ \hline 9.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Textured sheetrock with good quality wallpaper and/or wood paneling | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & 11.5 \\ & 11.0 \end{aligned}$ | High quality wallpaper, wood paneling and/or wainscoting, etc | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \\ & 13.5 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent high quality wallpaper, wood paneling and/or wainscoting, elc | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \\ 16.5 \\ 15.0 \end{array}$ |
| CEILINGS | NONE, Plywood/OSE or below 8 ' height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.75 \\ & 3.50 \\ & 3.25 \end{aligned}$ | Acoustic tile or sheetrock and full 8 cailing height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.50 \\ & 4.25 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | Textured sheatrock 8 standard $\mathbf{B '}^{\prime}$ ceiling haight | 5.25 <br> 5.00 <br> 4.75 | Textured sheetrock <br> 9 or 10 ' ceiling height. Vaulted or cathedral ceiling | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.00 \\ 5.75 \\ 5.50 \end{array}$ | Same as belore but may include good wood paneling on open-beam ceiling | $\begin{aligned} & 7.25 \\ & 6.75 \\ & 6.25 \end{aligned}$ | Same as belore but may be unique in design, detail and effect | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & 8.25 \\ & 7.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| WINDOW FENESTRATION | Minimal single-pane low grade sliders or non-opening | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 14.0 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | Smaller than avarage sliding or crank-out w/storm windows | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{18.0}{17.0} \\ & 16.0 \end{aligned}$ | Ample average quality sliding or crank-out thermo pane | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0 \\ & 20.0 \\ & 19.0 \end{aligned}$ | Good quality, larger than average Some round, half-round, octagon, atc | $\begin{aligned} & 24.0 \\ & 23.0 \\ & 22.0 \end{aligned}$ | Abundant Very Good <br> quality windows (Low "E" rellective, elc) | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \\ & 27.0 \\ & 25.0 \end{aligned}$ | Same as belore but may be unique in design, detail and effect | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 33.0 \\ & 30.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| overall WORKMANSHIP | Low cost, poor quality workmanship and design. Below minimum standard. No design or detail | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} 37.5 \\ 35.0 \\ 32.5 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Below average workmanship but meets minimum standards. $2 \times 4$ construction. <br> Minimal design-- | $\frac{45.0}{42.5}$ | Average workmanship, <br> )meets or exceeds minimum standard. $2 \times 6$ construction | $\begin{aligned} & 52.5 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 47.5 \end{aligned}$ | Above average workmanship with some attention to design and detail. $2 \times 6$ construction Energy Eif. Package | $\begin{aligned} & 60.0 \\ & 57.5 \\ & 55.0 \end{aligned}$ | Very Good workmanship. Good attention to interior relinements and detail; exterior has some custom design and omamentation | 72.5 67.5 62.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \\ & 82.5 \\ & 75.0 \end{aligned}$ |



| NBH \# 310 |  | HT CAB-COTT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATIO SUM: | 7.96 |  | 2.40 | \# OF SALES: |  | 8 |
| MEAN: | 99.55\% | Earliest Sale | 1/31/2018 | TOTAL AV: | \$ | 1,029,000 |
| MEDIAN: | 93.93\% | Latest Sale | 6/24/2020 | TOTAL SP: | \$ | 1,036,600 |
| WTD MEAN: | 99.27\% | Outlier Infor | ation | MINIMUM: |  | 84.88\% |
| PRD: | 100.29\% | Range | 1.5 | MAXIMUM: |  | 135.68\% |
| COD: | 12.63\% | Lower Boundary | 51.42\% | MIN SALE AMT: | \$ | 43,000 |
| St. Dev: | 17.46\% | Upper Boundary | 146.26\% | MAX SALE AMT: | \$ | 213,000 |
| COV: | 17.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |



## RESIDENTIAL SALES RATIO



RATIO STUDY

| RATIO SUM: | 7.96 |  | 2.40 | \# OF SALES: |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAN: | 99.55\% | Earliest Sale | 1/31/2018 | TOTAL AV: | \$ | 1,029,000 |
| MEDIAN: | 93.93\% | Latest Sale | 6/24/2020 | TOTAL SP: | \$ | 1,036,600 |
| WTD MEAN: | 99.27\% | Outlier Info |  | MINIMUM: |  | 84.88\% |
| PRD: | 100.29\% | Range | 1.50 | MAXIMUM: |  | 135.68\% |
| COD: | 12.63\% | Lower Bounda | 51.42\% | N SALE AMT: | \$ | 43,000 |
| St. Dev: | 0.1746 | Upper Bounda | 146.26\% | X SALE AMT: | \$ | 213,000 |
| COV: | 17.54\% |  |  |  | 5 |  |


| SALE DATE: | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: |
| HOUSE TYPE: | CAB-COTT |
| MKT AREA: | 310 |
|  | POST |


| PIN | AREA | IMPS |  | LAND |  | AV |  | SP |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RATIO } \\ \hline 84.88 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { HTYPE }}{71}$ | $\frac{\text { DATE }}{\frac{1 / 31 / 2018}{}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{QUAL}}{\mathrm{~F}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15701033 | 310 | \$ | 22,300 | \$ | 14,200 | \$ | 36,500 | \$ | 43,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 15721022 | 310 | \$ | 136,100 | \$ | 72,600 | \$ | 208,700 | \$ | 213,000 | 97.98\% | 72 | 2/3/2020 | A |
| 15723109 | 310 | \$ | 91,200 | \$ | 34,100 | \$ | 125,300 | \$ | 138,000 | 90.80\% | 73 | 5/8/2019 | A- |
| 15901081 | 310 | \$ | 137,100 | \$ | 32,500 | \$ | 169,600 | \$ | 125,000 | 135.68\% | 72 | 6/24/2020 | A- |
| 15901111 | 310 | \$ | 118,900 | \$ | 19,900 | \$ | 138,800 | \$ | 156,600 | 88.63\% | 72 | 9/28/2018 | A |
| 15945007 | 310 | \$ | 94,300 | \$ | 17,200 | \$ | 111,500 | \$ | 129,000 | 86.43\% | 72 | 11/13/2018 | A |
| 15949007 | 310 | \$ | 71,200 | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 86,200 | \$ | 75,000 | 114.93\% | 72 | 9/6/2019 | F |
| 18532007 | 310 | \$ | 138,600 | \$ | 13,800 | \$ | 152,400 | \$ | 157,000 | 97.07\% | 72 | 8/23/2019 | A |


| Ratio Sum | 44.14 |  | Excluded | 0 |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | $93.91 \%$ | Earliest Sale 11/7/2017 | \# of Sales | 47 |  |
| Median | $92.13 \%$ | Latest Sale 7/15/2020 | Total AV $\$$ | $1,594,100$ |  |
| Wtd Mean | $89.71 \%$ | Outlier Information | Total SP | $\$$ | $1,776,882$ |
| PRD: | 1.05 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum | $23.41 \%$ |
| COD: | $23.70 \%$ | Lower Boundary | $31.51 \%$ | Maximum | $193.20 \%$ |
| St. Dev | 0.3181 | Upper Boundary | $150.15 \%$ | Min Sale Amt $\$$ | 5,000 |
| COV: | $33.88 \%$ |  |  | Max Sale Amt $\$$ | 330,000 |



| Ratio Sum | 44.14 |  | 2.69 | Excluded | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | $93.91 \%$ | Earliest Sale $11 / 7 / 2017$ | \# of Sales | 47 |  |
| Median | $92.13 \%$ | Latest Sale $7 / 15 / 2020$ | Total AV | $\$$ | $1,594,100$ |
| Wtd Mean | $89.71 \%$ | Outlier Information | Total SP | $\$$ | $1,776,882$ |
| PRD: | 1.05 | Range | 1.5 | Minimum | $23.41 \%$ |
| COD: | $23.70 \%$ | Lower Boundary | $31.51 \%$ | Maximum | $193.20 \%$ |
| St. Dev | 0.3181 | Upper Boundary | $150.15 \%$ | Min Sale Amt | $\$$ |
| COV: | $33.88 \%$ |  | 5,000 |  |  |



| neighborhooc | pxfer date | Irsn | PIN | Total Acres | Current Land Val |  | Sale Price | LandType | SaleCd 2020 Cert Lanc |  | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310 | 2/25/20 | 47347 | 15701207 | 2.35 | \$ 16,700 | \$ | 17,000 | 20 | C | \$16,700 | 98.24\% |
| 310 | 8/14/18 | 98643 | 15703098 | 16.71 | \$ 79,800 | \$ | 50,000 | 20 | C | \$79,800 | 159.60\% |
| 310 | 3/18/19 | 47667 | 15706402 | 1.00 | \$ 12,800 | \$ | 29,700 | 20 | Z | \$12,800 | 43.10\% |
| 310 | 9/23/19 | 47677 | 15706412 | 1.20 | \$ 14,000 | \$ | 13,250 | 20 | C | \$14,000 | 105.66\% |
| 310 | 6/25/20 | 47680 | 15706415 | 1.28 | \$ 14,600 | \$ | 20,000 | 20 | C | \$14,600 | 73.00\% |
| 310 | 8/14/18 | 47687 | 15706422 | 2.50 | \$ 20,800 | \$ | 25,500 | 20 | Z | \$20,800 | 81.57\% |
| 310 | 8/15/19 | 47817 | 15710034 | 1.25 | \$ 7,200 | \$ | 15,000 | 20 | C | \$7,200 | 48.00\% |
| 310 | 1/8/18 | 47819 | 15710036 | 1.25 | \$ 4,800 | \$ | 20,500 | 20 | C | \$4,800 | 23.41\% |
| 310 | 3/31/20 | 48108 | 15719031 | 0.55 | \$ 7,700 | \$ | 10,000 | 20 | C | \$7,700 | 77.00\% |
| 310 | 6/21/19 | 48118 | 15720006 | 2.30 | \$ 17,600 | \$ | 18,000 | 20 | C | \$17,600 | 97.78\% |
| 310 | 8/22/19 | 48124 | 15720012 | 3.12 | \$ 58,500 | \$ | 63,500 | 20 | Z | \$58,500 | 92.13\% |
| 310 | 10/29/18 | 48246 | 15723120 | 1.00 | \$ 34,100 | \$ | 47,400 | 20 | Z | \$34,100 | 71.94\% |
| 310 | 6/3/19 | 48275 | 15724014 | 1.65 | \$ 15,700 | \$ | 32,000 | 20 | C | \$15,700 | 49.06\% |
| 310 | 8/5/19 | 48309 | 15725004 | 1.99 | \$ 50,700 | \$ | 52,500 | 20 | Z | \$50,700 | 96.57\% |
| 310 | 3/25/20 | 48317 | 15725012 | 1.30 | \$ 24,500 | \$ | 23,000 | 20 | C | \$24,500 | 106.52\% |
| 310 | 8/14/18 | 48329 | 15726006 | 7.53 | \$ 39,300 | \$ | 35,500 | 20 | Z | \$39,300 | 110.70\% |
| 310 | 10/30/18 | 95272 | 15901136 | 2.35 | \$ 78,100 | \$ | 84,100 | 20 | V | \$78,100 | 92.87\% |
| 310 | 6/21/19 | 92865 | 15901257 | 1.36 | \$ 31,700 | \$ | 32,500 | 20 | C | \$31,700 | 97.54\% |
| 310 | 8/6/19 | 92892 | 15901284 | 1.56 | \$ 28,800 | \$ | 31,000 | 20 | V | \$28,800 | 92.90\% |
| 310 | 6/14/19 | 48472 | 15901302 | 10.00 | \$ 38,500 | \$ | 43,000 | 20 | C | \$38,500 | 89.53\% |
| 310 | 6/8/20 | 48528 | 15901440 | 1.68 | \$ 18,700 | \$ | 18,000 | 20 | C | \$18,700 | 103.89\% |
| 310 | 7/19/19 | 48791 | 15908011 | 57.00 | \$ 139,200 | \$ | 223,000 | 20 | V | \$139,200 | 62.42\% |
| 310 | 11/7/17 | 92016 | 15911139 | 3.87 | \$ 21,800 | \$ | 25,000 | 20 | V | \$21,800 | 87.20\% |
| 310 | 9/20/19 | 48865 | 15911202 | 50.00 | \$ 327,400 | \$ | 330,000 | 20 | C | \$327,400 | 99.21\% |
| 310 | 2/23/18 | 49161 | 15915057 | 1.01 | \$ 10,700 | \$ | 9,000 | 20 | C | \$10,700 | 118.89\% |
| 310 | 5/7/20 | 49743 | 15930027 | 1.38 | \$ 3,800 | \$ | 5,000 | 20 | C | \$3,800 | 76.00\% |
| 310 | 8/24/18 | 101177 | 15930125 | 4.13 | \$ 22,600 | \$ | 35,000 | 20 | Z | \$22,600 | 64.57\% |
| 310 | 5/25/18 | 49852 | 15935002 | 18.20 | \$ 28,700 | \$ | 32,000 | 20 | V | \$28,700 | 89.69\% |
| 310 | 6/12/20 | 88820 | 15936031 | 1.19 | \$ 12,500 | \$ | 16,932 | 20 | C | \$12,500 | 73.82\% |
| 310 | 5/29/20 | 90037 | 15936056 | 0.92 | \$ 10,900 | \$ | 11,900 | 20 | V | \$10,900 | 91.60\% |
| 310 | 11/18/19 | 90038 | 15936057 | 1.36 | \$ 13,400 | \$ | 10,100 | 20 | C | \$13,400 | 132.67\% |
| 310 | 3/27/20 | 49881 | 15938005 | 8.66 | \$ 22,300 | \$ | 12,500 | 20 | V | \$22,300 | 178.40\% |
| 310 | 7/11/18 | 49932 | 15942006 | 1.42 | \$ 12,800 | \$ | 12,500 | 20 | Z | \$12,800 | 102.40\% |
| 310 | 1/29/18 | 50024 | 15944008 | 2.17 | \$ 47,300 | \$ | 48,200 | 20 | Z | \$47,300 | 98.13\% |
| 310 | 10/30/18 | 50038 | 15944022 | 2.21 | \$ 20,500 | \$ | 16,500 | 20 | C | \$20,500 | 124.24\% |
| 310 | 7/19/19 | 50125 | 15947004 | 2.18 | \$ 21,500 | \$ | 18,000 | 20 | C | \$21,500 | 119.44\% |
| 310 | 8/3/18 | 50147 | 15947026 | 0.66 | \$ 37,100 | \$ | 33,500 | 20 | C | \$37,100 | 110.75\% |
| 310 | 7/27/18 | 50317 | 15953003 | 2.40 | \$ 28,300 | \$ | 20,500 | 20 | Z | \$28,300 | 138.05\% |
| 310 | 5/13/19 | 50344 | 15954006 | 6.60 | \$ 48,300 | \$ | 25,000 | 20 | V | \$48,300 | 193.20\% |
| 310 | 11/25/19 | 50395 | 15956115 | 8.70 | \$ 42,500 | \$ | 80,000 | 20 | V | \$42,500 | 53.13\% |
| 310 | 6/28/19 | 50434 | 15958006 | 1.00 | \$ 5,700 | \$ | 6,500 | 20 | Z | \$5,700 | 87.69\% |
| 310 | 8/29/19 | 50447 | 15959007 | 0.95 | \$ 17,300 | \$ | 19,000 | 20 | C | \$17,300 | 91.05\% |
| 310 | 7/10/19 | 50492 | 15959052 | 0.94 | \$ 13,700 | \$ | 17,500 | 20 | C | \$13,700 | 78.29\% |
| 310 | 1/3/19 | 50523 | 15961015 | 1.13 | \$ 15,200 | \$ | 15,800 | 20 | V | \$15,200 | 96.20\% |
| 310 | 1/10/20 | 50537 | 15961029 | 1.01 | \$ 14,300 | \$ | 17,000 | 20 | C | \$14,300 | 84.12\% |
| 310 | 7/15/20 | 83020 | 18532070 | 1.76 | \$ 19,200 | \$ | 27,000 | 20 | C | \$19,200 | 71.11\% |
| 310 | 9/14/18 | 81673 | 18532071 | 1.83 | \$ 22,500 | \$ | 28,000 | 20 | C | \$22,500 | 80.36\% |



# Phone Log Print out 

 Adeena Wilcox4/8/20 3:09 PM Phillip Lopez 159-440-08 (970) 707-7792 called very upset, we went over values and sales. He wasn't interested. He was upset that the last person there suck the door tag on his door and the "adhesive" took his paint off. I explained we don't have adhesive on them. He didn't agree. He was VERY upset that Stephen was a "contractor" from out of state because he called him with an out of state cell phone. I explained Stephen was in fact an employee using his person cell, without reimbursement, to contact taxpayers' since we are working remotely. We talked about what he felt the place is currently worth and agreed to $\$ 67,200$ for 2020 and Stephen will send an email. This is the value he put on his appeal.

## 4/12/21 11:15 AM Phillip Lopez 159-440-08 (907) 707-7792 04/12/21 10:45 am:

I returned Mr. Lopez' phone call and let him know that he was on speakerphone and I had the Appraisal Manager, Scott Romain in my office. He immediately demanded that I to take him off speakerphone, that it violated federal law and the demanded to know if I was recording the conversation. I let him know that I was not recording the phone call. He then started stating that he did not want an interior inspection and that we could not confirm that we would not have covid, and we cannot force him to video the interior of his home. I said that was fine and within his rights as a taxpayer to deny and interior inspection. He stated that he planned on stopping in the office tomorrow to drop off the discovery items and it's was this point in the conversation he called me "deary" and I asked him not to. He said that he will refer to me as Ms. Wilcox and I am to call him Mr. Lopez. I asked him if he would still like us to do an exterior inspection and he stated that we have already been to the property twice before and we don't need to measure it again. I said that is fine, we will note that he did not want us on the property. To this he became extremely agitated and told me no that's not what he said, that he was still waiting for us to fix the paint on his door that I said that we would fix. I let him know that I did not say that we would fix any paint on his door and he stated yes I did, last year when he called. I said that the clerk's office would contact him with when his evidence is due and when his hearing would be. He hung up on me at that point.

I put a staff safety warning to staff off property on the file. I noted that the file should be changed to $100 \%$ complete for 2022. I notified Michelle at the clerk's office that we may need to request security for his hearing and I notified Mr. Baisden of the conversation.

| $3 / 8 / 21$ | $12: 00 P M$ | Lopez, <br> Phillip | $159-440-08$ | (907) 707-7792 | Called Mr. Lopez regarding his increase in value. He <br> advised he would file an appeal because he felt his <br> value was incorrectly and excessively valued. He also <br> said he was going to file a malicious harrassment <br> charge against me. He hung up before I could <br> explain any further his increase. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{i}{\sum} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ |



## AS 29.45.110. Full and True Value.

(a) The assessor shall assess property at its full and true value as of January 1 of the assessment year, except as provided in this section, AS 29.45.060, and 29.45.230. The full and true value is the estimated price that the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels.

The assessing department is concerned not only with market value, but also with equity of assessment, which means making sure that every property is assessed at the same level as all others with respect to market value. For this reason, the assessor uses a broad scope in its approach to value, using overall trends to value all properties in a given market area. In contrast, a private appraisal is only concerned with estimating the value of a single property.


# Assessing Department 

144 N. Binkley Street, Soldotna, Alaska $99669^{\bullet}$ (907) 714-2230 • (907) 714-2393 Fax

Charlie Pierce Borough Mayor

April 22, 2021

Phillip Lopez
PO Box 39328
Ninilchik, AK 99639-0328

RE: Valuation Appeal / Assessor's Parcel No: 159-440-08
Mr. Lopez,

I understand from your letter and several heated conversations with department staff, that you do not wish to have the Assessing Department on your property. While I understand your stated concerns regarding COVID-19, we have provided several options to protect you and the assessing staff including PPE, a Zoom recorded inspection, and an owner-provided video. Because of your refusal to allow either an in-person or virtual inspection, then pursuant to KPB $5.12 .060(\mathrm{P})$, the Assessing Department will request that you be precluded from offering evidence on issues affected by the lack of access. Please note that based on the last physical inspection in 2018, the structure was listed as $40 \%$ complete and will be ran at $100 \%$ complete for 2022.

As far as your claims that an appraiser caused damage to your door, you will need to take that issue up with the Risk Management Office of the Kenai Peninsula Borough by completing and submitting a public loss claim form to the Risk Department. That is not something that the Assessing Department handles. Additionally, as I have explained, the door tags that we use do not have adhesive and the 2018 inspection photo shows that the door tag is in the door jam, not taped to the door as stated. You may contact the Office of Risk Management at (907) 714-2351.

Finally, your response to the Assessing Department's discovery request does not comply with borough code. You may object to the requests but you still must answer the discovery requests in full, and you may not presume that the borough already has the information requested. Per KPB 5.12.060(G)(3), if you fail to respond to timely submitted discovery requests, we will request that the Board of Equalization prevent you from presenting information pertaining to the requests and your failure to respond.

Sincerely,


Adeena Wilcox, Assessing Director
Kenai Peninsula Borough

APR 132021
KPB ASSESSING DEPT

# PHILLIP LOPEZ <br> 21295 STERLING HIGHWAY NINILCHIK, ALASKA 99639 <br> (907) 707-7792 

April 12, 2021

Kenai Peninsula Borough
Assessing Department
144 N. Binkley Street
Soldotna, AK 99669
Attn: Ms. Deana Wilcox
Re: Inspection - 21295 Sterling Highway, Ninilchik, AK
Dear Ms. Wilcox:
This letter is in response to your recent telephone call in which the inspection of the above-referenced property was discussed. It is also regarding recent correspondence from Scott Romain dated March 23, 2021.

During and prior to our conversation and the referenced correspondence, I expressed the request that your offices repair the damage to my home made approximately eighteen (18) months ago. That has not been accomplished as I requested prior to anyone from your offices again attempting to assess my property. That cannot be construed as a "denial", but simply protecting my property from further damage by your agency and your refusal to repair the damage.

While it was suggested that I allow a Zoom video assessment, there is insufficient security on your offices end to even consider such a venture.

Additionally, with the COVID most recent wave at hand, I believe it would not be an emergency to personally view my property at this time. Although your offices choose to view this as a baseless denial of access for purposes of a tax assessment, that is not the case. I have extremely relevant issues that must be considered.

It must also be noted that I am disabled and am exempt from property tax, having secured the appropriate documentation.

Kenai Peninsula Borough
Assessing Department
April 12, 2021
Page Two

Lastly, in response to A. 1. -9. Objection, over burdensome, not reasonably calculated to lead to discoverable material. Will supplement if documents become available. B. 1. Objection, Assessor's Office is aware of purchase price, and 2. N/A.

Thank you in advance for your attention and cooperation in this matter.


PL:spb

## Price per Acre Comparison

A very popular way to compare land values is to do a simple Price per Acre calculation. Simply stated this is the assessed value divided by the acreage. This will work just fine if the properties you are comparing are exactly the same size and have the exact same influences, otherwise you are just comparing apples to oranges.


Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same acreage, with different influences.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel Maint | \$ | Paved | \$ 5,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas No | \$ $(10,000)$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Limited | \$ 12,000 | View Good | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  | Waterfront Pond | \$ 25,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$ 52,000 | Land Value | \$105,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 10,400 | Price/AC | \$ 21,000 |

Below is a sample comparison of 2 parcels that have the same influences, with different acreages.

| 5.0 AC Base | \$ 50,000 | 10.0 AC Base | \$ 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved | \$ 5,000 | Paved | \$ 7,000 |
| Elec Yes | \$ | Elec Yes | \$ |
| Gas Yes | \$ | Gas Yes | \$ |
| View Good | \$ 25,000 | View Good | \$ 35,000 |
| Waterfront Ponc | \$ 25,000 | Waterfront Pond | \$ 35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Land Value | \$105,000 | Land Value | \$147,000 |
| Price/AC | \$ 21,000 | Price/AC | \$ 14,700 |

## AS 29.45.110. FULL AND TRUE VALUE

(a) The assessor shall assess property at its full and true value as of January 1 of the assessment year, except as provided in this section, AS 29.45.060, and 29.45.230. The full and true value is the estimated price that the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer, both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels.

## BURDEN OF PROOF

The appellant has the burden of proving or providing any information to show that the assessed values are excessive, improper or unequal. The assessor is accorded broad discretion in deciding among the recognized valuation methods. The assessor's choice of one recognized method of valuation over another is simply the exercise of a discretion committed to the assessor by law.
*A borough has discretion to appraise, by whatever recognized method of valuation it chooses, so long as there is no fraud or clear adoption of a fundamentally wrong principle of valuation. Hoblit vs. Greater Anchorage Area Borough, Sup. Ct. Op. No. 636 (File No. 1214), 473 P.2d 630 (Alaska 1970).

## Definitions

Assessment progressivity (regressivity). An appraisal bias such that higher value properties are appraised higher (lower) than low-value properties. See also price-related differential.

Coefficient of dispersion (COD). The average deviation of a group of numbers from the median expressed as a percentage of the median. In ratio studies, the average percentage deviation from the median ratio. Acceptable range: Land under 30\%, residential under 20\%.

Coefficient of variation (COV). The standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean. Acceptable range: 1.25 of the COD.

Mean: The result of adding all the values of a variable and dividing by the number of values. For example, the arithmetic mean of 3,5 , and 10 is 18 divided by 3 , or 6 . Also called the arithmetic mean.

Median. The midpoint or middle value when a set of values is ranked in order of magnitude; if the number of values is even, the midpoint or average of the two middle values. Acceptable range: $90 \%$ to 110\%

Price-related differential (PRD). The mean divided by the weighted mean. The statistic has a slight bias upward. Price-related differentials above 1.03 tend to indicate assessment regressivity; price-related differentials below 0.98 tend to indicated assessment progressivity. Acceptable range: 0.98 to 1.03.

Progressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Regressivity. See assessment progressivity (regressivity)
Standard deviation (St. Dev). The statistical calculated from a set of number by subtracting the mean from each value and squaring the remainders, adding together these squares, dividing by the size of the sample less one, and taking the square root of the result. When the data are normally distributed, one can calculate the percentage of observations within any number of standard deviations of the mean from normal probability table. When the data are not normally distributed, the standard deviation is less meaningful and should be used with caution.

Weighted mean; weighted average (wtd mean). An average in which the observations are weighted based on some criterion. In ratio studies, the weighted mean is a calculated by weighting the ratios based on their sale prices. A shortcut method is to sum the appraisals or assessments, sum of the sales prices, and divided the first result by the second. (International Association of Assessing Officers, 1990)
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